

# THE QOG OECD DATASET 2021 CODEBOOK

Scholars who wish to use this dataset in their research are kindly requested to cite both the original source (as stated in this codebook) and use the following citation:

Teorell, Jan, Staffan Kumlin, Aksel Sundström, Sören Holmberg, Bo Rothstein, Natalia Alvarado Pachon & Cem Mert Dalli. 2021. The Quality of Government OECD Dataset, version Jan21. University of Gothenburg: The Quality of Government Institute, http://www.qog.pol.gu.se doi:10.18157/qogoecdjan21

> http://www.qog.pol.gu.se The QoG Institute P.O. Box 711 405 30 Gothenburg Sweden infoqog@pol.gu.se



## Contents

1	Intro	duction 4
	1.1	The Quality of Government Institute
	1.2	The QoG Data
	1.3	Important note on the terms of use of these datasets
	1.4	QoG OECD Dataset
	1.5	Thematic Categories
<b>2</b>	List o	f Variables by Categories 10
	2.1	Quality of Government
	2.2	Civil Society, Population and Culture
	2.3	Conflict and Military Service
	2.4	Education
	2.5	Energy and Infrastructure
	2.6	Environment
	2.7	Gender Equality
	2.8	Health
	2.9	History
	2.10	Judicial
	2.11	Labour Market
	2.12	Media
	2.13	Migration
	2.14	Political Parties and Elections
	2.15	Political System
	2.16	Public Economy
	2.17	Private Economy
	2.18	Religion
	2.19	Welfare
3	Identi	ification Variables 48
4	Descr	intion of Variables by Original Data Sources 49
-	4 1	AidData 49
	4 2	Alesina Devleeschauwer Easterly Kurlat and Wacziarg 50
	4.3	The Association of Religion Data Archives
	4.4	Alliance Treaty Obligations and Provisions Project
	4.5	Sherppa Ghent University
	4.6	The International Union for Conservation of Nature's Red List of Threatened Species 64
	4.7	Bonn International Center for Conversion
	4.8	Bar-Ilan University
	4.9	Barro and Lee
	4.10	Boix. Miller and Rosato
	4.11	Bernhard, Nordstrom and Reenock
	4.12	Forman-Rabinovici and Sommer
	4.13	Coppedge, Alvarez and Maldonado
	4.14	Ana Carolina Garriga
	4.15	The Comparative Constitutions Project
	4.16	Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland
	4.17	Armingeon, Wegner, Wiedemeier, Isler, Knoepfel, Weisstanner and Engler
	4.18	Center of Systemic Peace
	4.19	Andrew Williams
	4.20	ETH Zurich
	4.21	Global Footprint Network
	4.22	UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs
	4.23	Ease of Doing Business Report
	4.24	Environmental Performance Index
	4.25	Eurostat
	4.26	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
		0 0

4.27	James D. Fearon	130
4.28	Fund for Peace	132
4.29	Fraser Institute	137
4.30	Guillén and Capron	142
4.31	The Political Terror Scale project	142
4.32	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation	143
4.33	IMF Government Finance Statistics	147
4.34	World Economic Forum	149
4 35	United Nations Development Programme	151
4 36	Kristian S. Gleditsch	152
4 37	Bormann and Golder	154
4.38	Institute for Economics & Peace	160
4 39	Gerring Thacker and Moreno	162
4 40	Witold Henisz	163
4.40	Heritage Foundation	166
4.41	Wahman Tooroll and Hadonius	171
4.42	Institutions and Flortions Droject	174
4.40	Institutions and Electrons 1 to jett	196
4.44	International Country Risk Guide - The FRS Group	100
4.40	International Centre for Tax and Development and UNU-widding.	101
4.40	Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance	192
4.47	Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance	190
4.48	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation	190
4.49	European Research Centre for Anti-Corruption and State-Building	198
4.50	Inter-Parliamentary Union	200
4.51	Johnson and Wallack	201
4.52	Aljaz Kunčič	208
4.53	LIS Cross-National Data Center in Luxembourg	211
4.54	Maddison Historical Statistics	217
4.55	Hyde and Marinov	217
4.56	Pippa Norris	220
4.57	Natural Resource Management Index	221
4.58	Nunn and Puga	222
4.59	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development	224
4.60	The Ocean Health Index	272
4.61	Marshall and Gurr	272
4.62	Norris and Groemping	273
4.63	Ouattara and Standaert	275
4.64	Feenstra, Inklaar and Timmer	276
4.65	Dahlstrom, Teorell, Dahlberg, Hartmann, Lindberg and Nistotskaya	282
4.66	Philip G. Roeder	284
4.67	Michael L Ross	284
4.68	Reporters Sans Frontières	288
4.69	Borcan, Olsson and Putterman	289
4.70	Bertelsmann Stiftung	290
4.71	Elgin and Oztunali	299
4.72	Social Progress Imperative	300
4.73	The Political Terror Scale project	301
4.74	Transparency International	302
4.75	World Inequality Lab	304
4.76	UCDP/PRIO	305
4.77	Pemstein, Meserve and Melton	305
4.78	United Nations Development Program	307
4.79	UNESCO	308
4.80	Tatu Vanhanen	320
4.81	Varieties of Democracy	321
4 82	Institute for Economics & Peace	330
4.83	The World Bank Group	331
4 84	The World Bank Group	336
1.01	The mond Dama Oroup	000

4.85	World Economic Forum				
4.86	Christian Welzel				
4.87	Nyrup and Bramwell				
4.88	World Health Organization				
4.89	World Happiness Report				
4.90	Geddes, Wright and Frantz				
4.91	Sundström and Stockemer				
Bibliography 432					

6 Арр	endix
-------	-------

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 The Quality of Government Institute

The QoG Institute was founded in 2004 by Professor Bo Rothstein and Professor Sören Holmberg. It is an independent research institute within the Department of Political Science at the University of Gothenburg. The institute conducts research on the causes, consequences and nature of Good Governance and the Quality of Government (QoG) - that is, trustworthy, reliable, impartial, uncorrupted, and competent government institutions.

The main objective of the research is to address the theoretical and empirical problems of how political institutions of high quality can be created and maintained. A second objective is to study the effects of Quality of Government on a number of policy areas, such as health, environment, social policy, and poverty. While Quality of Government is the common intellectual focal point of the research institute, a variety of theoretical and methodological perspectives are applied.

#### 1.2 The QoG Data

One aim of the QoG Institute is to make comparative data on QoG and its correlates publicly available. To accomplish this, we have compiled several datasets that draw on a number of freely available data sources, including aggregated individual-level data. The QoG datasets are available in several file formats, making them usable in most statistical softwares as well as in Excel.

The QoG Standard Dataset is our largest dataset consisting of more than 2,000 variables. For those who prefer a smaller dataset, we provide the QoG Basic Dataset, consisting of approximately the 300 most used variables from the QoG Standard Dataset. We also provide a dataset called the QoG OECD Dataset which covers OECD member countries and has high data coverage in terms of geography and time.

The Standard, Basic, and OECD datasets are all available in both time-series (TS) and crosssectional (CS) versions, as separate datasets. In the TS datasets, the unit of analysis is country-year (e.g. Sweden-1984, Sweden-1985 and so on). The CS datasets, unlike the TS datasets, do not include multiple years for a particular country, therefore, the unit of analysis is country. Although, many of the variables are available in both TS and CS, some variables are not, so it is advisable to use the codebook to see which variables are included. Each variable entry in this codebook specifies in which dataset you will find the variable.

The variables in the Standard, Basic, and OECD datasets are categorized in 19 thematic categories. This categorization should be seen as a guideline rather than a definite classification. Most variables belong only to one category, but some variables belong to more than one category.

On the QoG website, we also provide three additional datasets. The QoG Expert Survey (2015), the QoG EU Regional Dataset (2016 and 2020) and the QoG EQI Dataset (2010, 2013 and 2017). The QoG Expert Survey is a dataset based on a survey among experts on public administration around the world. The data is available in an individual dataset and an aggregated dataset. The QoG EU Regional dataset is a dataset consisting of approximately 450 variables covering three levels of European regions. The EQI dataset is based on a survey among 34,000 respondents and concerns corruption on a regional level within the EU (NUTS 2).

Previous versions of all our datasets are available in the Data Archive on the QoG website: https://www.gu.se/en/quality-government/qog-data/data-downloads/data-archive

#### **1.3** Important note on the terms of use of these datasets

The QoG datasets are open and available, free of charge and without a need to register your data. You can use them for your analysis, graphs, teaching, and other academic-related and non-commercial purposes. We ask our users to cite always the original source(s) of the data and our datasets.

We do not allow other uses of these data including but not limited to redistribution, commercialization and other for-profit usage. If a user is interested in such use or has doubts about the license, they will have to refer to the original source and check with them if this is allowed and what requirements they need to fulfill.

Be mindful the original data sources are the only owners of their data and they can adjust their license without previous warning.

#### 1.4 QoG OECD Dataset

#### 1.4.1 Cross-Sectional (CS)

In the QoG OECD CS dataset, data from and around 2017 is included. Data from 2017 is prioritized, however, if no data are available for a country for 2017, data for 2018 is included. If no data for 2018 exists, data for 2016 is included, and so on up to a maximum of +/-3 years.

While this works fine for some variables, it does not for others. For GDP growth it might be far from ideal to use figures from the following or previous year, whereas it might be more or less unproblematic for bureaucratic structures, which are more stable and fluctuate less. We advise you to carefully read the codebook and use your own judgment when using the CS dataset.

Besides the quality criteria for including new datasets and variables into the QoG datasets, we have chosen to add a few rules regarding the number of countries and years a variable must have available in order to be included in these datasets. This also might mean that the original dataset may include other variables, and we urge the users of these datasets to check the original sources as well. For the QoG OECD CS dataset, we drop variables that have information for less than 30 countries after we have picked the data from the focus year or  $\pm/-3$  years.

In the description of each variable in this codebook, there are basic descriptive statistics (minimum year, maximum year and number of countries (N)) and a map indicating the countries that have data for that specific variable in the CS dataset. If the variable is not included in the CS dataset there is a text simply stating that this is the case. The maps should not be confused as visualizations of the data itself; they are only visualizations of the data availability in the dataset.

#### 1.4.2 Time-Series (TS)

In the QoG OECD TS dataset, data from 1946 to 2020 are included and the unit of analysis is country-year (e.g. Sweden-1946, Sweden-1947 and so on).

Regarding the inclusion of variables according to the countries and years covered, for the QoG OECD TS dataset, we drop variables that have information for less than 30 countries and less than ten years.

In each entry in this codebook there are basic descriptive statistics (minimum year, maximum year, number of countries (N), number of observations (n), average number of countries per year  $(\overline{N})$  and average number of years per country  $(\overline{T})$ ) and a bar graph indicating the number of countries with data available each year from 1946 to 2020. If the variable is not included in the TS dataset, there is a text simply stating that this is the case. These should not be confused as visualizations of the data itself; it is only visualizations of the data availability in the datasets.

#### 1.4.3 Country and Time Coverage

We included all 35 countries which were members of OECD in the end of year 2020. The data is provided for these countries in TS from the 1946 until present time. For some countries data is presented from the year of independence or the year of the last major border changes, if they were after 1946 (e.g. Germany presented from 1991, France from 1963, Korea, South from 1948, Slovenia from 1991 etc.). In the Appendix we have included the full list of countries and a short note on how we have reasoned for each country.

Unfortunately, no established international standard exists on how historical cases, resulting either from country mergers or country splits, should be treated in a time-series setting. We have applied the following principles:

After a merger of two countries, the new country is considered a new case, even when the new state formed could be considered as a continuation of one of the merging states. This rule applies to: Germany, which merged from East and West Germany in 1990. If a country has split, the new countries are considered new cases, even when one of the new states could be considered as a continuation of the state that split. This rule applies to: (1) Czechoslovakia, which was split into the Czech Republic and Slovakia in 1993; (2) France which was split into France and Algeria in 1962.

Since most of the original data sources treat these cases of country mergers and splits differently, we have rearranged data in accordance with our criteria above. Consequently, if a merger or a split has occurred and a data source does not treat the countries as different cases, we consider them to be different cases.

To determine where to put the data for the year of the merger/split and when to include data for a newly independent country, we have relied on the July 1st-principle. If the merger/split or independence occurred after July 1st, the data for this year will belong to the historical country or it will not be included. Thus, for example: If Germany in a data source is treated as a continuation of West Germany, we place data up to and including 1990 on West Germany and leave Germany blank until and including 1990, since the merger of Germany occurred in October 1990 (after July 1st, 1990).

#### 1.4.4 A brief note on the QoG OECD 2021 update

To improve consistency and compatibility of statistical data related to QoG, we continuously work to improve the coverage and data quality. For the 2021 update of the QoG OECD Dataset, we have included five new data sources that previously were not part of the QoG datasets. These are:

- The Social Progress Index (The Social Progress Imperative, 2020). It measures the social progress in 163 countries, through a combination of 50 social and environmental outcome indicators that include data on health, safety, education, technology and rights.
- The WhoGov Dataset (Nyrup and Bramwell, 2020). It is the largest available dataset on members of government across time and countries, providing bibliographic information, such as gender and party affiliation, on cabinet members in July every year in the period 1966-2016 in 178 countries.
- IMF Government Finance Statistics: Expenditure by Functions of Government (COFOG) Dataset (International Monetary Fund, 2019). This dataset contains fiscal data for all reporting countries, specifying the expenditure in several functions of government such as defense, education, health and social protection.
- The Property Rights Protection index (Ouattara and Standaert, 2020). It introduces a new index of property rights that focuses on a more strict definition of property rights, separately from other aspects of rule of law, for 191 countries from 1994 to 2014.
- Youth Representation Index (Sundström and Stockemer, 2020). This dataset assesses the magnitude of youths' under representation across countries using the last year of election and it is available for 91 countries.

#### 1.5 Thematic Categories

#### 1.5.1 Quality of Government

This category includes variables that are the core features of QoG (impartiality, bureaucratic quality and corruption) as well as measures that are broader (rule of law and transparency).

#### 1.5.2 Civil Society/Population/Culture

This category includes variables that relate to social capital, personal beliefs, size and distribution of the population as well as ethnic and linguistic fractionalization.

#### 1.5.3 Conflict

This category includes variables concerning armed conflict, including civil war and terrorism, government revenue and spending related to violent conflict (military expenditure, arms imports, military personnel).

#### 1.5.4 Education

This category includes a variety of indicators related to education, such as key characteristics of the educational system (public expenditure, gross enrollment, number of teachers), the students (age, gender, educational level), and educational outcomes (mean scores, literacy rates, numbers of researchers and scientists).

#### 1.5.5 Energy and Infrastructure

This category includes indicators that cover descriptions of different energy sources (production, consumption and trade) and variables related to quality and quantity of different sectors of infrastructure (transportation and communication).

#### 1.5.6 Environment

This category includes geographical characteristics such as the geographical region, land area etc. as well as indicators describing the state of the environment, ecosystems and materials, the impact of human beings on the environment, and environmental protection.

#### 1.5.7 Gender Equality

This category includes variables related to the differences of access and opportunities between women and men by country, such as access to education, overall employment and employment by specific sectors, and indexes that shine a light on the general differences in treatment between men and women.

#### 1.5.8 Health

This category includes indicators describing the health of a population in a given country. These include reports about self-perceived health (state of health), policies and provided infrastructure concerning health (expenditure, number of hospitals), the prevalence of diseases (HIV, tuberculosis), and indicators such as birth rate, death rate and life expectancy.

#### 1.5.9 History

This category includes variables related to historical phenomena or situations, for example colonial origin, legal origin and GDP per capita in the year 1500.

#### 1.5.10 Judicial

This category includes judicial indicators, generally covering legal rights granted by a state to its citizens and their compliance, as well as measures of crimes and the overall state of the judicial system.

#### 1.5.11 Labour Market

This category includes variables about employment, unemployment and union density rate, in general, as well as in subgroups of the population.

#### 1.5.12 Media

This category includes indicators on the freedom of the media in a given country (freedom of the press, regulation of the media) as well as the public access and confidence in the media.

#### 1.5.13 Migration

This category includes indicators related to migratory phenomena such as immigration rates, level of education, brain drain, and refugee population.

#### 1.5.14 Political Parties and Elections

This category includes variables describing various aspects of the legislature and political parties in the legislature (number of seats) as well as variables related to the election for the executive and variables on the outcomes of elections.

#### 1.5.15 Political System

This category includes variables describing the rules of the political system (presidential or parliamentary system), the chief executive (years in office), regime type, stability (age of present regime), and checks and balances as well as aspects of federalism.

#### 1.5.16 Public Economy

This category includes economic indicators that reflect the involvement of the government in the economy (taxes, tariff rates and government expenditures), economic key figures of a state (GDP, inflation, and economic inequality), and indicators that characterize the state of the economy (aid-flows, debt).

#### 1.5.17 Private Economy

This category includes variables characterizing the private sector in a country, inter alia: regulation of the private sector, indicators concerning economic characteristics of groups in the society, such as poverty and household consumption, as well as tax rates.

#### 1.5.18 Religion

This category includes variables regarding numbers of followers of specific religions and the status of religion in the constitution.

#### 1.5.19 Welfare

This category includes indicators on government expenditure related to social welfare (pension, sickness coverage and accidents coverage).

## 2 List of Variables by Categories

## 2.1 Quality of Government

The Bayesian Corruption Indicator (bci bci)	63
The standard deviation of The Bayesian Corruption Indicator (bci bcistd)	64
Number of previous democratic breakdowns (bmr dembr)	75
Corruption Commission Present in Constitution (ccp_cc)	85
Meritocratic Recruitment of Civil Servants Mentioned in Constitution (ccp_civil)	86
State Fragility Index (cspf_sfi)	105
E-Government Index (egov_egov)	111
E-Participation Index (egov_epar)	111
Human Capital Index (egov_hci)	111
Fragile States Index (ffp_fsi)	134
Public Services (ffp_ps)	135
State Legitimacy (ffp_sl)	136
Government Integrity (hf_govint)	168
Judicial Effectiveness (hf_judeffect)	169
ICRG Indicator of Quality of Government (icrg_qog)	186
Administrative Burden (index) (ipi_ab)	199
The Property Right Protection Index (prp_prp)	276
Estimated variance of the PRP point estimate (prp_std)	276
Impartial Public Administration (qs_impar)	282
Impartial Public Administration - Confidence Interval (High) (qs_impar_cih)	282
Impartial Public Administration - Confidence Interval (Low) (qs_impar_cil)	283
Professional Public Administration (qs_proff)	283
Professional Public Administration - Confidence Interval (High) (qs_proff_cih)	283
Professional Public Administration - Confidence Interval (Low) (qs_proff_cil)	283
Policy Performance (sgi_pp)	294
Basic Human Needs (SPI) (spi_bn)	300
Foundations of Wellbeing (SPI) (spi_fob)	300
Opportunity (SPI) (spi_opp)	300
Social Progress Index (spi_ospi)	301
Corruption Perceptions Index (ti_cpi)	302
Corruption Perceptions Index - max range (ti_cpi_max)	303
Corruption Perceptions Index - max range (old method.) (ti_cpi_max_om)	303
Corruption Perceptions Index - min range (ti_cpi_min)	303
Corruption Perceptions Index - min range (old method.) (ti_cpi_min_om)	303
Corruption Perceptions Index (old methodology) (ti_cpi_om)	303
Academic Freedom Index (vdem_academ)	321
Political corruption index (vdem_corr)	322
Election vote buying (vdem_elvotbuy)	324
Executive bribery and corrupt exchanges (vdem_exbribe)	325
Public sector corrupt exchanges (vdem_excrptps)	325
Executive corruption index (vdem_execorr)	325
Executive embezzlement and theft (vdem_exembez)	326
Public sector theft (vdem_exthftps)	326
Legislature corrupt activities (vdem_gcrrpt)	326
Judicial corruption decision (vdem_jucorrdc)	327
Media corrupt (vdem_mecorrpt)	328
Public sector corruption index (vdem_pubcorr)	330
Control of Corruption, Estimate (wbgi_cce)	331
Control of Corruption, Number of Sources (wbgi_ccn)	331
Control of Corruption, Standard Error (wbgi_ccs)	332
Government Effectiveness, Estimate (wbgi_gee)	332
Government Effectiveness, Number of Sources (wbgi_gen)	332
Government Effectiveness, Standard Error (wbgi_ges)	332
Incidence of corruption. U-100 (best) (wef_cor)	403
Control of Corruption (wel_coc)	416

## 2.2 Civil Society, Population and Culture

Ethnic Fractionalization in the year 2000 (alethnic2000)	50
Language Fractionalization in the year 2000 (a) language2000)	51
Religion Fractionalization in the year 2000 (al religion2000)	51 = 51
Right to Marry in Constitution (ccp marriage)	88
Right to Same-Sex Marriages in Constitution (ccp samesexm)	89
Social Globalization (dr sg)	108
Internet use: internet banking (eu isiubk)	126
Cultural Diversity (fe cultdiv)	131
Ethnic Fractionalization (fe etfra)	131
Plurality Group (fe plural)	131
Demographic Pressure (ffp dp)	132
State Legitimacy (ffp sl)	136
Overall Global Gender Gap Index (gggi ggi)	150
Population (in the 1000's) (gle pop)	153
Displaced people (1-5 Higher displacement) (gpi dic)	160
Global Peace Index (1-5 Less peaceful) (gpi gpi)	161
Incarceration (1-5 Higher incarceration) (gpi jail)	161
Safety and Security (1-5 Less secure) (gpi ss)	162
E-Citizenship (index) (ipi e)	199
Children Living in Single-Mother Families (%) (lis clsmf)	211
Elderly population (oecd agedpopgeo g1)	224
Population growth rates (oecd evopop g1)	228
Population levels (oecd evopop t1)	228
Foreign-born population (oecd migforpop t1a)	249
Share of national pop. in the $10\%$ of regions with the largest population (oecd popgeo g1)	253
Percentage of urban population by city size: Small urban areas (oecd popgeo g2a)	254
Percentage of urban population by city size: Medium-sized urban areas (oecd popgeo g2b)	254
Percentage of urban population by city size: Metropolitan areas (oecd popgeo g2c)	254
Distribution of the national population into urban regions (oecd popgeo g3a)	254
Distribution of the national population into intermediate regions (oecd popgeo g3b)	255
Distribution of the national population into rural regions (oecd_popgeo_g3c)	255
Distribution of the national area into urban regions (oecd_popgeo_g4a)	255
Distribution of the national area into intermediate regions (oecd_popgeo_g4b)	255
Distribution of the national area into rural regions (oecd_popgeo_g4c)	256
Population (in millions) (pwt_pop)	279
Ethnolinguistic fractionalization (1985) (r_elf85)	284
Cinema expenditure per capita (une_cinexp)	308
Screen per capita (per 100,000 inhabitants) (une_screen)	313
Women political empowerment index (vdem_gender)	327
Birth rate, crude (per 1,000 people) (wdi_birth)	339
Completeness of birth registration (%) (wdi_birthreg)	339
Births attended by skilled health staff (% of total) (wdi_birthskill)	339
Death rate, crude (per 1,000 people) (wdi_death)	341
Completeness of death registration with cause-of-death information (%) (wdi_deathreg)	341
Fertility rate, total (births per woman) (wdi_fertility)	357
Life expectancy at birth, total (years) (wdi_lifexp)	380
Life expectancy at birth, female (years) (wdi_lifexpf)	380
Life expectancy at birth, male (years) (wdi_lifexpm)	381
Mortality rate, adult, female (per 1,000 female adults) (wdi_mortf)	382
Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births) (wdi_mortinf)	382
Mortality rate, infant, female (per 1,000 live births) (wdi_mortinff)	382
Mortality rate, infant, male (per 1,000 live births) (wdi_mortinfm)	382
Mortality rate, adult, male (per 1,000 male adults) (wdi_mortm)	383
Mortality rate, neonatal (per 1,000 live births) (wdi_mortnn)	383

Mortality rate, under-5 (per 1,000 live births) (wdi_mortu5)	383
Mortality rate, under-5, female (per 1,000 live births) (wdi mortu5f)	383
Mortality rate, under-5, male (per 1,000 live births) (wdi_mortu5m)	384
Population, total (wdi pop)	387
Population ages 0-14 ( $\%$ of total population) (wdi pop14)	387
Population ages 15-64 (% of total population) (wdi pop1564)	387
Population ages 65 and above (% of total population) (wdi pop65)	388
Population density (people per sq. km of land area) (wdi popden)	388
Population, female (% of total population) (wdi_popf)	388
Population growth (annual %) (wdi popgr)	388
Rural population (% of total population) (wdi poprul)	389
Rural population growth (annual %) (wdi_poprulgr)	389
Urban population (% of total population) (wdi_popurb)	389
Urban population growth (annual %) (wdi_popurbagr)	389
E-Participation Index . 0-1 (best) (wef_epi)	405
Citizen Rights (wel_citrig)	415

## 2.3 Conflict and Military Service

Member of an Alliance (atop ally)	61
Consultancy Obligation (atop consult)	61
Defensive Obligation (atop defensive)	61
Neutrality Obligation (atop neutrality)	61
Non-Aggression Obligation (atop nonagg)	62
Number of Alliances (atop number)	62
Offensive Obligation (atop offensive)	62
Transition Year (atop_transyr)	62
Global Militarization Index (bicc gmi)	67
Heavy Weapons Index (bicc_hw)	68
Military Expenditure Index (bicc milexp)	68
Military Personnel Index (bicc milper)	69
External Intervention (ffp ext)	133
Group Grievance (ffp_gg)	134
Security Apparatus (ffp sec)	136
Political Terror Scale - Amnesty International (gd ptsa)	143
Political Terror Scale - US State Department (gd ptss)	143
Expenditure on defense, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs def)	147
Ongoing Conflict (1-5 Higher intensity of conflict) (gpi_conf)	160
Militarisation (1-5 Higher militarisation) (gpi_mil)	161
Executive Power over Military Force (iaep_epmf)	178
Some other executive have the power to use force abroad (iaep_milo)	181
Riots and Protests after Election (nelda_rpae)	220
Violence and Civilian Deaths before Election (nelda_vcdbe)	220
Structure of central gov. expenditures, defence (oecd_gengovdistri_t1b)	235
Societal Violence Scale Index 1-5 (svs_ind)	301
Internationalized internal armed conflict (ucdp_type4)	305
Global Terrorism Index (voh_gti)	331
Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism, Estimate (wbgi_pve)	333
Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism, Number of Sources (wbgi_pvn)	333
Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism, Standard Error (wbgi_pvs)	333
Armed forces personnel (% of total labor force) (wdi_afp)	337
Armed forces personnel, total (wdi_afpt)	337
Arms exports (SIPRI trend indicator values) (wdi_armexp)	338
Arms imports (SIPRI trend indicator values) (wdi_armimp)	339
Military expenditure (% of GDP) (wdi_expmil)	354
Military expenditure (% of general government expenditure) (wdi_expmilge)	355
Terrorism incidence. 1 (very high) - 100 (no incidence) (wef_ti)	413
Number of cabinet ministers with a military title (wgov_minmil)	421
Number of people with a military title, based on all entries for the country in (wgov_totmil)	423

### 2.4 Education

Average Schooling Years, Female (bl_asyf)	71
Average Schooling Years, Male (bl_asym)	71
Average Schooling Years, Female and Male (bl_asymf)	71
Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Female (bl_lhf)	72
Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Male (bl_lhm)	72
Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Female and Male (bl_lhmf)	72
Percentage with Primary Schooling, Female (bl lpf)	72
Percentage with Primary Schooling, Male (bl lpm)	72
Percentage with Primary Schooling, Female and Male (bl lpmf)	73
Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Female (bl lsf)	73
Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Male (bl. lsm)	73
Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Female and Male (b) [smf]	73
Percentage with No Schooling, Female (bl. luf)	74
Percentage with No Schooling Male (bl. lum)	74
Percentage with No Schooling, Finale and Male (bl. lumf)	74
Human Flight and Brain Drain (ffn. hf)	135
Public Services (ffn_ps)	135
Educational Attainment (15.24 years Female) (gen_ca1524f)	144
Educational Attainment (15-24 years, Female) (gea_ear524r)	144
Educational Attainment (15-24 years, Male) (gea_ear524ff)	144
Educational Attainment (25-34 years, Female) (gea_ea25341) Educational Attainment (25-24 years, Mala) ( $a_{22} = a_{22} + a_{23} + a_{23}$ )	144
Educational Attainment (25-54 years, Male) (gea_ea2554m) Educational Attainment (25-44 years, Errol) ( $y_{25} = x^{25}44f$ )	144
Educational Attainment (35-44 years, Female) (gea_ea35441)	140
Educational Attainment (35-44 years, Male) (gea_ea3544m)	145
Educational Attainment (45-54 years, Female) (gea_ea4554f)	145
Educational Attainment (45-54 years, Male) (gea_ea4554m)	145
Educational Attainment (55-64 years, Female) (gea_ea5564f)	146
Educational Attainment (55-64 years, Male) (gea_ea5564m)	146
Educational Attainment $(65+$ years, Female) (gea_ea65f)	146
Educational Attainment $(65+$ years, Male) (gea_ea65m)	146
Expenditure on education, as $\%$ of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs_educ)	147
Global Gender Gap Educational Attainment Subindex (gggi_eas)	150
Medical graduates (oecd_doctor_g3)	226
Structure of central gov. expenditures, education (oecd_gengovdistri_t1i)	237
Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: Total (oecd_migeduemp_	t1c)
248	
Employment rates of foreign-born pop. by educational attainment: Total (oecd_migeduemp_	t1f)
249	
Nursing graduates (oecd nurse g3)	253
Youths who are not in education or in employment (15-19) (oecd socexclus t1a)	261
Youths who are not in education or in employment (20-24) (oecd socexclus t1b)	262
Population aged 25-34 below upper secondary (oecd tertiary t1a)	264
Population aged 25-34 below upper secondary (oecd_tertiary_t1b)	264
Population aged 25-34 in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary (oecd tertiary t1c)	265
Population aged 25-64 below upper secondary (oecd_tertiary_t1d)	265
Population aged 25-64 below upper secondary (occd_tertiary_tle)	265
Population aged 25-64 in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary (oecd_tertiary_t1f)	265
Human capital index see note hc (nwt_hci)	277
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Education (sei social)	207
Human Development Index (undp. hdi)	207
Cross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education both (une girlglef)	307
Cross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, both (une_gingis)	308
Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, remain (une_giftgism)	300 300
Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education female $\binom{0}{1}$ (una circle f)	209 209
Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, remain $(\%)$ (une_girigpt)	209 209
Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, male $(70)$ (une_gringpin)	009 900
Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, both sexes (%) (une_girlgpt)	ეეგ ე1ე
Official entrance age to early childhood education (years) (une_oaeece)	010 010
Omeral entrance age to primary education (years) (une_oaepe)	310

Official entrance age to compulsory education (years) (une_oeace)	310
Official entrance age to lower secondary education (years) (une_oeals)	311
Official entrance age to post-secondary non-tertiary education (years) (une_oeapsnt)	311
Official entrance age to upper secondary education (years) (une_oeaus)	311
Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), female (%) (une_reprisef)	311
Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), male (%) (une_reprisem)	312
Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), both sexes (% (une repriset))	312
Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), female (%) (une reprpef)	312
Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), male (%) (une reprpem)	312
Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), both sexes (%) (une reprpet)	313
Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, female (%) (une surg4pef)	313
Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, gender parity index (GPI) (une surg4pegpi)	313
Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, male (%) (une surg4pem)	314
Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, both sexes (%) (une surg4pet)	314
Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, female (%) (une surg5pef)	314
Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, gender parity index (GPI) (une surg5pegpi)	314
Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, male (%) (une_surg5pem)	315
Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, both sexes (%) (une_surg5pet)	315
Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, female (%) (une_surlgoef)	315
Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, gender parity index (GPI) (une_surlgnegni)	315
Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, gendel party inder (err) (une_surlgeem)	316
Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, have (70) (une_surlgpen)	316
Theoretical duration of primary education (years) (une tource)	316
Theoretical duration of early childhood education (years) (une_tdurece)	316
Theoretical duration of lower secondary education (years) (une_tdurls)	317
Theoretical duration of post-secondary non-tertiary education (years) (une_tdurpsnt)	317
Theoretical duration of upper secondary education (years) (une_tdurused)	317
Teachers in lower secondary education female (number) (une_tilsef)	317
Teachers in lower secondary education, both seves (number) (une_tilset)	318
Teachers in primary education, formale (number) (une_tinef)	318
Teachers in primary education, female (number) (une_tipet)	318
Teachers in pre-primary education, female (number) (une_tiprenef)	318
Teachers in pro-primary education, temate (number) (une_tiproper)	310
Teachers in pre-primary education, both sexes (number) (une_tiprepet)	319
Teachers in secondary education, temate (number) (une_inset)	319
Teachers in upper secondary education, both sexes (number) (une_tiset)	319
Teachers in upper secondary education, temate (number) (une_tiuser)	320
School enrollment, primery, private (% of total primery) (une_fuser)	040 949
School enrollment, primary, private (70 or total primary) (wdr_eduprp)	044 949
Covernment expenditure on education total ( $\%$ of CDD) (wdi_edupts)	044 959
Government expenditure on education, total ( $\%$ of GDF) (wdi_expedu)	000 959
Expanditure on primary education, (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdi_expedue)	252
Expenditure on primary education (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdf_expedup)	000 954
Expenditure on secondary education (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdi_expedus)	504 954
Expenditure on tertiary education (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdi_expedut)	504 956
Government expenditure per student, primary (% of GDP per capita) (wdi_expstup)	000 050
Government expenditure per student, secondary (% of GDP per capita) (wdi_expstus)	300
Government expenditure per student, tertiary (% of GDP per capita) (wdi_expstut)	300
School enrollment, primary (% gross) (wdi_gerp)	301
School enrollment, primary, female (% gross) (wdi_gerpf)	301
School enrollment, primary, male (% gross) (wd1_gerpm)	302
School enrollment, preprimary (% gross) (wdi_gerpp)	362
School enrollment, preprimary, temale (% gross) (wd1_gerppf)	362
School enrollment, preprimary, male (% gross) (wdl_gerppm)	362
School enrollment, secondary (% gross) (wdl_gers)	363
School enrollment, secondary, temale (% gross) (wd1_gerst)	363
School enrollment, secondary, male (% gross) (wd1_gersm)	363
School enrollment, tertiary (% gross) (wd1_gert)	364
School enrollment, tertiary, temale ( $\%$ gross) (wdi_gertf)	364

School enrollment, tertiary, male (% gross) (wdi_gertm)	364
School enrollment, primary (% net) (wdi_nerp)	384
School enrollment, primary, female (% net) (wdi_nerpf)	384
School enrollment, primary, male (% net) (wdi_nerpm)	384
Adjusted net enrollment rate, primary (% of primary school children) (wdi_nerpr)	385
Adjusted net enrollment rate, primary female (% of primary school children) (wdi_nerprf)	385
Adjusted net enrollment rate, primary male (% of primary school children) (wdi_nerprm)	385
School enrollment, secondary (% net) (wdi_ners)	386
School enrollment, secondary, female (% net) (wdi_nersf)	386
School enrollment, secondary, male (% net) (wdi_nersm)	386
Digital skills among active population. 1-7 (best) (wef_dsap)	404
School life expectancy. Years (wef_lse)	409
Quality of vocational training. 1-7 (best) (wef_qvt)	412
Skillset of secondary-education graduates. 1-7 (best) (wef_shg)	412
Skillset of university graduates. 1-7 (best) (wef_sug)	413
University-industry collaboration in $R\&D$ (1-7) (wef_uic)	414

## 2.5 Energy and Infrastructure

Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology) (ech. ge10)	116
Cetting electricity (DD16-10 methodology) (eob_ger0)	110
Dublic Convices (fference)	117
F ubic Services (ip_ps)	100
E-Ottizensmp (index) (ipi_e)	199
Real value added: industry including energy (oecd_evova_tib)	229
Nuclear electricity generation Terawatt hours (oecd_nuclearnrj_tla)	251
Nuclear electricity generation. % of total electricity generation (oecd_nuclearnrj_tlb)	252
Nuclear power plants connected to the grid (oecd_nuclearnrj_tlc)	252
Nuclear power plants under construction (oecd_nuclearnrj_t1d)	252
Production of crude oil (oecd_oilprod_t1)	253
Contribution of renewables to energy supply (oecd_rnewable_t1)	260
Total primary energy supply per unit of GDP (oecd_tpes_t1)	266
Inland goods transport (oecd_transpgood_t1)	267
Generation intensities of municipal waste (oecd waste t1a)	270
Water abstractions per capita (oecd water t1a)	271
Total abstractions of water (oecd water t1b)	271
Electricity generation (oecd_welecgen_t1)	271
Total primary energy supply (oecd_wenergys_t1)	271
Gas exports hillion cubic feet per year (ross gas exp)	285
Not gas exports value constant 2000 dollar (ross_gas_exp)	200
Net gas exports value, constant 2000 dollar (1055_gas_netexp)	200
Constant price of reg in 2000 dollar/mbog (ross_gas_netexpt)	200
Constant price of gas in 2000 donar/indice (ross_gas_price)	200
Gas production, million barrels oil equiv. (ross_gas_prod)	280
Gas production value in 2000 dollars (ross_gas_value_2000)	280
Gas production value in 2014 dollars (ross_gas_value_2014)	286
Oil exports, thousands of barrels per day (ross_oil_exp)	286
Net oil exports value, constant 2000 dollar (ross_oil_netexp)	286
Net oil exports value per capita, constant 2000 dollar (ross_oil_netexpc)	287
Constant price of oil in 2000 dollar/brl (ross_oil_price)	287
Oil production in metric tons (ross_oil_prod)	287
Oil production value in 2000 dollars (ross_oil_value_2000)	287
Oil production value in 2014 dollars (ross_oil_value_2014)	288
Access to electricity (% of population) (wdi acel)	336
Access to electricity, rural (% of rural population) (wdi acelr)	336
Access to electricity, urban (% of urban population) (wdi acelu)	336
Alternative and nuclear energy (% of total energy use) (wdi ane)	337
Fixed broadband subscriptions (per 100 people) (wdi broadb)	340
Renewable electricity output (% of total electricity output) (wdi_elerenew)	343
Electricity production from coal sources (% of total) (wdi_elprodcoal)	343
Electricity production from natural gas sources (% of total) (wdi_elproductar)	3/3
Electricity production from hydroelectric sources (% of total) (wdi_elprodbyd)	243
Electricity production from nuclear sources (% of total) (wdi_ciprodnuc)	244
Electricity production from nuclear sources ( $\%$ of total) (wdi_elproduct)	944
Electricity production from on sources ( $\%$ of total) (wdi_elprodon)	044
Energy imports, net (% of energy use) (wdi_eneimp)	301
Renewable energy consumption (% of total final energy consumption) (wdi_enerenew)	352
Energy use (kg of oil equivalent per capita) (wdi_eneuse)	352
Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)	358
Individuals using the Internet (% of population) (wdi_internet)	373
Mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 people) (wdi_mobile)	381
Oil rents (% of GDP) (wdi_oilrent)	386
Electric power consumption (kWh per capita) (wdi_powcon)	390
Fixed telephone subscriptions (per 100 people) (wdi_tele)	395
Efficiency of air transport services. 1-7 (best) (wef eair)	404
Electricity. 0-100 (best) (wef elec)	405
Percentage of population with access to electricity $\%$ pop. (wef elr)	405
Efficiency of seaport services. 1-7 (best) (wef eport)	406
Efficiency of train services. 1-7 (best) (wef erail)	406
	100

Fixed-broadband Internet subscriptions/100 pop. (wef_fis)	406
Internet users. % pop. (wef iu)	409
Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions/100 pop. (wef mobile)	410
Transport infrastructure. 0-100 (best) (wef qoi)	411
Quality of road infrastructure. 1-7 (best) (wef qroad)	411
Water. 0-100 (best) (wef wi)	414
Population using at least basic drinking water services (%), Total (who dwtot)	424
Total population using basic sanitation services (%) (who_sanittot)	428

### 2.6 Environment

Threatened Species: Amphibians (bi amphibians)	64
Threatened Species: Birds (bi birds)	65
Threatened Species: Chromists (bi chromists)	65
Threatened Species: Fishes (bi_fishes)	65
Threatened Species: Mammals (bi_mammals)	65
Threatened Species: Molluscs (bi_molluscs)	66
Threatened Species: Other Inverts (bi_othinverts)	66
Threatened Species: Plants (bi_plants)	66
Threatened Species: Reptiles (bi_reptiles)	66
Threatened Species: Total (bi_total)	67
Built-up land footprint- Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef_bul)	108
Carbon footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef_carb)	109
Cropland footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef_crop)	109
Total Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef_ef)	109
Fish footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef_fg)	110
Forest product footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (et_for)	110
Grazing footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef_gl)	110
Agriculture $(0-100)$ (epi_agr)	121
Air Quality $(0-100)$ (epi_air)	121
Pollution Emissions $(0-100)$ (epi_ape)	122
Biodiversity and Habitat $(0-100)$ (epi_bdh)	122
Engrate Change (0-100) (epi_ccn)	122
Ecosystem Services (0-100) (epi_ecs)	120
Environmental Performance Index (0,100) (opi opi)	120
Environmental reformance index (0-100) (epi_epi) Ecosystem Vitality (0,100) (opi_ey)	120
Sanitation and Drinking Water $(0,100)$ (epi $h_{20}$ )	124
Heavy Metals $(0-100)$ (epi hmt)	124
Waste Management (0-100) (cpi_mmt)	124
Water Resources (0-100) (epi wrs)	125
Agricultural land (% of Land area) (fao luagr)	127
Arable Land (% of Agricultural land) (fao luagrara)	127
Cropland (% of Agricultural land) (fao luagrcrop)	128
Land area equipped for irrigation (% of Agricultural land) (fao luagrirreq)	128
Land area equipped for irrigation (% of Cropland) (fao luagrirreqcrop)	128
Agriculture area under organic agric. (% of Agricultural land) (fao luagrorg)	128
Land under perm meadows and pastures (% of Agricultural land) (fao luagrpas)	129
Land under Permanent Crops (% of Agricultural land) (fao_luagrpcrop)	129
Cropland (% of Land area) (fao_lucrop)	129
Forest land (% of Land area) (fao_luforest)	129
Planted Forest (% of Forest area) (fao_luforplant)	130
Other naturally regenerated forest ( $\%$ of Forest area) (fao_luforreg)	130
Land under perm meadows and pastures ( $\%$ of Land area) (fao_lupas)	130
Expenditure on environment protection, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs_envr)	148
The Region of the Country (ht_region)	172
Natural Resource Protection Indicator (nrmi_nrpi)	222
Percentage desert in 2012 (nunn_desert)	222
Average distance to nearest ice-free coast (1000 km) in 2012 (nunn_dist_coast)	223
Percentage within 100 km. of ice-free coast in 2012 (nunn_near_coast)	223
Ruggedness (Terrain Ruggedness Index, 100 m) in 2012 (nunn_rugged)	223
recentage tropical climate in $2012$ (nunn_tropical)	224
Structure of control cov expenditures environmental protect (cood control cover (cood)	220 996
Groonhouse gas emissions (eacd groonhouse +1)	200 240
Sulphur Oxides Emmissions (oecd_sovnov_t1a)	240 962
Nitrogene Oxides Emmissions (occd_sovnov_t1b)	203 263
Total amount generated of municipal waste (oecd waste t1b)	200
	0

Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Overall (sgi_en)293Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Environment (sgi_enen)293Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Global Environmental Protection (sgi_enge)293Arable land (% of land area) (wdi_araland)333Land area (sq. km) (wdi_area)334CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)344Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)355Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)355Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)364Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)395	The Ocean Health Index (ohi_ohi)	272
Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Environment (sgi_enen)293Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Global Environmental Protection (sgi_enge)293Arable land (% of land area) (wdi_araland)333Land area (sq. km) (wdi_area)333CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)344Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)353Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)353Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)364Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)393	Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Overall (sgi_en)	292
Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Global Environmental Protection (sgi_enge)294Arable land (% of land area) (wdi_araland)334Land area (sq. km) (wdi_area)334CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)344Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)354Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)354Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)364Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)394	Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Environment (sgi_enen)	293
Arable land (% of land area) (wdi_araland)334Land area (sq. km) (wdi_area)335CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)344Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)355Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)355Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)365Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)395	Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Global Environmental Protection (sgi_enge)	293
Land area (sq. km) (wdi_area)33CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)34Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)35Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)35Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)36Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)39	Arable land (% of land area) (wdi_araland)	338
CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)34Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)35Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)35Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)36Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)39	Land area (sq. km) (wdi_area)	338
Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)35Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)35Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)36Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)39	CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi_co2)	341
Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)354Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)369Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)399	Forest area (% of land area) (wdi_forest)	357
Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)36Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)39	Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi_fossil)	358
Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip) 39	Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)	369
	Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi_precip)	391

## 2.7 Gender Equality

Comparative Abortion Index 1 (0 to 7) (cai cail)	77
Comparative Abortion Index 2 (0 to 1) (cai_cai?)	78
Fortal impairment is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai fortal)	78
Threat to mother's life is accented as grounds for legal abortion (cal_foctal)	78
Threat to mother's mental health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai_mental)	70
Threat to mother's physical health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai_incitial)	70
Programmer as result of range or insect is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai_physical)	79
A bestien is excitable on neguest (aci, neguest)	19
Abortion is available on request (cal_request)	80
Social or economic reasons are accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cal_social)	80
Duty of the People is to Build Country in Constitution (ccp_buildsoc)	80
Corruption Commission Present in Constitution (ccp_cc)	85
Limits on Child Work in Constitution (ccp_childwrk)	85
Meritocratic Recruitment of Civil Servants Mentioned in Constitution (ccp_civil)	86
Reference in Constitution to Democracy (ccp_democ)	86
Equality Before the Law Mentioned in Constitution (ccp_equal)	86
Freedom of Religion in Constitution (ccp_freerel)	87
Human Rights Commission Present in Constitution (ccp_hr)	87
Right to Government Documents in Constitution (ccp_infoacc)	87
Legislative Initiative Allowed (ccp_initiat)	88
Reference in Constitution to Capitalism (ccp_market)	88
Right to Marry in Constitution (ccp_marriage)	88
Right to Same-Sex Marriages in Constitution (ccp_samesexm)	89
Status of Slavery in Constitution (ccp_slave)	89
Reference in Constitution to Socialism (ccp_socialsm)	89
Right to Strike in Constitution (ccp_strike)	90
New Constitutional System (ccp_syst)	90
Year in which the Constitutional System was Promulgated (ccp systyear)	90
Duty of People is to Pay Taxes in Constitution (ccp taxes)	90
Building quality control index (0-15)(DB16-20 methodology) (eob bqci)	113
Dealing with construction permits (DB06-15 methodology) (eob dcp06)	113
Dealing with construction permits (DB16-20 methodology) (eob dcp16)	114
Equal access to property rights index (-2-0) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob eapr)	114
Enforcing contracts (DB04-15 methodology) (eob ec04)	114
Enforcing contracts (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_ec17)	115
Ease of doing business score (DB15 methodology) (eob eob15)	115
Ease of doing business score (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_eob17)	115
Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology) (eob_gc15)	116
Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology) (cob_ge10)	116
Getting electricity (DB16-20 methodology) (cob_ge16)	117
Land dispute resolution index $(0.8)$ (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_ldri)	117
Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology) (cob_nmi15)	117
Paving taxes (DB06-16 methodology) (eob_pt06)	117
Paying taxes (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_pt00)	118
$\Omega_{\rm uality}$ of land administration index (0.30) (DB17.20 methodology) (eob. gla)	118
Bosolving insolvency (och ri)	118
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0.8)(DB16.20 methodology (ech. reast))	110
Providently of supply and transparency of tarm mack (0-8)(DB10-20 methodology (e00_10est))	110
Registering property (DD05-15 methodology) (eob_1p05)	119
Registering property (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_rp17)	119
Starting a business (eoD_sab)	120
Trading across borders(DB00-15 methodology) (eob_tab00)	120
Irading across borders(DB10-20 methodology) (eob_tablo)	120
numan fights and fune of Law ( $np_nr$ )	130
Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (current) (fi_legprop)	139
Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (panel data) (fi_legprop_pd)	139
Minority Snareholder Rights (gc_shr)	142
Independent Judiciary (h_j)	164
Judicial Enectiveness (ht judeflect)	169

Property Rights (hf prights)	170
Constitutional Court (iaep_cc)	176
The Age of the Constitution (years) (iaep_const)	176
The Time the Constitution has been in Effect (years) (iaep_constin)	176
The Time since the Last Amendment of Constitution (years) (iaep_constlam)	177
Index of Public Integrity (overall) (ipi_ipi)	199
Absolute legal institutional quality (simple averages) (kun_legabs)	209
Legal institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun_legrel)	209
Legal World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun_wiqrleg_all)	210
Structure of central gov. expenditures, public order and safety (oecd_gengovdistri_t1c)	235
Quality of Democracy: Civil Rights and Political Liberties (sgi_qdcr)	296
Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law (sgi_qdrl)	296
Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law - Corruption Prevention (sgi_qdrlc)	296
Legislature corrupt activities (vdem_gcrrpt)	326
Judicial corruption decision (vdem_jucorrdc)	327
Rule of Law, Estimate (wbgi_rle)	333
Rule of Law, Number of Sources (wbgi_rln)	334
Rule of Law, Standard Error (wbgi_rls)	334
Intentional homicides (per 100,000 people) (wdi_homicides)	368
Intentional homicides, female (per 100,000 female) (wdi_homicidesf)	369
Intentional homicides, male (per 100,000 male) (wdi_homicidesm)	369
Homicide rate. /100,000 pop. (wef_hom)	408
Judicial independence. 1-7 (best) (wef_ji)	409
Organized crime. 1-7 (best) (wef_oc)	410
Reliability of police services. 1-7 (best) (wef_rps)	412
Workers' rights. 1-100 (best) (wef_wr)	415
Democratic Rights (wel_dr)	416
Personal Autonomy Rights (wel_par)	417
Political Participation Rights (wel_ppr)	417
Rule of Law Index (wel_rli)	418
Rule of Law (wel_rol)	418
Scalezone on Citizen Rights (wel_scalezone)	419
Homicide Rate, Female (who_homf)	425
Homicide Rate, Male (who_homm)	425
Homicide Rate, Total (who_homt)	425

### 2.8 Health

Comparative Abortion Index 1 (0 to 7) (cai cai1)	77
Comparative Abortion Index 2 (0 to 1) (cai cai2)	78
Foetal impairment is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai foetal)	78
Threat to mother's life is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai life)	78
Threat to mother's mental health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai mental)	79
Threat to mother's physical health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai physical)	79
Pregnancy as result of rape or incest is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai rape)	79
Abortion is available on request (cai request)	80
Social or economic reasons are accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai social)	80
Environmental Health (0-100) (epi eh)	123
Public Services (ffp ps)	135
Expenditure on health, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs heal)	148
Global Gender Gap Health and Survival Subindex (gggi hss)	150
Healthy Life Years, Female, Age 1-4 years (ihme hle 0104f)	197
Healthy Life Years, Male, Age 1-4 years (ihme hle 0104m)	197
Healthy Life Years, Both sexes, Age 1-4 years (ihme hle 0104t)	197
Life Expectancy, Female, Age 1-4 years (ihme lifexp 0104f)	198
Life Expectancy, Male, Age 1-4 years (ihme lifexp 0104m)	198
Life Expectancy. Both sexes. Age 1-4 years (ihme lifexp 0104t)	198
Practising physicians (oecd doctor g1)	226
Medical graduates (oecd doctor g3)	226
Total fertility rates (oecd fertility t1)	234
Structure of central gov. expenditures, health (oecd gengovdistri t1g)	236
Infant mortality (oecd infmorty g1)	245
Life expectancy at birth: total (oecd lifeexpy g1)	246
Life expectancy at birth: women (oecd lifeexpy g2a)	246
Life expectancy at birth: men (oecd lifeexpy g2b)	246
Practising nurses (oecd nurse g1)	252
Nursing graduates (oecd nurse g3)	253
Total expenditure on health (oecd pphlthxp t1c)	256
Road fatalities (oecd rddeath t1)	257
Adult population smoking daily (oecd smoke g1)	261
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Health (sgi sohe)	298
Human Development Index (undp hdi)	307
Current health expenditure ( $\%$ of GDP) (wdi chexppgdp)	340
Intentional homicides, female (per 100,000 female) (wdi homicidesf)	369
Intentional homicides, male (per 100,000 male) (wdi homicidesm)	369
Lifetime risk of maternal death (%) (wdi_lrmd)	381
Out-of-pocket expenditure (% of current health expenditure) (wdi ophexp)	387
Smoking prevalence, females (% of adults) (wdi smokf)	393
Smoking prevalence, males (% of adults) (wdi_smokm)	394
Homicide rate. /100,000 pop. (wef_hom)	408
Alcohol consumption per capita (who_alcohol10)	424
Population using at least basic drinking water services (%), Total (who_dwtot)	424
Healthy Life Expectancy, Female (who_halef)	424
Healthy Life Expectancy, Male (who_halem)	424
Healthy Life Expectancy, Total (who_halet)	425
Homicide Rate, Female (who_homf)	425
Homicide Rate, Male (who_homm)	425
Homicide Rate, Total (who_homt)	425
Infant mortality rate, Female (who_infmortf)	425
Infant mortality rate, Male (who_infmortm)	426
Infant mortality rate, Total (who_infmortt)	426
Life Expectancy, Female (who_lef)	426
Life Expectancy, Male (who_lem)	426
Life Expectancy, Total (who_let)	427
Maternal Mortality Rate (per 100 000 live births) (who_matmort)	427

Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Female (who_mrf)	427
Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Male (who_mrm)	427
Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Total (who_mrt)	428
Estimated road traffic death rate (100,000 population) (who_roadtrd)	428
Total population using basic sanitation services (%) (who sanittot)	428
Suicide Rate (per 100 000 population), Female (who_suif)	428
Suicide Rate (per 100 000 population), Male (who_suim)	429
Suicide Rate (per 100 000 population), Total (who_suit)	429
National-level average scores for subjective well-being (whr_hap)	429

### 2.9 History

Consecutive years of current regime type (bmr_demdur)	75
Colonial Origin (ht_colonial)	171
Ethnolinguistic fractionalization (1985) (r_elf85)	284
State History Index, with the discounting rates 0% (sai_statehiste0)	289
State History Index, with the discounting rates 1% (sai_statehiste01)	289
State History Index, with the discounting rates 10% (sai_statehiste1)	289
Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 0% (sai_statehisten0)	290
Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 1% (sai_statehisten01)	290
Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 10% (sai_statehisten1)	290

### 2.10 Judicial

Comparative Abortion Index 1 (0 to 7) (cai cail)	77
Comparative Abortion Index 2 (0 to 1) (cai cai2)	78
Foetal impairment is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai foetal)	78
Threat to mother's life is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai life)	78
Threat to mother's mental health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai mental)	79
Threat to mother's physical health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai physical)	79
Pregnancy as result of rape or incest is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai rape)	79
Abortion is available on request (cai request)	80
Social or economic reasons are accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai social)	80
Duty of the People is to Build Country in Constitution (ccp buildsoc)	85
Corruption Commission Present in Constitution (ccp cc)	85
Limits on Child Work in Constitution (ccp_childwrk)	85
Meritocratic Recruitment of Civil Servants Mentioned in Constitution (ccp civil)	86
Reference in Constitution to Democracy (ccp democ)	86
Equality Before the Law Mentioned in Constitution (ccp equal)	86
Freedom of Religion in Constitution (ccp freerel)	87
Human Rights Commission Present in Constitution (ccp hr)	87
Right to Government Documents in Constitution (ccp infoacc)	87
Legislative Initiative Allowed (ccp initiat)	88
Reference in Constitution to Capitalism (ccp market)	88
Right to Marry in Constitution (ccp marriage)	88
Right to Same-Sex Marriages in Constitution (ccp_samesexm)	89
Status of Slavery in Constitution (ccp_slave)	89
Reference in Constitution to Socialism (ccp_socialsm)	89
Right to Strike in Constitution (ccp_strike)	90
New Constitutional System (ccp_syst)	90
Year in which the Constitutional System was Promulgated (ccp_systyear)	90
Duty of People is to Pay Taxes in Constitution (ccp_taxes)	90
Building quality control index (0-15)(DB16-20 methodology) (eob_bqci)	113
Dealing with construction permits (DB06-15 methodology) (eob_dcp06)	113
Dealing with construction permits (DB16-20 methodology) (eob_dcp16)	114
Equal access to property rights index (-2-0)(DB17-20 methodology) (eob_eapr)	114
Enforcing contracts (DB04-15 methodology) (eob_ec04)	114
Enforcing contracts (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_ec17)	115
Ease of doing business score (DB15 methodology) (eob_eob15)	115
Ease of doing business score (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_eob17)	115
Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology) (eob_gc15)	116
Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology) (eob_ge10)	116
Getting electricity (DB16-20 methodology) (eob_ge16)	117
Land dispute resolution index (0-8) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_ldri)	117
Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology) (eob_pmi15)	117
Paying taxes (DB06-16 methodology) (eob_pt06)	117
Paying taxes (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_pt17)	118
Quality of land administration index (0-30) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_qla)	118
Resolving insolvency (eob_ri)	118
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0-8)(DB16-20 methodology (eob_roest)	119
Registering property (DB05-15 methodology) (eob_rp05)	119
Registering property (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_rp17)	119
Starting a business (eob_sab)	120
Trading across borders(DB06-15 methodology) (eob_tab06)	120
Trading across borders(DB16-20 methodology) (eob_tab16)	120
Human Rights and Rule of Law (ffp_hr)	135
Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (current) (fi_legprop)	139
Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (panel data) (fi_legprop_pd)	139
Minority Shareholder Rights (gc_shr)	142
Independent Judiciary (h_j)	164
Judicial Effectiveness (hf_judeffect)	169

Property Rights (hf prights)	170
Constitutional Court (iaep_cc)	176
The Age of the Constitution (years) (iaep_const)	176
The Time the Constitution has been in Effect (years) (iaep_constin)	176
The Time since the Last Amendment of Constitution (years) (iaep_constlam)	177
Index of Public Integrity (overall) (ipi_ipi)	199
Absolute legal institutional quality (simple averages) (kun_legabs)	209
Legal institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun_legrel)	209
Legal World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun_wiqrleg_all)	210
Structure of central gov. expenditures, public order and safety (oecd_gengovdistri_t1c)	235
Quality of Democracy: Civil Rights and Political Liberties (sgi_qdcr)	296
Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law (sgi_qdrl)	296
Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law - Corruption Prevention (sgi_qdrlc)	296
Legislature corrupt activities (vdem_gcrrpt)	326
Judicial corruption decision (vdem_jucorrdc)	327
Rule of Law, Estimate (wbgi_rle)	333
Rule of Law, Number of Sources (wbgi_rln)	334
Rule of Law, Standard Error (wbgi_rls)	334
Intentional homicides (per 100,000 people) (wdi_homicides)	368
Intentional homicides, female (per 100,000 female) (wdi_homicidesf)	369
Intentional homicides, male (per 100,000 male) (wdi_homicidesm)	369
Homicide rate. /100,000 pop. (wef_hom)	408
Judicial independence. 1-7 (best) (wef_ji)	409
Organized crime. 1-7 (best) (wef_oc)	410
Reliability of police services. 1-7 (best) (wef_rps)	412
Workers' rights. 1-100 (best) (wef_wr)	415
Democratic Rights (wel_dr)	416
Personal Autonomy Rights (wel_par)	417
Political Participation Rights (wel_ppr)	417
Rule of Law Index (wel_rli)	418
Rule of Law (wel_rol)	418
Scalezone on Citizen Rights (wel_scalezone)	419
Homicide Rate, Female (who_homf)	425
Homicide Rate, Male (who_homm)	425
Homicide Rate, Total (who_homt)	425

### 2.11 Labour Market

Limits on Child Work in Constitution (ccp. childwrk)	85
Status of Slavery in Constitution (cop. slave)	80
Dight to Staile in Constitution (cop_slave)	09
<b>P</b> ercentric in all sectors $\%$ tot component full time (total) (ou recallt)	196
Researchers in Higher Education % tot, emloyment - full time (total) (ou resedut)	120
Researchers in Covernment % tot, emloyment - full time (total) (eu_research)	120
Clabel Cander Can Economic Desticination and Opportunity Subinder (gravin noc)	151
Let an Encoder Gap Economic Participation and Opportunity Subindex (gggi_pos)	101
Labor Freedom (n_ labor) Example we start as for a second $15.24$ (and second second $15.24$	109
Employment rates for age group 15-24 (oecd_emplage_t1a)	220
Employment rates for age group 25-54 (oecd_emplage_t1b)	227
Employment rates for age group 55-64 (oecd_emplage_t1c)	227
Employment rates: women (oecd_emplgndr_tla)	227
Employment rates: men (oecd_emplgndr_t1b)	227
Employment rates: total (oecd_emplgndr_tlc)	228
Average hours actually worked (oecd_hourswkd_t1)	240
Long-term unemployment (oecd_ltunemp_t1)	247
Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: low (oecd_migeduemp_t1a)	248
Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: High (oecd_migeduemp_ 248	t1b)
Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: Total (oecd_migeduemp_248	t1c)
Employment rates of foreign-born non, by educational attainment: low (oecd, migeduemn, t1d)	249
Employment rates of foreign-born pop. by educational attainment: High (oecd_migeducmp_tra)	t1e)
249	
Employment rates of foreign-born pop. by educational attainment: Total (oecd_migeduemp_	t1f)
249	
Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Men (oecd migunemp t1a)	250
Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Men (oecd migunemp t1b)	250
Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Women (oecd migunemp t1c)	250
Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Women (oecd migunemp t1d)	250
Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Total (oecd migunemp tle)	251
Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Total (oecd migunemp t1f)	251
Practising nurses (oecd nurse g1)	252
Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (Effect of labour util.) (oecd prodincom	g2b)
257	<i>,</i> ,
Incidence of part-time employment (oecd_ptempl_t1)	257
Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Maximum (oecd regdisplabour g1a)	258
Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Minimum (oecd regdisplabour g1b)	258
Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Average (oecd_regdisplayour_g1c)	258
Begional difference in the employment rate of women. Maximum (oecd_regulaplabour_g3a)	258
Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Minimum (occd_regdisplabour_g3b)	259
Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Average (oecd_regdisplabour_g3c)	259
Gini index of regional unemployment rates (oecd_regdispunemp_g1)	250
Regional variation of the youth unemployment rate: maximum (occd_regdispuncture) g2a)	250
<b>P</b> erional variation of the youth unemployment rate: minimum (oecd_regularpunemp_g2a)	209
Percental variation of the youth unemployment rate. minimum (occu_reguispunemp_g20)	200
Volthe who are not in education on in employment $(15, 10)$ (coord screevalue $(15, 10)$	200
Youths who are not in education of in employment $(10-19)$ (decd_socexclus_tra)	201
I such s who are not in education of in employment (20-24) (decd_socexclus_tib)	202
Unemployment rates: women (oecd_unempirt_tra)	207
Unemployment rates: men (oecd_unempirt_t1b)	207
Unemployment rates: total (oecd_unemplit_tic)	207
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Overall (sgl_ec)	291 202
Foncy Performance: Economic Poncies - Labor Markets (sgi_ecim)	292
Age dependency ratio (% of working-age pop.) (wdi_agedr)	337
Employers, total ( $\%$ of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_emp)	344
Employment in agriculture ( $\%$ of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empagr)	344 245
Employment in agriculture, iemale (% iemale employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empagrf)	<b>5</b> 45

Employment in agriculture, male (% male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empagrm)	345
Employers, female (% of female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empf)	345
Employment in industry (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empind)	346
Employment in industry, female (% female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empindf)	346
Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empindm)	346
Employers, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empm)	347
Employment to population ratio, 15+, female (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empprfilo)	347
Employment to population ratio, 15+, female (%) (national est.) (wdi_empprfne)	347
Employment to population ratio $15+$ total (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empprile)	348
Employment to population ratio, $15+$ , male (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empprint)	348
Employment to population ratio, $15+$ , male (%) (national est.) (wdi_empprmne)	348
Employment to population ratio, 15+, total (%) (national est.) (wdi_empprind)	348
Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24 female % (modeled ILO) (wdi_emppryfilo)	349
Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, female % (instance in b) (wdi_emppryfine)	349
Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, total % (modeled ILO) (wdi_emppryline)	349
Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, male % (modeled ILO) (wdi_empprymile)	350
Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, male $\%$ (inducted into) (wdi_emptrymno)	350
Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, total % (national est.) (wdi_emppryne)	350
Employment to population ratio, ages $10-24$ , total $\%$ (national est.) (wdi_emptyle) Employment in services (% of total employment) (modeled II O) (wdi_empsor)	350
Employment in services ( $\%$ of total employment) (modeled ED) (wdi_empser) Employment in services female ( $\%$ of female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_empserf)	351
Employment in services, remain (% of male employment) (modeled HO) (wdi_empsorm)	351
Labor force with advanced education % of total working age non- (wdi_lfpedua)	373
Labor force with advanced education % of fomale working age pop. (wdi_lfpeduaf)	373
Labor force with advanced education % of reale working age pop. (wdi_lipeduan)	374
Labor force with advanced education % of total working age pop. (wdi_ipeduali)	274
Labor force with basic education % of total working-age pop. basic edu. (wdf_lipedub)	274
Labor force with basic education % of remaie working age pop. basic edu. (wdf_ipedubi)	074 975
Labor force with basic education % of male working-age pop. w. basic edu. (wdf_lipedubin)	373 275
Labor force with intermediate education % of total working-age pop. (wdf_ipedui)	373
Labor force with intermediate education $\%$ of remain working-age pop. (wdi_intermediate)	373
Labor force with intermediate education $\%$ of male working-age pop. (wdi_ipeduim)	373
Labor force, female ( $\%$ of total labor force) (wdf_lfpl)	370
Labor force participation rate ( $\%$ female ages 15+) (modeled LLO) (wd1_iphio15)	370
Labor force participation rate ( $\%$ of female ages 15+) (national est.) (wd1_fipine15)	370
Labor force participation rate (% of total ages $15+$ ) (modeled ILO) (wd1_Ifp1015)	3//
Labor force participation rate( $\%$ of male ages 15+) (modeled ILO) (wd1_ffpmilo15)	377
Labor force participation rate (% of male ages $15+$ ) (national est.) (wdi_lipmne15)	377
Labor force participation rate (% of total ages $15+$ ) (national est.) (wdi_lipne15)	377
Labor force participation rate, total (% of total pop. ages 15-64) (ILO) (wdi_lfpr)	378
Labor force participation rate, female ( $\%$ of female pop. ages 15-64) (ILO) (wdi_lfprf)	378
Labor force participation rate, male (% of male pop. ages 15-64) (ILO) (wdi_lfprm)	378
Labor force participation rate 15-24, female (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi_lfpyfilo)	379
Labor force participation rate 15-24, female (%) (national est.) (wdi_lfpyine)	379
Labor force participation rate 15-24, total (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi_lfpyilo)	379
Labor force participation rate 15-24, male (%) (modeled ILO) (wd1_lfpymilo)	379
Labor force participation rate 15-24, male (%) (national est.) (wdi_lfpymne)	380
Labor force participation rate 15-24, total (%) (national est.) (wdi_lfpyne)	380
Part time employment, total (% of total employment) (wdi_pte)	391
Part time employment, female (% of total female employment) (wdi_ptef)	391
Part time employment, male (% of total male employment) (wdi_ptem)	391
Self-employed, total (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_semp)	392
Self-employed, female (% of female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_sempf)	393
Self-employed, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi_sempm)	393
Unemployment with advanced education (% of total labor force) (wdi_unempedua)	396
Unemployment with advanced education ( $\%$ of female labor force) (wdi_unempeduaf)	396
Unemployment with advanced education (% of male labor force) (wdi_unempeduam)	397
Unemployment with basic education ( $\%$ of total labor force) (wdi_unempedub)	397
Unemployment with basic education ( $\%$ of female labor force) (wdi_unempedubf)	397
Unemployment with basic education (% of male labor force) (wdi_unempedubm)	397

${\rm Unemployment\ with\ intermediate\ education\ (\%\ of\ total\ labor\ force)\ (wdi\_unempedui)}$	398
Unemployment with intermediate education (% of female labor force) (wdi_unempeduif)	398
Unemployment with intermediate education (% of male labor force) (wdi_unempeduim)	398
Unemployment, female ( $\%$ of female labor force) (modeled ILO) (wdi_unempfilo)	399
Unemployment, female ( $\%$ of female labor force) (national est.) (wdi_unempfne)	399
Unemployment, total ( $\%$ of total labor force) (modeled ILO) (wdi_unempilo)	399
Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) (modeled ILO) (wdi_unempmilo)	399
Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) (national est.) (wdi_unempmne)	400
Unemployment, total ( $\%$ of total labor force) (national est.) (wdi_unempne)	400
Unemployment, youth female (% of female labor force 15-24) (modeled ILO) (wdi_unempyfilo)	400
Unemployment, youth female (% of female labor force 15-24) (nation est.) (wdi_unempyfne)	400
Unemployment, youth total ( $\%$ of total labor force 15-24) (modeled ILO) (wdi_unempyilo)	401
Unemployment, youth male ( $\%$ of male labor force 15-24) (modeled ILO) (wdi_unempymilo)	401
Unemployment, youth male ( $\%$ of male labor force 15-24) (national est.) (wdi_unempymne)	401
Unemployment, youth total ( $\%$ of total labor force 15-24) (national est.) (wdi_unempyne)	401
Active labour market policies. 1-7 (best) (wef_alp)	402
Ease of finding skilled employees. 1-7 (best) (wef_efs)	405
Ease of hiring foreign labour. 1-7 (best) (wef_hfl)	407
Hiring and firing practices. 1-7 (best) (wef_hfp)	408
Cooperation in labour-employer relations. 1-7 (best) (wef_ler)	409
Flexibility of wage determination. 1-7 (best) (wef_wbp)	414
Ratio of wage and salaried female workers to male workers (wef_wlf)	415
Workers' rights. 1-100 (best) (wef_wr)	415

### 2.12 Media

E-Government Index (egov_egov)	111
E-Participation Index (egov_epar)	111
Human Capital Index (egov_hci)	111
Online Service Index (egov_osi)	112
Telecommunication Infrastructure Index (egov_tii)	112
Media Bias before Election (nelda mbbe)	218
Press Freedom Index (rsf pfi)	288
Quality of Democracy: Access to Information (sgi qdai)	295
Media corrupt (vdem_mecorrpt)	328

## 2.13 Migration

Human Flight and Brain Drain (ffp_hf)	135
Refugees and IDPs (ffp_ref)	136
Displaced people (1-5 Higher displacement) (gpi_dic)	160
Foreign-born population (oecd_migforpop_t1a)	249
Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi_idpdis)	369
International migrant stock (% of population) (wdi_imig)	370
Net migration (wdi_migration)	381
Refugee population by country or territory of asylum (wdi_refasy)	392
Refugee population by country or territory of origin (wdi_refori)	392

### 2.14 Political Parties and Elections

Contestation (standardized version) (cam contest)	80
Inclusiveness (standardized version) (cam inclusive)	81
Number of changes in government per year (cpds chg)	92
Effective number of parties on the seats level (cpds enps)	92
Effective number of parties on the votes level (cpds enpv)	93
Electoral fractionalization of the party system (Rae index) (cpds frel)	93
Legislative fractionalization of the party system (Rae index) (cpds frleg)	93
Cabinet composition (Schmidt index) (cpds govlr)	93
Government support (seat share of all parties in government) (cpds govsup)	94
Share of seats in parliament: agrarian (cpds la)	94
Share of seats in parliament: electoral alliance (cpds lall)	94
Share of seats in parliament: communist (cpds lcom)	94
Share of seats in parliament: conservative (cpds lcon)	95
Share of seats in parliament: ethnic (cpds le)	95
Share of seats in parliament: feminist (cpds lfe)	95
Share of seats in parliament: green (cpds lg)	95
Share of seats in parliament: liberal (cpds ll)	96
Share of seats in parliament: left-socialist (cpds lls)	96
Share of seats in parliament: monarchist (cpds lmo)	96
Share of seats in parliament: non-labelled (cpds lnl)	96
Share of seats in parliament: other (cpds_lo)	97
Share of seats in parliament: protest (cpds_lb)	97
Share of seats in parliament: post-communist (cpds_lpc)	97
Share of seats in parliament: pensioners (cpds_lpen)	97
Share of seats in parliament: personalist (cpds_lper)	98
Share of seats in parliament: right (cpds_lr)	98
Share of seats in parliament: regionalist (cpds_lreg)	98
Share of seats in parliament: religious (cpds_lrel)	98
Share of seats in parliament: social democratic (cnds_ls)	90
Type of Government (cpds_tg)	99
Share of votes: agrarian (cpds_va)	99
Share of votes: electoral alliance (cnds_vall)	100
Share of votes: communist (cpds_vcm)	100
Share of votes: conservative (cpds_vcon)	100
Share of votes: ethnic (cpds_ve)	100
Share of votes: feminist (cpds_vfe)	101
Share of votes: green (cnds, vg)	101
Share of votes: liberal (cp.ds_v])	101
Share of votes: left-socialist (cnds_vls)	101
Share of votes: monarchist (cpds_vmo)	102
Share of votes: non-labelled (cpds_vnl)	102
Share of votes: other (cpds_vo)	102
Share of votes: protest (cpds_vp)	102
Share of votes: post-communist (cpds_vpcom)	103
Share of votes: pensioners (cpds_vpcom)	103
Share of votes: personalist (cpds_vper)	103
Share of votes: right (cpds_vpc)	103
Share of votes: regionalist (cpds_vreg)	104
Share of votes: religious (cpds_vreg)	104
Share of votes: social democratic (cpds_vs)	104
Voter turnout in election (cpds_vt)	104
Factionalized Elites (ffn_fe)	1.33
Average District Magnitude (gol adm)	154
Districts (rol dist)	154
Effective Number of Electoral Parties (gol epen)	155
Effective Number of Electoral Parties 1 (gol enen1)	155
Effective Number of Electoral Parties (Others) (gol_enepo)	155
Encouve ramper of Encourant artics (Others) (Bor_encho)	199

Effective Number of Parliamentary or Legislative Parties (gol_enpp)	156
Effective Number of Parliamentary or Legislative Parties 1 (gol enpp1)	156
Effective Number of Parliamentary or Legislative Parties (Others) (gol enppo)	156
Electoral System Type-3 classes (gol est)	156
Electoral System Type-11 classes (gol est spec)	157
Institution (gol inst)	157
Multi-Tier Type (gol mt)	158
Number of Seats (gol nos)	158
PR Type (gol pr)	158
Presidential Election (gol preel)	159
Upper Seats (gol upseat)	159
Upper Tier (gol uptier)	160
Proportional Representation (gtm pr)	163
Alignment Executive/Legislative Chamber (lower) (h align11)	164
2nd Legislative Chamber (h 12)	165
Legislative Fractionalization (lower) (h lflo)	165
Size of Largest Party in Legislature (in Fractions) (ht partsz)	172
Banning of Anti-System Parties (iaep_basn)	175
Banned Parties (iaen hn)	175
Some other executive have the power to call elections (iaen_callo)	175
Ethnicity Based Banning of Parties (iaen_ebhn)	175
Executive Nomination of Legislature Candidates (iaen_enlc)	178
Electoral System (iagn_es)	170
Independence of Selection of Executive (isen ise)	179
National Elections for an Executive (incp_inc)	189
National Elections for the Logiclature (icep_nee)	182
National Elections for the Legislature (laep_hel)	182
Notional Referendums (incp_nr)	182
Derties with More then 5 Dereent (ison pm5p)	100
Party Nomination of Logislature Condidates (icon, ppla)	100
Party Nomination of Legislature Candidates (laep_pinc)	104
Pettion Signatures Establish Legislature Candidates (laep_pseic)	104
Party vote Establish Legislature Candidates (laep_pveic)	104
Celf Neuringtian of Levislature Candidates (increased)	100
Electorel Contemporature Candidates (laep_snic)	100
Electoral System Family (Ideaesd_est)	192
Electoral System for the National Legislature (ideaesd_esin)	192
Electoral System for the President (Ideaesd_esp)	194
Legislative Size (Directly Elected) (ideaesd_isde)	195
Legislative Size (voting Members) (ideaesd_isvm)	195
Number of Tiers (ideaesd_tiers)	195
Parliamentary Election: Compulsory Voting (Ideavt_legcv)	196
Parliamentary Election: voter Turnout (ideavt_legvt)	196
Number of Seats (Lower and Single Houses) (ipu_1_s)	200
Share of Women (Lower and Single Houses) (ipu_1_sw)	201
Number of Women (Lower and Single Houses) (ipu_1_w)	201
Party Control over Ballot (lower/only house) (jw_avgballot)	201
Sharing of Votes among Candidates (lower/only house) (jw_avgpool)	202
Candidate or Party-specific Voting (lower/only house) (jw_avgvote)	202
Bicameral System (jw_bicameral)	203
Dominant or Populous Tier (jw_domr)	203
Year of Election (lower/only house) (jw_election)	203
Ballot Access for Independent Candidates (lower/only house) (jw_indy)	203
Number of Coded Legislators (lower/only house) (jw_legsize)	204
Average District Magnitude (lower/only house) (jw_mdist)	204
Party Control over Ballot - MMD (lower/only house) (jw_mmdballot)	204
Sharing of Votes among Candidates - MMD (lower/only house) (jw_mmdpool)	205
Candidate or Party-specific Voting - MMD (lower/only house) (jw_mmdvote)	205
Runoff Elections (jw_multiround)	205

Multi Tier (lower/only house) (jw multitier)	206
Single Party System (jw oneparty)	206
Personalistic Tier (jw persr)	206
Proportion Coded Legislators (lower/only house) (jw propcoded)	206
Seats from Multi-Member Districts (lower/only house) (jw propmmd)	207
Seats from a National District (lower/only house) (jw propn)	207
Seats from Single-Member Districts (lower/only house) (iw propsmd)	207
Rank Vote (lower/only house) (iw rank)	207
Tiervote (lower/only house) (iw tiervote)	208
First Multiparty Election (nelda fme)	218
Media Bias before Election (nelda mbbe)	218
Was More Than One Party Legal (nelda mtop)	218
Number of Elections. Total (nelda noe)	218
Number of Elections, Constituent Assembly (nelda noea)	219
Number of Elections, Executive (nelda, noee)	$\frac{-10}{219}$
Number of Elections, Legislative (nelda, noel)	$\frac{-10}{219}$
Was Opposition Allowed (nelda _ oa)	219
Riots and Protests after Election (nelda rpae)	220
Violence and Civilian Deaths before Election (nelda vcdbe)	220
Electoral Family (no. ef)	221
Electoral Integrity Bating (nei eir)	274
Electoral Integrity Rating Higher C I (nei eirhci)	274
Electoral Integrity Rating Lower C I (pei eirlei)	274
Elected Office (nei off)	274
Perception of Electoral Integrity Index (pei peii)	275
Perception of Electoral Integrity Index Type (pei peit)	275
Quality of Democracy: Electoral Process (sei aden)	$\frac{-10}{296}$
Competition (van comp)	320
Index of Democratization (van_index)	321
Participation (van_part)	321
Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments (%) (wdi_win)	402
Number of years the leader in office continuously (wgoy leadexp)	420
Number of cabinet ministers (wgov min)	420
Average age of cabinet members (wgov_minage)	421
Number of women among cabinet ministers (wgoy minfem)	421
Number of cabinet ministers with a military title (wgoy minmil)	421
Average tenure of cabinet members (wgov_minten)	421
Adjusted retention rate of cabinet members (wgov_mret)	422
Total number of government positions (inc. unoccupied and multiple positions hel (wgov_tot)	422
Average age for all entries for the country in the dataset (wgoy totage)	422
Number of women in the total number of entries for the country in the dataset (wgoy totfem)	422
Number of people with a military title, based on all entries for the country in (wgov totmil)	423
Average tenure for all entries for the country in the dataset (wgov totten)	423
Adjusted retention rate for all entries for the country in the dataset (wgov tret)	423
Year of election (vri voe)	431
Youth Representation Index (35 years or younger) (vri vri35)	431
Youth Representation Index (40 years or younger) (yri yri40)	431
### 2.15 Political System

Official Religion (biu_offrel)	70
Dichotomous democracy measure (bmr_dem)	75
Number of previous democratic breakdowns (bmr_dembr)	75
Consecutive years of current regime type (bmr_demdur)	75
Dichotomous democracy measure (incl. missing for some countries) (bmr_demmis)	75
Democratic transition (bmr_demtran)	76
Democratic Breakdown (bnr_dem)	76
Central Bank Independence unweighted index (cbi_cbiu)	81
Central Bank Independence weighted index (cbi_cbiw)	82
Component 1: Chief executive officer (cbi_cceo)	82
Component 4: Limitations on lending to the government (cbi_cll)	82
Component 2: Objectives (cbi_cobj)	82
Component 3: Policy formulation (cbi_cpol)	83
Year of law creating the central bank (cbi_create)	83
Year of a reform that decreased central bank independence (cbi dec)	83
Effect of the central bank reform on the weighted index (cbi dir)	83
Year of a reform that increased central bank independence (cbi inc)	84
Year of a reform that affects the central bank independence (cbi ref)	84
Whether the central bank is a regional organization (cbi reg)	84
Reference in Constitution to Democracy (ccp democ)	86
Legislative Initiative Allowed (ccp initiat)	88
Reference in Constitution to Socialism (ccp socialsm)	89
Democracy (chga demo)	91
Regime Institutions (chga hinst)	91
Accountability Transparency (diat ati)	106
Information Transparency (diat iti)	106
Transparency Index (diat ti)	106
Index of Globalization (dr ig)	107
Political Globalization (dr. pg)	107
E-Government Index (erov erov)	111
E-Participation Index (egov epar)	111
Human Capital Index (egov_hci)	111
Online Service Index (egov_osi)	112
Telecommunication Infrastructure Index (erroy tii)	112
State Legitimacy (ffn_s])	136
Global Gender Gap Political Empowerment subindey (gggi pes)	151
Parliamentarism (otm_narl)	162
Unitarism (otm_unit)	163
Independent Sub-Federal Unit (h f)	164
Legislative Chamber (h_11)	164
2nd Legislative Chamber (h_12)	165
Political Constraints Index III (h. polcon3)	165
Political Constraints Index V (h. polcon5)	165
Freedom from Government (hf_govt)	168
Begime Type (ht_regtype)	172
Regime Type (in_regipe) Regime Type (simplified) (ht_regtype1)	172
Appointment of Executive (igen_ge)	174
Appointment of Regional Representatives (iaep_arr)	174
Some other executive have the power to call elections (ison_callo)	175
Constitutional Court (ison .cc)	176
Executive Can Change Domestic Taxes (japp eccdt)	170
Executive Can Dissolve Legislature (iacp_cccut)	177
Executive is Member of Legislature (ison_eml)	178
Executive Power over Military Force (ison_opmf)	170
Executive Veto Power (ison evp)	170
Legislature Approves Budget (japp lap)	180
Legislature Approves Budget (racp_rap) Legislature Can Remove Executive (ison_lego)	180
Degisiature Can remove Executive (laep_lete)	100

Some other executive have the power to introduce legislation (iaep_lego)	180
Legislature's Ratification of International Treaties (iaep_lrit)	181
Legislature Veto Power (iaep_lvp)	181
Some other executive have the power to use force abroad (iaep_milo)	181
Official State Party (iaep_osp)	183
Unitary or Federal State (iaep_ufs)	185
Bicameral System (jw_bicameral)	203
Single Party System (jw_oneparty)	206
Cluster memberships based on means (kun_cluster)	208
Absolute political institutional quality (simple averages) (kun_polabs)	209
Political institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun polrel)	210
Political World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun wiqrpol all)	210
First Multiparty Election (nelda fme)	218
Classification of Executives (no ce)	221
Unitary or Federal State (no ufs)	221
Regime Durability (p durable)	273
Revised Combined Polity Score (p polity2)	273
Governance (sgi go)	293
Governance: Executive Accountability (sgi_goea)	294
Governance: Executive Capacity (sgi_goec)	294
Quality of Democracy (sei_gd)	295
Quality of Democracy: Access to Information (sgi_gdai)	295
Quality of Democracy: Civil Rights and Political Liberties (sgi_adcr)	296
Quality of Democracy: Electoral Process (sej_qdep)	296
Quality of Democracy: Bule of Law (sei adrl)	296
Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law (Sr_quit)	296
Unified Demo Score Posterior (Mean) (uds_mean)	306
Unified Demo. Score Posterior (Median) (uds_median)	306
Unified Demo. Score Posterior (2.5 percentile) (uds_nct025)	306
Unified Demo. Score Posterior (97.5 percentile) (uds_perce25)	306
Unified Demo. Score Posterior (Std. Dev.) (uds. sd)	306
Index of Democratization (van_index)	321
Deliberative democracy index (vdem_delibdem)	321
Deliberative component index (vdem_delibuein)	322
Floctoral component index (vdem_dcomp_thick)	202
Exclitation component index (vdem_eral)	323
Egalitarian component index (vdem_egal)	324
Liberal democracy index (vdem_libdem)	324
Liberal democracy index (vdem_liberal)	020 990
Denticipationy component index (vdem_inderai)	040 220
Participatory component index (vdem_partip)	029 220
Farticipatory democracy index (vdem_partipdem)	329
Electoral democracy index (vdem_polyarchy)	329
Voice and Accountability, Estimate (wogi_vae)	330
Voice and Accountability, Number of Sources (wbgi_van)	335
Voice and Accountability, Standard Error (wbgi_vas)	335
Democratic Rights (wel_dr)	416
Effective Democracy Index (wel_edi)	416
Regime Type (wel_regtype)	418
Political System Type (wel_sys)	419
Non-Autocracy (wr_nonautocracy)	430

### 2.16 Public Economy

Number of Recipients to whom Commitments were provided (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid cpnc)	49
Sum of Commitments provided to Recipients (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid cpsc)	49
Number of Donors from whom Commitments were recieved (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid crnc)	49
Number of Int. Org. from whom Commitments were recieved (aid crnio)	50
Sum of Commitments recieved from Donors (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid crsc)	50
Sum of Commitments recieved from Int. Org. (aid crsio)	50
Central Bank Independence unweighted index (cbi cbiu)	81
Central Bank Independence weighted index (cbi cbiw)	82
Component 1: Chief executive officer (cbi cceo)	82
Component 4: Limitations on lending to the government (cbi cll)	82
Component 2: Objectives (cbi cobj)	82
Component 3: Policy formulation (cbi cpol)	83
Year of law creating the central bank (cbi create)	83
Year of a reform that decreased central bank independence (cbi dec)	83
Effect of the central bank reform on the weighted index (cbi dir)	83
Year of a reform that increased central bank independence (cbi inc)	84
Year of a reform that affects the central bank independence (cbi ref)	84
Whether the central bank is a regional organization (cbi reg)	84
Reference in Constitution to Capitalism (ccp market)	88
Duty of People is to Pay Taxes in Constitution (ccp taxes)	90
Economic Globalization (dr eg)	107
Economic Decline (ffp eco)	133
Economic Freedom of the World Index (panel data) (fi index pd)	138
Size of Government: Expenditures, Taxes and Enterprises (current) (fi sog)	141
Size of Government: Expenditures, Taxes and Enterprises (panel data) (fi sog pd)	141
Expenditure on defense, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs def)	147
Expenditure on economic affairs, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs ecaf)	147
Expenditure on education, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs_educ)	147
Expenditure on environment protection, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs envr)	148
Expenditure on general public services, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs gps)	148
Expenditure on housing and comm. amenities, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs hca)	148
Expenditure on health, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs heal)	148
Expenditure on public order and safety, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs pos)	149
Expenditure on social protection, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs rcr)	149
Expenditure on recreation, culture and religion, as $\%$ of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs sp)	149
GDP per Capita (Current Prices) (gle cgdpc)	152
Total Export (gle exp)	152
Real GDP (2005) (gle gdp)	153
Total Import (gle_imp)	153
Population (in the 1000's) (gle_pop)	153
Real GDP per Capita (2005) (gle_rgdpc)	153
Total Trade (gle_trade)	154
Fiscal Health (hf_fishealth)	168
Monetary Freedom (hf_monetary)	170
Tax Burden (hf_taxbur)	170
Trade Freedom (hf_trade)	170
Executive Can Change Domestic Taxes (iaep_eccdt)	177
Legislature Approves Budget (iaep_lap)	180
Consolidated Non-Tax Revenue (ictd_nontax)	187
Revenue (excluding social contributions) (ictd_revexsc)	187
Revenue (including social contributions) (ictd_revinsc)	188
Total Resource Revenue (ictd_revres)	188
Social Contributions (ictd_soccon)	188
Taxes on Corporations and Other Enterprises (ictd_taxcorp)	188
Taxes (excluding social contributions) (ictd_taxexsc)	188
Taxes on Goods and Services (ictd_taxgs)	189
Taxes on Income, Profits, and Capital Gains (ictd_taxinc)	189

Taxes on Individuals (ictd taxind)	189
Indirect Taxes (ictd taxindirect)	189
Taxes (including social contributions) (ictd taxinsc)	190
Non-resource Tax (excluding social contributions) (ictd_taxnresexsc)	190
Non-resource Tax (including social contributions) (ictd taxnresinsc)	190
Other Taxes (ictd taxother)	190
Taxes on Payroll and Workforce (ictd taxpaywf)	191
Taxes on Property (ictd taxprop)	191
Resource Taxes (ictd taxres)	191
Taxes on International Trade and Transactions (ictd taxtrade)	191
Absolute economic institutional quality(simple averages) (kun ecoabs)	208
Economic institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun ecorel)	209
Economic World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun wigreco all)	210
Atkinson Coefficient (epsilon= $0.5$ ) (lis atk $05$ )	211
Atkinson Coefficient (epsilon=1) (lis $atk1$ )	211
Gini Coefficient (lis gini)	213
Mean Equivalized Income (lis meanedi)	213
Median Equivalized Income (lis_medeai)	213
Percentile Batio (80/20) (lis_pr8020)	214
Percentile Ratio $(90/10)$ (lis_pr0010)	214
Percentile Ratio $(90/50)$ (lis_pr9050)	214
Beal GDP per Capita (mad_gdppc)	211
Current account halance (need hop t1)	225
Bool CDP growth (oced_overdp_t1)	220
Real value added: agriculture fishing hunting and forestry (ord, every 11)	220
Real value added: agriculture, fishing, functing and forestry (occu_evova_tra)	223
Real value added: moustry including energy (deca_evova_tib)	229
Real value added: trade repairs transport accommodation and feed cory (coed, every tid)	229
Real value added: Information and communication (coad, every t1c)	229
Real value added. financial and incurance activities (cond_evova_tie)	230
Real value added: infancial and insurance activities (oecd_evova_tif)	230
Real value added in professional scientific technical administration (cood every t1b)	200
Real value added in public administration defense, education human health (seed, evoya, tii)	200 021
Real value added in public administration, defence, education numan nearth (oecd_evova_tii)	201
Real value added in other services activities (oecd_evova_tij)	201
Utiliows of foreign direct investment (oecd_fdiffsth_th)	201
Innows of foreign direct investment (oecd_idinstk_tib)	201
Distant FDI Index (oecd_Idindex_tia)	232
Primary sector (oecd_idindex_tib)	232
Manufacturing (oecd_idindex_tic)	232
Electricity (oecd_fdindex_fld)	232
Distribution (oecd_idindex_tie)	233
Transport (oecd_fdindex_tff)	233
Media (oecd_fdindex_tlg)	233
Communications (oecd_fdindex_t1h)	233
Financial services (oecd_fdindex_tli)	234
Business services (oecd_fdindex_t1j)	234
Outward FDI stocks (oecd_fdistock_tla)	234
Inward FDI stocks (oecd_fdistock_t1b)	234
Structure of central gov. expenditures, general public serv. (oecd_gengovdistri_t1a)	235
Structure of central gov. expenditures, defence (oecd_gengovdistri_t1b)	235
Structure of central gov. expenditures, public order and safety (oecd_gengovdistri_t1c)	235
Structure of central gov. expenditures, economic affairs (oecd_gengovdistri_t1d)	235
Structure of central gov. expenditures, environmental protect. (oecd_gengovdistri_t1e)	236
Structure of central gov. expenditures, housing and community (oecd_gengovdistri_t1f)	236
Structure of central gov. expenditures, health (oecd_gengovdistri_t1g)	236
Structure of central gov. expenditures, recreation, culture and relig. (oecd_gengovdistri_t1h)	236
Structure of central gov. expenditures, education (oecd_gengovdistri_t1i)	237
Structure of central gov. expenditures, social protection (oecd gengovdistri t1j)	237

General government revenues per capita (oecd_gengovexpend_t1a)	237
General government expenditures per capita (oecd_gengovexpend_t1b)	237
Production costs for general gov. compensation of employees (oecd_gengovprod_t1a)	238
Production costs for general gov. costs of goods and services (oecd_gengovprod_t1b)	238
Production costs for general gov. Other production costs (oecd_gengovprod_t1c)	238
Production costs for general gov. total (oecd gengovprod t1d)	238
Gross domestic expenditure on R&D (oecd gerd t1)	239
Adjusted general government debt-to-GDP (excl. unfunded pension liability) (oecd_govdebt_239	_t1)
Adjusted general government debt-to-GDP (incl. unfunded pension liability) (oecd govdebt t2)	239
General government net lending (oecd govdefct t1)	239
General government revenues (oecd govdefct $t\overline{2}$ )	240
General government expenditures (oecd govdefct t3)	240
Income inequality: S80/S20 disposable income quintile share (oecd incinequal t1d)	243
Income inequality: P90/P10 disposable income decile ratio (oecd incinequal tie)	243
Income inequality: P90/P50 disposable income decile ratio (oecd_incinequal_t1f)	244
Income inequality: P50/P10 disposable income decile ratio (oecd_incinequal_t1g)	244
Real effective exchange rates (oecd intlcomp t1)	245
Gross fixed capital formation (oecd_invrates_t1)	246
Long-term interest rates (oecd ltintrst t1)	247
Trade balance of goods (oecd_mertrade_t1)	247
Imports of goods (oecd mertrade t2)	247
Exports of goods (oecd_mertrade_t3)	248
Gross national income per capita (oecd_natinccap_t1)	251
Triadic patent families (oecd_patents_t1)	253
GDP per hour worked (oecd_producom_g1)	256
Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (% gap in USD) (necd productor g2a)	256
Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (Fffect of labour util) (oecd productor	r2b)
257 Lough of CDD per capita and labour productivity (CDD/hour worked) (acad, prodincer - r2c)	957
Devels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (GDP/nour worked) (oecd_productom_g2c) Recorrelations (cond_recorrels_t1)	207
CDP per capita (coed_sized t1)	200
Public social expenditure (accd_socewand_t1a)	201
Drivete social expenditure (occd_socewprid_t1a)	202
Not social expenditure (occd_socexpind_t1s)	202
Trade balance of services (occd_systemde_t1)	202
Inder balance of services (occu_svctrade_t1)	203
Exports of services (oeed_svetrade_t2)	203
Tetal tay revenue (cood totaltay t1)	204
International important in goods and convises (cood, tradendry, t1c)	200
International imports in goods and services (oecd_tradegdp_tra)	200
Value added, agriculture, hunting, fishing and forestry (coad, valeddae, t1a)	200
Value added, agriculture, hunting, fishing and forestly (oecd_valaddac_tra)	200
Value added, industry including energy (oecd_valaddac_trb)	200
Value added, construction (oecd_valaddac_tic)	200
Value added. Information and communication (coord, value)	200
Value added: information and communication (oecd_valaddac_tie)	209
Value added, mancial and insurance activities (oecd_valaddac_tif)	209
Value added, real estate activities (occu_valaddac_tig)	209
Value added in public administration, technical, administration (oecd_valaddac_tin)	209
Value added in public administration, defence, education numan nearth (oecd_valaddac_til)	270
Conital convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national prices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national convices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at constant 2017 national convices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at convices at convices at convices at convices (2017 1) (mathematical convices at c	210
Capital services at constant 2017 national prices $(2017=1)$ (pwt_cs)	211
Capital services levels at current PPPs $(USA=1)$ (pwt_csppp)	211
Share of government consumption at current PPPs (pwt_gc)	211
Share of merchandise exports at current PPPs (pwt_me)	211
Drive level of conital formation price level of UCA CDDs in 2017, 1 (part, pl-f)	210 979
r nee level of capital formation, price level of USA GDPO in $2017 = 1$ (pwt_pict)	218 979
Price level of the capital services, price level of $USA \equiv 1$ (pwt pics)	218

Price level of exports, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt_ple)	278
Price level of government consumption, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt plgc)	279
Price level of household consumption, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt_plhc)	279
Price level of imports, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt_pli)	279
Real GDP at constant 2017 national prices (in mil. 2017US dollar) (pwt rgdp)	280
Share of residual trade and GDP statistical discrepancy at current PPPs (pwt rt)	280
Share of gross capital formation at current PPPs (pwt sgcf)	280
Share of household consumption at current PPPs (pwt shhc)	280
Share of labour compensation in GDP at current national prices (pwt_slcgdp)	281
TFP at constant national prices (2017=1) (pwt tfp)	281
TFP level at current PPPs (USA=1) (pwt tfpppp)	281
Exchange rate, national currency/USD (market+estimated) (pwt xr)	281
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Overall (sgi ec)	291
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Budgets (sgi ecbg)	291
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Economy (sgi eccc)	291
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Global Financial System (sgi ecgf)	291
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Labor Markets (sgi_eclm)	292
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Research, Innovation and Infrastructure (sgi ecri)	292
Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Taxes (sgi ectx)	292
Top 10% income share (top top10 income share)	304
Top 1% income share (top top1 income share)	304
Human Development Index (undp_hdi)	307
Regulatory Quality, Estimate (wbgi rge)	334
Regulatory Quality, Number of Sources (whei ran)	334
Regulatory Quality, Standard Error (whei ros)	335
Arms exports (SIPRI trend indicator values) (wdi_armeyn)	338
Arms imports (SIPRI trend indicator values) (wdi_armimp)	339
Current health expenditure (% of GDP) (wdi_chexppgdp)	340
Domestic general government health expenditure (% of GDP) (wdi_dgovheyn)	341
Domestic private health expenditure (% of current health expenditure) (wdi_dprivbexp)	342
External health expenditure (% of current health expenditure) (wdi_ehevpp)	342
Energy imports net (% of energy use) (wdi_eneimp)	351
Exports of goods and services (% of GDP) (wdi_export)	355
Foreign direct investment, net inflows (% of GDP) (wdi_fdiin)	357
Foreign direct investment, net nutflows (% of GDP) (wdi_fdiout)	357
Agriculture forestry and fishing value added (% of GDP) (wdi_ddnagr)	358
GDP per capita (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi_gdpcapcon2010)	358
CDP per capita (current US dollar) (wdi_gdpcapcur)	350
GDP per capita growth (annual %) (wdi_gdpcaped)	350
CDP per capita PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi_gdpcappppcon2017)	350
GDP per capita, PPP (current international dollar) (wdi_gdpcappppcoi2017)	350
GDP growth (annual %) (wdi_gdpgr)	360
Industry (including construction) value added (% of GDP) (wdi_gdpind)	360
CDP PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi_gdppppcon2017)	360
CDP PPP (current international dollar) (wdi_gdppppcur2017)	361
Cini index (World Bank estimate) (wdi_gini)	364
CNL Atlas method (current US dellar) (wdi_gnin)	365
CNI per capita Atlas method (current US dollar) (wdi_gniaticur)	365
CNI per capita, Atlas method (current 0.5 dollar) (wdi_gnicapaticur)	366
CNI per capita (constant 2010 05 dona) (wdi_gnicapcon2010)	366
CNI per capita BDP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi_gnicappp.con2017)	366
CNI per capita, PPP (current international dollar) (wdi_gnicappppcoli2017)	366
CNI (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi_gnicon2010)	367
CNI (current IIS dollar) (wdi_gnicur)	267
CNI growth (appual %) (wdi_gnigr)	267
CNI PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi gniapacar2017)	106 260
CNI PPP (current international dollar) (wdi_gnipppcoli2017)	260 200
Imports of goods and services (% of CDP) (wdj. import)	300 270
imports or goods and services (% or GDF) (wdf_import)	370

Income share held by highest 10% (wdi_incsh10h)	370
Income share held by lowest 10% (wdi incsh10l)	371
Income share held by second 20% (wdi_incsh202)	371
Income share held by third 20% (wdi_incsh203)	371
Income share held by fourth 20% (wdi_incsh204)	371
Income share held by highest $20\%$ (wdi_incsh20h)	372
Income share held by lowest 20% (wdi_incsh20l)	372
Inflation, consumer prices (annual %) (wdi_inflation)	372
Interest payments (% of expense) (wdi_interexp)	372
Interest payments (% of revenue) (wdi_interrev)	373
Oil rents (% of GDP) (wdi_oilrent)	386
Poverty gap at USD 3.20 a day (2011 PPP) (%) (wdi_povgap320)	390
Services, value added (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi_sva2010)	394
Services, value added (annual % growth) (wdi_svapg)	394
Services, value added (% of GDP) (wdi_svapgdp)	395
Tax revenue (% of GDP) (wdi_taxrev)	395
Trade (% of GDP) (wdi_trade)	395
Trade in services (% of GDP) (wdi_tradeserv)	396
Debt dynamics. 0-100 (best) (wef_ddyn)	404
Gross domestic product (billions, PPP) (wef_gdpp2)	407
Inflation. Annual % change (wef_infl)	408
Prevalence of non-tariff barriers. 1-7 (best) (wef_pntb)	410
Pay and productivity. 1-7 (best) (wef_pp)	411
Scientific publications. H Index (wef sp)	413

### 2.17 Private Economy

Building quality control index (0-15)(DB16-20 methodology) (eob baci)	113
Dealing with construction permits (DB06-15 methodology) (eob dcp06)	113
Dealing with construction permits (DB16-20 methodology) (eob dcp16)	114
Equal access to property rights index (-2-0) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob eapr)	114
Enforcing contracts (DB04-15 methodology) (eob ec04)	114
Enforcing contracts (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_ec17)	115
Ease of doing business score (DB15 methodology) (eob eob15)	115
Ease of doing business score (DB17-20 methodology) (eob eob17)	115
Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology) (eob gc15)	116
Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology) (eob ge10)	116
Getting electricity (DB16-20 methodology) (eob ge16)	117
Land dispute resolution index (0-8) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_ldri)	117
Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology) (eob_pmi15)	117
Paying taxes (DB06-16 methodology) (eob_pt06)	117
Paying taxes (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_pt17)	118
Quality of land administration index $(0-30)$ (DB17-20 methodology) $(eob_qla)$	118
Resolving insolvency (eob_ri)	118
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0-8)(DB16-20 methodology (eob_roest)	119
Registering property (DB05-15 methodology) (eob_rp05)	119
Registering property (DB17-20 methodology) (eob_rp17)	119
Starting a business (eob_sab)	120
Trading across borders(DB06-15 methodology) (eob_tab06)	120
Trading across borders(DB16-20 methodology) (eob_tab16)	120
Patent applications to the EPO, Per million inhabitants (eu_sctrtotpmin)	126
Patent applications to the EPO, number (eu_scttotn)	127
Uneven Economic Development (ffp_ued)	136
Freedom to Trade Internationally (current) (fi_ftradeint)	137
Freedom to Trade Internationally (panel data) (fi_ftradeint_pd)	138
Economic Freedom of the World Index (current) (fi_index)	138
Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (current) (fi_legprop)	139
Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (panel data) (fi_legprop_pd)	139
Regulation of Credit, Labor and Business (current) (fi_reg)	139
Regulation of Credit, Labor and Business (panel data) (fi_reg_pd)	140
Access to Sound Money (current) (fi_sm)	140
Access to Sound Money (chain_linked) (fi_sm_pd)	141
Minority Shareholder Rights (gc_shr)	142
Global Gender Gap Economic Participation and Opportunity Subindex (gggi_pos)	151
Business Freedom (hf_business)	166
Economic Freedom Index (hf_efiscore)	167
Financial Freedom (hf_financ)	167
Investment Freedom (hf_invest)	169
Labor Freedom (hf_labor)	169
Property Rights (hf_prights)	170
Trade Openness (index) (ipi_tradeopen)	200
Children Poverty Rates - Single-Mother Families (50%) (lis_cprsmf)	212
Children Poverty Rates - Two-Parent Families (50%) (lis_cprtpf)	212
Distribution of Children by Income Group (above 150%) (lis_dc150)	212
Distribution of Children by Income Group (50-75%) (lis_dc5075)	212
Distribution of Children by Income Group (75-150%) (lis_dc75150)	213
Mean Equivalized Income (lis_meaneqi)	213
Median Equivalized Income (lis_medeqi)	213
Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (40%) (lis_rpr40)	214
Relative Poverty Rates - Children (40%) (lis_rprc40)	215
Relative Poverty Rates - Children (50%) (lis_rprc50)	215
Relative Poverty Rates - Children (60%) (lis_rprc60)	215
Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (50%) (lis_rpre50)	215
Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (60%) (lis_rpre60)	216

Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (40%) (lis_rprt40)	216
Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (50%) (lis_rprt50)	216
Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (60%) (lis_rprt60)	216
CPI: all items (oecd_cpi_t1a)	225
CPI: all items non food non energy (oecd_cpi_t1b)	225
CPI: food (oecd_cpi_t1c)	226
CPI: energy (oecd_cpi_t1d)	226
Households debt (oecd_housdebt_t1)	241
Real household disposable income (oecd housinc t1)	241
Household net saving rates (oecd_houssave_t1)	241
Financial asset of households: Currency and deposits (oecd_houswealth_t1a)	241
Financial asset of households: Debt securities (oecd_houswealth_t1b)	242
Financial asset of households: equity (oecd_houswealth_t1c)	242
Financial asset of households: investment funds shares (oecd houswealth t1d)	242
Financial asset of households: Life insurance and annuities (oecd houswealth t1e)	242
Financial asset of households: Pension funds (oecd houswealth t1f)	243
Income inequality: Gini (at disposable income post taxes & transfers) (oecd incinequal t1a)	243
Relative poverty rates: Entire population (oecd incompoverty t1a)	244
Relative poverty rates: Children (age 0-17) (oecd incompoverty t1b)	244
Relative poverty rates: Working-age population (age 18-65) (oecd incompoverty t1c)	245
Relative poverty rates: Retirement-age population (over 65) (oecd incompoverty t1d)	245
Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (Effect of labour util.) (oecd prodincom	g2b)
257	
Gini index of regional unemployment rates (oecd regdispunemp g1)	259
Purchasing power parities (oecd rtsconv t1a)	260
Indices of price levels (oecd rtsconv t1b)	261
Taxes on the average worker (oecd taxapw t1)	264
The Property Right Protection Index (prp prp)	276
Estimated variance of the PRP point estimate (prp_std)	276
Level of the shadow economy (shec se)	299
New business density (new registrations per 1,000 people ages 15-64) (wdi busden)	340
Ease of doing business index (1=most business-friendly regulations) (wdi_eodb)	352
Poverty gap at USD 1.90 a day (2011 PPP) (%) (wdi povgap190)	390
Strength of auditing and reporting standards. 1-7 (best) (wef audit)	402
Burden of government regulation. 1-7 (best) (wef bgr)	403
Credit gap. percentage points (wef cg)	403
Innovation capability. 0-100 (best) (wef ci)	403
Global Competitiveness Index 4.0. 0-100 (best) (wef gci)	406
Growth of innovative companies. 1-7 (best) (wef gic)	407
Intellectual property protection. 1-7 (best) (wef ipr)	408
Extent of market dominance. 1-7 (best) (wef md)	410
Organized crime. 1-7 (best) (wef oc)	410
Property rights. 1-7 (best) (wef pr)	411
Financing of SMEs. 1-7 (best) (wef smec)	412
University-industry collaboration in $R\&D$ (1-7) (wef uic)	414
Venture capital availability. 1-7 (best) (wef_vca)	414

### 2.18 Religion

Religion Fractionalization in the year 2000 (al religion 2000)	51
Animist religions: Total (% Adherents) (arda angenpct)	52
Baha'i: Total (% Adherents) (arda bagenpct)	52
Buddhism: Total (% Adherents) (arda bugenpct)	52
Buddhism: Mahayana (% Adherents) (arda bumahpct)	53
Buddhism: Other (% Adherents) (arda buothpct)	53
Buddhism: Theravada (% Adherents) (arda buthrpct)	53
Christianity: Anglican (% Adherents) (arda changpet)	53
Christianity: Roman Catholics (% Adherents) (arda chcatpct)	53
Christianity: Total (% Adherents) (arda chgenpct)	54
Christianity: Eastern Orthodox (% Adherents) (arda chortpct)	54
Christianity: Other (% Adherents) (arda chothpct)	54
Christianity: Protestants (% Adherents) (arda chprtpct)	54
Confucianism: Total (% Adherents) (arda cogenpct)	55
Hindu: Total (% Adherents) (arda higenpet)	55
Islam: Ahmadiyya (% Adherents) (arda isahmpct)	55
Islam: Alawite (% Adherents) (arda isalapct)	55
Islam: Total (% Adherents) (arda isgenpct)	56
Islam: Ibadhi (% Adherents) (arda isibdoct)	56
Islam: Other (% Adherents) (arda islotpct)	56
Islam: Nation of Islam (% Adherents) (arda isnatpct)	56
Islam: Shi'a (% Adherents) (arda isshipct)	57
Islam: Sunni (% Adherents) (arda issunpct)	57
Jain: Total (% Adherents) (arda jagenpet)	57
Judaism: Conservative (% Adherents) (arda_idcnpct)	57
Judaism: Total (% Adherents) (arda idgenpct)	58
Judaism: Orthodox (% Adherents) (arda idorpct)	58
Judaism: Other (% Adherents) (arda idotpct)	58
Judaism: Reform (% Adherents) (arda idrfpct)	58
Non-religious: Total (% Adherents) (arda norelpct)	59
Other religions: Total (% Adherents) (arda otgenpct)	59
Shinto: Total (% Adherents) (arda shgenpct)	59
Sikh: Total (% Adherents) (arda sigenpet)	59
Syncretic religions: Total (% Adherents) (arda sygenpet)	60
Taoism: Total (% Adherents) (arda tagenpct)	60
Zoroastrian: Total (% Adherents) (arda zogenpct)	60
Official Religion (biu offrel)	70
Religious Legislation (biu relleg)	70
Freedom of Religion in Constitution (ccp freerel)	87
Expenditure on recreation, culture and religion, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs sp)	149
Religion Based Banning of Parties (iaep rbbp)	185
Structure of central gov. expenditures, recreation, culture and relig. (oecd_gengovdistri_t1h)	236

### 2.19 Welfare

Economic Decline (ffp eco)	133
Expenditure on education, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs educ)	147
Expenditure on general public services, as $\%$ of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs gps)	148
Expenditure on housing and comm. amenities, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs_hca)	148
Expenditure on health, as $\%$ of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs_heal)	148
Expenditure on social protection, as $\%$ of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs rcr)	149
Structure of central gov. expenditures, social protection (oecd gengovdistri t1j)	237
Public social expenditure (oecd socexpnd t1a)	262
Private social expenditure (oecd socexpnd t1b)	262
Net social expenditure (oecd socexpnd $t1c$ )	262
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Overall (sgi so)	297
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Education (sgi soed)	297
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Families (sgi sofa)	297
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Global Social Inequalities (sgi sogi)	298
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Health (sgi sohe)	298
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Integration Policy (sgi soin)	298
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Pensions (sgi sope)	298
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Social Inclusion (sgi sosi)	299
Policy Performance: Social Policies - Safe Living Conditions (sgi sosl)	299

### 3 Identification Variables

#### 3.0.1 ccode Country Code

Numeric country code based on the ISO-3166-1 standard. All the numeric country codes are unique and this is thus the variable best suitable to use when merging files (in combination with year for time-series data). (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISO\_3166-1\_numeric)

#### 3.0.2 ccodealp 3-letter Country Code

A three-letter country code based on the ISO-3166-1 alpha3 standard. Please note that the ccodealp variable does not uniquely identify all countries.

#### 3.0.3 ccodealp year 3-letter Country Code and Year

A three-letter country code and year.

#### 3.0.4 ccodecow Country Code COW

Country code from the Correlates of War.

#### 3.0.5 ccodewb Country Code World Bank

Country code from the World Bank.

#### 3.0.6 cname Country Name

The name of the country.

#### 3.0.7 cname\_year Country Name and Year

Country name and year.

#### 3.0.8 version Version of the Dataset

Version of the QoG dataset.

3.0.9 year Year

Year.

### 4 Description of Variables by Original Data Sources

#### 4.1 AidData

http://aiddata.org/aiddata-research-releases (Tierney et al., 2011) (AidData, 2017) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-14)

#### AidData v. 3.1

AidData's Core Research Release 3.1 is a corrected snapshot of AidData's entire project-level database from April 2016. This database includes commitment information for over 1.5 million development finance activities funded between 1947 and 2013, covers 96 donors, and includes ODA, OOF flows, Equity Investments, and Export Credits where available.

### 4.1.1 Number of Recipients to whom Commitments were provided (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid cpnc)

Number of Recipients to whom Commitments were provided, not including International Organizations



4.1.2 Sum of Commitments provided to Recipients (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid\_cpsc) Sum of Commitments provided to Recipients, not including International Organizations



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1973 Max. Year: 2013 N: 33 n: 841  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 25

### 4.1.3 Number of Donors from whom Commitments were recieved (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid\_crnc)

Number of Donors from whom Commitments were recieved, not including International Organizations

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



**4.1.4** Number of Int. Org. from whom Commitments were recieved (aid\_crnio) Number of International Organizations from whom Commitments were recieved

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1947 Max. Year: 2013 N: 33 n: 568  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 17

4.1.5 Sum of Commitments recieved from Donors (not incl. Int. Org.) (aid\_crsc) Sum of Commitments recieved from Donors, not including International Organizations

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1973 Max. Year: 2013 N: 35 n: 411  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.1.6 Sum of Commitments recieved from Int. Org. (aid crsio)

Sum of Commitments recieved from International Organizations





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1947 Max. Year: 2013 N: 33 n: 568  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 17

#### 4.2 Alesina, Devleeschauwer, Easterly, Kurlat and Wacziarg

http://www.anderson.ucla.edu/faculty\_pages/romain.wacziarg/papersum.html (Alesina et al., 2003) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-10)

#### Fractionalization

The variables reflect the probability that two randomly selected people from a given country will not share a certain characteristic, the higher the number the less probability of the two sharing that characteristic. The data was last updated by the authors in 2003. For the QoG Data, the data from the year 2000 is repeated throughout the other years, then, these variables should be taken as historical variables.

#### 4.2.1 Ethnic Fractionalization in the year 2000 (al ethnic2000)

Ethnic Fractionalization in the year 2000. The definition of ethnicity involves a combination of racial and linguistic characteristics. The result is a higher degree of fractionalization than the commonly

used ELF-index (see el\_elf60) in for example Latin America, where people of many races speak the same language.



#### 4.2.2 Language Fractionalization in the year 2000 (al language2000)

Linguistic Fractionalization in the year 2000. Reflects probability that two randomly selected people from a given country will not belong to the same linguistic group. The higher the number, the more fractionalized society.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 2556  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 71

#### 4.2.3 Religion Fractionalization in the year 2000 (al religion2000)

Religious Fractionalization in the year 2000. Reflects probability that two randomly selected people from a given country will not belong to the same religious group. The higher the number, the more fractionalized society.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 2556  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 71

#### 4.3 The Association of Religion Data Archives

http://www.thearda.com/Archive/CrossNational.asp (Maoz & Henderson, 2013) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-21)

#### World Religion Project: National Religion Dataset

The World Religion Dataset (WRD) aims to provide detailed information about religious adherence worldwide since 1945. It contains data about the number of adherents by religion in each of the states in the international system. These numbers are given for every half-decade period (1945, 1950, etc., through 2010). Percentages of the states' populations that practice a given religion are also provided. (Note: These percentages are expressed as decimals, ranging from 0 to 1, where 0 indicates that 0 percent of the population practices a given religion and 1 indicates that 100 percent of the population

practices that religion). Some of the religions are divided into religious families. To the extent data are available, the breakdown of adherents within a given religion into religious families is also provided.

The project was developed in three stages. The first stage consisted of the formation of a religion tree. A religion tree is a systematic classification of major religions and of religious families within those major religions. To develop the religion tree a comprehensive literature review was prepared, the aim of which was (i) to define a religion, (ii) to find tangible indicators of a given religion of religious families within a major religion, and (iii) to identify existing efforts at classifying world religions. (Please see the original survey instrument to view the structure of the religion tree). The second stage consisted of the identification of major data sources of religious adherence and the collection of data from these sources according to the religion tree classification. This created a dataset that included multiple records for some states for a given point in time. It also contained multiple missing data for specific states, specific time periods and specific religions. The third stage consisted of cleaning the data, reconciling discrepancies of information from different sources and imputing data for the missing cases.

The National Religion Dataset: The observation in this dataset is a state-five-year unit. This dataset provides information regarding the number of adherents by religions, as well as the percentage of the state's population practicing a given religion.

#### 4.3.1 Animist religions: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_angenpct)

Animist religions: Total (% Adherents).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.3.2 Baha'i: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_bagenpct)

Baha'i: Total (% Adherents).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.3 Buddhism: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_bugenpct) Buddhism: Total (% Adherents).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.3.4 Buddhism: Mahayana (% Adherents) (arda\_bumahpct)

Buddhism: Mahayana (% Adherents).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.3.5 Buddhism: Other (% Adherents) (arda\_buothpct)

Buddhism: Other (% Adherents).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.6 Buddhism: Theravada (% Adherents) (arda\_buthrpct) Buddhism: Theravada (% Adherents).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.3.7 Christianity: Anglican (% Adherents) (arda\_changpct) Christianity: Anglican (% Adherents).

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.8 Christianity: Roman Catholics (% Adherents) (arda\_chcatpct) Christianity: Roman Catholics (% Adherents).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.9 Christianity: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_chgenpct) Christianity: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.3.10** Christianity: Eastern Orthodox (% Adherents) (arda\_chortpct) Christianity: Eastern Orthodox (% Adherents).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.3.11 Christianity: Other (% Adherents) (arda\_chothpct) Christianity: Other (% Adherents).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.12 Christianity: Protestants (% Adherents) (arda\_chprtpct) Christianity: Protestants (% Adherents).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

**Min. Year**: 1950 **Max. Year**: 2010 **N**: 36 **n**: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.13 Confucianism: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_cogenpct) Confucianism: Total (% Adherents).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.3.14 Hindu: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_higenpct)** Hindu: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.3.15 Islam: Ahmadiyya (% Adherents) (arda\_isahmpct) Islam: Ahmadiyya (% Adherents).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.16 Islam: Alawite (% Adherents) (arda\_isalapct) Islam: Alawite (% Adherents).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.17 Islam: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_isgenpct) Islam: Total (% Adherents).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.18 Islam: Ibadhi (% Adherents) (arda\_isibdpct) Islam: Ibadhi (% Adherents).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.3.19 Islam: Other (% Adherents) (arda\_islotpct) Islam: Other (% Adherents).



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.20 Islam: Nation of Islam (% Adherents) (arda\_isnatpct) Islam: Nation of Islam (% Adherents).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.21 Islam: Shi'a (% Adherents) (arda\_isshipct) Islam: Shi'a (% Adherents).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.22 Islam: Sunni (% Adherents) (arda\_issunpct) Islam: Sunni (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.3.23 Jain: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_jagenpct) Jain: Total (% Adherents).



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.24 Judaism: Conservative (% Adherents) (arda\_jdcnpct) Judaism: Conservative (% Adherents).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010

N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.25 Judaism: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_jdgenpct) Judaism: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

**4.3.26** Judaism: Orthodox (% Adherents) (arda\_jdorpct) Judaism: Orthodox (% Adherents).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.27 Judaism: Other (% Adherents) (arda\_jdotpct) Judaism: Other (% Adherents).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.28 Judaism: Reform (% Adherents) (arda\_jdrfpct) Judaism: Reform (% Adherents).



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.29 Non-religious: Total (% Adherents) (arda norelpct) Non-religious: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.30Other religions: Total (% Adherents) (arda otgenpct) Other religions: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010 **N**: 36 **n**: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.31Shinto: Total (% Adherents) (arda shgenpct) Shinto: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010 **N**: 36 **n**: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.32 Sikh: Total (% Adherents) (arda sigenpct) Sikh: Total (% Adherents).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.33 Syncretic religions: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_sygenpct) Syncretic religions: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.3.34 Taoism: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_tagenpct) Taoism: Total (% Adherents).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.3.35** Zoroastrian: Total (% Adherents) (arda\_zogenpct) Zoroastrian: Total (% Adherents).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 400  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.4 Alliance Treaty Obligations and Provisions Project

http://www.atopdata.org/ (Leeds et al., 2002) (Data downloaded: 2020-12-29)

#### The ATOP State-Year dataset

The Alliance Treaty Obligations and Provisions (ATOP) project provides data regarding the content of military alliance agreements signed by all countries of the world between 1815 and 2018.

#### 4.4.1 Member of an Alliance (atop ally)

Member of an Alliance

- 0. Not a member of an alliance
- 1. Member of an alliance







Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.4.2 Consultancy Obligation (atop consult)

**Consultancy** Obligation

- 0. Has no Consultancy obligations
- 1. Has Consultancy obligations



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.4.3 Defensive Obligation (atop\_defensive)

Defensive Obligation

- 0. Has no defensive obligations
- 1. Has defensive obligations



N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.4.4 Neutrality Obligation (atop\_neutrality)

#### Neutrality Obligation

- 0. Has no Neutrality obligations
- 1. Has Neutrality obligations



#### 4.4.5 Non-Aggression Obligation (atop\_nonagg)

Non-Agression Obligation

- 0. Has no Non-Agression obligations
- 1. Has Non-Agression obligations



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.4.6 Number of Alliances (atop\_number)

Number of Alliances



N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.4.7 Offensive Obligation (atop offensive)

Offensive Obligation

- 0. Has no offensive obligations
- 1. Has offensive obligations



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

#### 4.4.8 Transition Year (atop\_transyr)

Transition Year



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2136  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.5 Sherppa Ghent University

http://users.ugent.be/~sastanda/BCI/BCI.html (Standaert, 2015) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-14)

#### The Bayesian Corruption Index

The Bayesian Corruption Index is a composite index of the perceived overall level of corruption: with corruption refered to as the "abuse of public power for private gain". Perceived corruption: Given the hidden nature of corruption, direct measures are hard to come by, or inherently flawed (e.g. the number of corruption convictions). Instead, we amalgamate the opinion on the level of corruption from inhabitants of the country, companies operating there, NGOs, and officials working both in governmental and supra-governmental organizations. Composite: it combines the information of 20 different surveys and more than 80 different survey questions that cover the perceived level of corruption.

It is an alternative to the other well-known indicators of corruption perception: the Corruption Perception Index (CPI) published by Transparency International and the Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) published by the World Bank. Methodologically, it is most closely related to the latter as the methodology used in the construction of the BCI can be seen as an augmented version of the Worldwide Governance Indicators' methodology.

The augmentation allows an increase of the coverage of the BCI: a 60% to 100% increase relative to the WGI and CPI, respectively. In addition, in contrast to the WGI or CPI, the underlying source data are entered without any ex-ante imputations, averaging or other manipulations. This results in an index that truly represents the underlying data, unbiased by any modeling choices of the composer.

#### 4.5.1 The Bayesian Corruption Indicator (bci bci)

The BCI index values lie between 0 and 100, with an increase in the index corresponding to a raise in the level of corruption. This is a first difference with CPI and WGI where an increase means that the level of corruption has decreased.

There exists no objective scale on which to measure the perception of corruption and the exact scaling you use is to a large extent arbitrary. However, the authors were able to give the index an absolute scale: zero corresponds to a situation where all surveys say that there is absolutely no corruption. On the other hand, when the index is one, all surveys say that corruption is as bad as it gets according to their scale. This is another difference with CPI and WGI, where the scaling is relative. They are rescaled such that WGI has mean 0 and a standard deviation of 1 in each year, while CPI always lies between 0 and 100.

In contrast, the actual range of values of the BCI will change in each year, depending how close countries come to the situation where everyone agrees there is no corruption at all (0), or that corruption is as bad as it can get (100).

The absolute scale of the BCI index was obtained by rescaling all the individual survey data such that

zero corresponds to the lowest possible level of corruption and 1 to the highest one. We subsequently rescaled the BCI index such that when all underlying indicators are zero (one), the expected value of the BCI index is zero (hundred).



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1984 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1150  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 32

#### 4.5.2 The standard deviation of The Bayesian Corruption Indicator (bci\_bcistd)

The standard deviation of the Bayesian Corruption Index.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1984 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1150  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 32

#### 4.6 The International Union for Conservation of Nature's Red List of Threatened Species

https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/summary-statistics (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-06)

#### IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (version 2020-3)

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species is widely recognized as the most comprehensive, objective global approach for evaluating the conservation status of plant and animal species. From its small beginning, The IUCN Red List has grown in size and complexity and now plays an increasingly prominent role in guiding conservation activities of governments, NGOs and scientific institutions. The introduction in 1994 of a scientifically rigorous approach to determine risks of extinction that is applicable to all species, has become a world standard.

Note: For reptiles, fishes, molluscs, other invertebrates, plants, fungi & protists: there are still many species that have not yet been assessed for the IUCN Red List and therefore their status is not known (i.e., these groups have not yet been completely assessed). Therefore the figures presented below for these groups should be interpreted as the number of species known to be threatened within those species that have been assessed to date, and not as the overall total number of threatened species for each group.

We advise users to abstain from making comparisons through time using this data, given that there could be changes to the methodology for the country reports.

#### 4.6.1 Threatened Species: Amphibians (bi amphibians)

Threatened Species: Amphibians (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



. Year:2019 Max. Year: 201 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.2 Threatened Species: Birds (bi birds)

Threatened Species: Birds (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.3 Threatened Species: Chromists (bi chromists)

Threatened Species: Chromists (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.4 Threatened Species: Fishes (bi\_fishes)

Threatened Species: Fishes (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.5 Threatened Species: Mammals (bi mammals)

Threatened Species: Mammals (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

#### 4.6.6 Threatened Species: Molluscs (bi molluscs)

Threatened Species: Molluscs (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.7 Threatened Species: Other Inverts (bi othinverts)

Threatened Species: Other Inverts (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.8 Threatened Species: Plants (bi plants)

Threatened Species: Plants (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.9 Threatened Species: Reptiles (bi reptiles)

Threatened Species: Reptiles (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year: 2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.6.10 Threatened Species: Total (bi total)

Threatened Species: Total (Total number of species reported as endangered per country)



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.7 Bonn International Center for Conversion

http://gmi.bicc.de/ (Mutschler, Max. M and Marius Bales, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-12-04)

#### **Global Militarization Index**

Compiled by BICC, the Global Militarization Index (GMI) presents on an annual basis the relative weight and importance of a country's military apparatus in relation to its society as a whole. The GMI 2020 covers 151 states and is based on the latest available figures (in most cases data for 2019). The index project is financially supported by Germany's Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development.

#### 4.7.1 Global Militarization Index (bicc gmi)

The Global Militarization Index is divided into three overarching categories: expenditure, personnel and heavy weapons. (See variables bicc\_milexp, bicc\_milper, and bicc\_hw).

In order to increase the compatibility between different indicators and preventing extreme values from crating distortions when normalizing data, in a first step every indicator was represented in a logarithm with the factor 10. Second, all data was normalized using the formula x=(y-min)/(max-min), with min and max representing, respectively, the lowest and the highest value of the logarithm. In a third step, every indicator was weighted in accordance to a subjective factor, reflecting the relative importance attributed to it by BICC researchers. In order to calculate the final score, the weighted indicators were added together and then normalized one last time on a scale ranging from 0 to 1,000. For better comparison of individual years, all years were finally normalized.

Weighting Factors used: Military expenditures as percentage of GDP - 5 Military expenditures in relation to health spending - 3 Military and paramilitary personnel in relation to population - 4 Military reservers in relation to population - 2 Military and paramilitary personnel in relation to physicians - 2 Heavy weapons in relation to population - 4



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1062  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.7.2 Heavy Weapons Index (bicc hw)

The GMI takes into consideration the number of an armed forces' heavy weapons in relation to the total population. Heavy weapons are defined here as any piece of military equipment which fits into either one of four categories: armored vehicles (armored personnel carriers, light tanks, main battle tanks), artillery (multiple rocket launchers, self-propelled artillery, towed artillery) above 100mm caliber, combat aircraft (attack helicopters, fixed-wing fighter aircraft), and major fighting ships (submarines, major surface combatants above corvette size). Data on weapons holdings was collected by BICC from different sources, mainly the Military Balance from ISS. Data on small arms and light weapons (SALW) is not only extremely difficult to obtain but also unreliable and was thus not included in the GMI.



#### 4.7.3 Military Expenditure Index (bicc milexp)

Military spending in relation to GDP and health spending are the most important indicators for determining the level of militarization. Financial resources which are made available via the military budget by a government are an important factor which affects capacities and size of a state's armed forces. The other indicator the GMI uses is the comparison between the total military budget and government spending on health services.

Figures for military expenditure are compiled from the data base of the Stockholm Peace Research Institute SIPRI. Even though SIPRI may currently be regarded as the most reliable source, data on military expenditure has to be treated with extreme caution. For many countries, especially in the developing world and autocratic states, the figures are but rough estimates. In cases where SIPRI does not provide any up-to-date information, we adopted the latest available figures provided they were no older than three years.

Data on gross domestic product was taken from the International Monetary Fund. Data on health expenditure used have been extracted from the data base of the World Health Organization.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1062  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.7.4 Military Personnel Index (bicc milper)

The level of militarization is also represented by the relation of military personnel to the total population and physicians. The first and most important indicator in this category is the active (para)military personnel to the total population. Paramilitary personnel were included here, since in many countries the regular military alone does not adequately reflect the total size of the armed forces. The main criterion for coding an organizational entity as either military or paramilitary is that the forces in question are under the direct control of the government in addition to being armed, uniformed and garrisoned.

For a comprehensive presentation of the available personnel and an adequate representation of the relative level of militarization, a second indicator in this category takes into account the percentage of reserve forces in the total population. This factor is relevant for some countries, such as Switzerland that have a comparably small standing army but a more substantial amount of available reserves within society. The third indicator compares the total amount of military and paramilitary forces with the number of physicians in a country in order to express the relation between military and non-military expertise in a society.

All data on military personnel was compiled from the Military Balance, the yearbook published by the Institute for Strategic and International Studies (IISS). Population size figures were taken from the Vital Statistics Report of the United Nations; data on the number of physicians from the World Health Organization.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1062  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.8 Bar-Ilan University

http://www.thearda.com/Archive/Files/Descriptions/RAS3.asp (Fox, 2011) (Fox, 2015) (Fox, 2017) (Fox et al., 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-01)

#### **Religion and State Project**

The Religion and State (RAS) project is a university-based project located at Bar Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel. Its goal is to create a set of measures that systematically gauge the intersection between government and religion. Specifically, it examines government religion policy. The project's goals are threefold:

- To provide an accurate description of government religion policies worldwide.

- To create a tool which will lead to greater understanding of the factors which influence government religion policy.

- To provide the means to examine how government religion policy influences other political, social, and economic factors as well as how those factors influence government religion policy.

Round 2 of the RAS dataset, which is currently the official version available for download, measures the extent of government involvement in religion (GIR) or the lack thereof for 175 states on a yearly basis between 1990 and 2008. This constitutes all countries with populations of 250,000 or more as well as a sampling of smaller states. The data includes the following information:

Official Religion: A 15 value variable which measures the official relationship between religion and

the state. This includes five categories of official religions and nine categories of state-religion relationships which range from unofficial support for a single religion to overt hostility to all religion.

Religious Support: This includes 51 separate variables which measure different ways a government can support religion including financial support, policies which enforce religious laws, and other forms of entanglement between government and religion.

Religious Restrictions: This includes 29 separate variables which measure different ways governments regulate, restrict, or control all religions in the state including the majority religion. This includes restrictions on religion's political role, restrictions on religious institutions, restrictions on religious practices, and other forms of regulation, control, and restrictions.

Religious Discrimination: This includes 30 types of restrictions that are placed on the religious institutions and practices of religious minorities that are not placed on the majority group. This includes restrictions on religious practices, restrictions on religious institutions and clergy, restrictions on conversion and proselytizing, and other restrictions.

The dataset also includes several sets of detailed variables measuring certain policies in depth. These topics include religious education, the registration of religious organizations, restrictions on abortion, restrictions on proselytizing, and religious requirements for holding public office or citizenship.

#### 4.8.1 Official Religion (biu offrel)

Official Religion measures whether the government has an established religion. For a religion to be established there must be a constitutional clause, a law, or the equivalent explicitly stating that a specific religion or specific religions are the official religions of that state. This variable is coded on the following scale:

- 0. The State has no official religion
- 1. The state has multiple established religions
- 2. The state has one established religion



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 886  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### 4.8.2 Religious Legislation (biu relleg)

Composite measure of religious legislation, 2014 (higher scores indicate higher levels of religious legislation).



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 886  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### 4.9 Barro and Lee

http://www.barrolee.com/ (Barro & Lee, 2013) (Data downloaded: 2020-06-11)

#### **Educational Attainment Dataset**

The Barro-Lee Data set provide data disaggregated by sex and by 5-year age intervals. It provides educational attainment data for 146 countries in 5-year intervals from 1950 to 2010. It also provides information about the distribution of educational attainment of the adult population over age 15 and over age 25 by sex at seven levels of schooling - no formal education, incomplete primary, complete primary, lower secondary, upper secondary, incomplete tertiary, and complete tertiary. Average years of schooling at all levels - primary, secondary, and tertiary - are also measured for each country and for regions in the world. Aside from updating and expanding the previous estimates (1993, 1996, and 2001), the accuracy of estimation in the current version is improved by using more information and better methodology. To reduce measurement error, the new estimates are constructed using recently available census/survey observations from consistent census data, disaggregated by age group, and new estimates of mortality rate and completion rate by age and by education.

#### 4.9.1 Average Schooling Years, Female (bl asyf)

Average Schooling Years, Female (25+).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.9.2 Average Schooling Years, Male (bl\_asym)

Average Schooling Years, Male (25+).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.9.3 Average Schooling Years, Female and Male (bl\_asymf) Average Schooling Years, Female and Male (25+).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.9.4 Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Female (bl\_lhf)

Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Female  $(25\,+).$ 

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.9.5 Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Male (bl lhm)

Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Male (25+).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.9.6** Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Female and Male (bl\_lhmf) Percentage with Tertiary Schooling, Female and Male (25+).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



**4.9.7** Percentage with Primary Schooling, Female (bl\_lpf) Percentage with Primary Schooling, Female (25+).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.9.8 Percentage with Primary Schooling, Male (bl\_lpm) Percentage with Primary Schooling, Male (25+).
# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.9.9** Percentage with Primary Schooling, Female and Male (bl\_lpmf) Percentage with Primary Schooling, Female and Male (25+).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.9.10 Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Female (bl\_lsf) Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Female (25+).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### **4.9.11** Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Male (bl\_lsm) Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Male (25+).



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.9.12** Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Female and Male (bl\_lsmf) Percentage with Secondary Schooling, Female and Male (25+).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.9.13** Percentage with No Schooling, Female (bl\_luf) Percentage with No Schooling, Female (25+).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

**4.9.14** Percentage with No Schooling, Male (bl\_lum) Percentage with No Schooling, Male (25+).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 





Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

**4.9.15** Percentage with No Schooling, Female and Male (bl\_lumf) Percentage with No Schooling, Female and Male (25+).



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 401  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.10 Boix, Miller and Rosato

https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/FJLMKT
(Boix et al., 2018)
(Data downloaded: 2020-09-23)

### Boix-Miller-Rosato Dichotomous Coding of Democracy, 1800-2010

This data set provides a dichotomous coding of democracy from 1800 until 2015, however QoG data contains information from 1946 onwards. Authors define a country as democratic if it satisfies conditions for both contestation and participation. Specifically, democracies feature political leaders chosen through free and fair elections and satisfy a threshold value of suffrage.

### 4.10.1 Dichotomous democracy measure (bmr dem)

Dichotomous democracy measure.



### 4.10.2 Number of previous democratic breakdowns (bmr dembr)

 Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015
 Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015

 N: 35
 N: 35 n: 2141 N: 31 T: 61

Previous number of democratic breakdowns.

### 4.10.3 Consecutive years of current regime type (bmr demdur)

Consecutive years of current regime type.



### 4.10.4 Dichotomous democracy measure (incl. missing for some countries) (bmr\_demmis)

This is the same measure as democracy (bmr\_dem), except it records an NA for countries occupied during an international war (e.g., the Netherlands 1940-44) or experiencing state collapse during a civil war (e.g., Lebanon 1976-89). The democracy variable instead fills in these years as continuations of the same regime type.



### 4.10.5 Democratic transition (bmr demtran)

- (-1) Democratic breakdown
- (0) No change
- (1) Democratic transition



Min. Year: 2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 2166  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.11 Bernhard, Nordstrom and Reenock

http://users.clas.ufl.edu/bernhard/content/data/data.htm
(Bernhard et al., 2001)
(Data downloaded: 2020-09-21)

### Event History Coding of Democratic Breakdowns

Binary coding of all democracies from 1913 until 2005 prepared for use in event history analysis.

### 4.11.1 Democratic Breakdown (bnr dem)

The variable is a binary coding of all democracies from 1913 until 2005 (included in the QoG dataset are only the years 1946-2005) prepared for use in event history analysis. Countries that meet the minimum conditions for democracy (see below) enter the dataset and are coded "0". When countries cease to meet those minimum criteria they are coded "1" and exit from the dataset. If, after a democratic breakdown, a country again meets our minimum criteria it re-enters the data as a new democratic episode. The time frame onset in 1913 is a function of when the first country (Norway) meets the minimum conditions. All series terminate in either in a breakdown in various years or right censorship in 2005. The minimal conditions are based on Dahl's notion of polyarchy (competitiveness, inclusiveness) combined with Linz and Stepan's stateness criteria.

Competitiveness: Countries that hold elections for both the executive and legislature, and in which more than one party contests the elections, are included. However, we exclude cases in which we detected outcome changing vote fraud, in which there was either extensive or extreme violence that inhibited voters' preference expression, or in which political parties representing a substantial portion of the population were banned.

Inclusiveness: We only include competitive polities in which at least fifty percent of all adult citizens are enfranchised to vote in our set of democracies.

Stateness: We also considered questions of sovereignty, not including colonial states, where founding

elections were held prior to the granting of independence, and countries experiencing internal wars in which twenty percent or greater of the population or territory was out of control of the state.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2005 N: 36 n: 1463  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 41

### 4.12 Forman-Rabinovici and Sommer

https://people.socsci.tau.ac.il/mu/udis/the-comparative-abortion-index-project/ (Forman-Rabinovici & Sommer, 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-04)

#### The Comparative Abortion Index Project

The comparative abortion index quantifies the permissiveness of abortion policies worldwide, accounting for a variety of considerations. It aims to provide researchers with a tool to assess trends in worldwide reproductive rights, and to study how these changes over time and space occur. It is unique in its breadth and its method. Not only does it include a scale that reflects the number of criteria accepted as grounds for abortion, but it includes a second scale which gives weighted scores to each criterion, based on how common it is. These data are relevant for anyone interested in tracking trends in women's rights, public health policy, and reproductive rights policy over time.

The dataset covers 192 countries from 1992-2015. The UN Department of Social and Economic Affairs has published a global review of abortion policy since 1992. For this database, all reviews published between 1992 and 2015 were collected. The report offers seven criteria under which state law may allow access to abortion services; saving a woman's life, preserving a woman's physical health, preserving a woman's mental health, in case of rape or incest, in case of fetal impairment, for social or economic reasons and on request.

Each country-year is given a score based on the number of legal criteria accepted as grounds for abortion. In the first version of the index (CAII), each criterion is given equal weight and the score is a direct reflection of the number of conditions the country accepts. Thus, a country that has no conditions under which a woman can receive an abortion gets a score of 0. A country, in which a woman may access an abortion under all conditions including on request, receives a score of 7.

For the purposes of robustness, and to fix a potential measurement flaw in the first index, we also offer a weighted index (CAI2). The first scale does not account for the different degrees of acceptance that each criterion represents. It would be imprecise, for instance, to suggest that the criterion of saving a woman's life is equivalent to (and thus carries the same weight as) allowing abortion on demand. The more permissive the criterion, the less likely that it is universally accepted. Accordingly, the weight of each criterion (Wi) will be determined based on the percentage (Pi) of countries that allow that condition. In the weighted index, countries are given a score on a scale of 0 to 1, where 0 represents countries in which there are no conditions for legal abortion, and 1 represents a country that accepts all criteria for abortion, including on request.

### 4.12.1 Comparative Abortion Index 1 (0 to 7) (cai cai1)

The scale quantifies grounds on which a country might grant legal access to abortion: saving a woman's life, preserving a woman's physical health, preserving a woman's mental health, in case of

rape or incest, in case of fetal impairment, for social or economic reasons, and on request. 0 represents a country with a complete ban on abortions. 7 represents a country that allows abortions on request.



### 4.12.2 Comparative Abortion Index 2 (0 to 1) (cai cai2)

Using the 7 grounds for legal abortion, the weight of each grounds (Wi) will be determined based on the percentage (Pi) of countries that allow it. In the weighted index, countries are given a score on a scale of 0-1, where 0 represents countries in which there are no conditions for legal abortion, and 1 represents a country that accepts all criteria for abortion, including on request. The need for a weighted scale is as follows: It would be imprecise, for instance, to suggest that the criterion of saving a woman's life is equivalent to (and thus carries the same weight as) allowing abortion on demand. The more permissive the criterion, the less likely that it is universally accepted. Thus, the scale accounts for the different degrees of acceptance that each criterion represents.



### 4.12.3 Foetal impairment is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai foetal)

Binary variable that codes whether or not foetal impairment is accepted as grounds for a legal abortion. 1 means that it is accepted as grounds for abortion. 0 means that it is illegal, and not accepted as grounds for legal abortion.





Binary variable that codes whether or not threat to a mother's life is accepted as grounds for a legal abortion. 1 means that it is accepted as grounds for abortion. 0 means that it is illegal, and not accepted as grounds for legal abortion.



### 4.12.5 Threat to mother's mental health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai\_mental)

Binary variable that codes whether or not threat to a mother's mental health is accepted as grounds for a legal abortion. 1 means that it is accepted as grounds for abortion. 0 means that it is illegal, and not accepted as grounds for legal abortion.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1992 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 862  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.12.6 Threat to mother's physical health is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai physical)

Binary variable that codes whether or not threat to a mother's physical health is accepted as grounds for a legal abortion. 1 means that it is accepted as grounds for abortion. 0 means that it is illegal, and not accepted as grounds for legal abortion.



N: 36



Min. Year:1992 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 862  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.12.7 Pregnancy as result of rape or incest is accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai rape)

Binary variable that codes whether or not pregnancy as a result of rape or incest is accepted as grounds for a legal abortion. 1 means that they are accepted as grounds for abortion. 0 means that it is illegal, and they are not accepted as grounds for legal abortion.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1992 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 862  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.12.8 Abortion is available on request (cai request)

Binary variable that codes whether abortion is available on request. In other words, if there is complete legal access to abortion. 1 implies that there is complete access to abortion. 0 implies that there are limitations, and abortion services are not legally available upon request.



4.12.9 Social or economic reasons are accepted as grounds for legal abortion (cai\_social)

Binary variable that codes whether or not social or economic reasons are accepted as grounds for a legal abortion. 1 means that they are accepted as grounds for abortion. 0 means that it is illegal, and they are not accepted as grounds for legal abortion.



### 4.13 Coppedge, Alvarez and Maldonado

http://www3.nd.edu/~mcoppedg/crd/datacrd.htm (Coppedge et al., 2008) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-07)

### Contestation and Inclusiveness, 1950-2000

These are the two principal components of 13-15 indicators of democracy, including those compiled by Freedom House; Polity; Arthur Banks; Alvarez, Cheibub, Limongi, and Przeworski, as updated by Cheibub and Gandhi; Bollen; and Cingranelli and Richards. The dataset covers most countries in the world from 1950 through 2000. In an article in the Journal of Politics (July 2008), the authors argue that these principal components, which capture 75 percent of variation in the most commonly used democracy indicators, measure Robert Dahl's two dimensions of polyarchy: contestation and inclusiveness.

### 4.13.1 Contestation (standardized version) (cam contest)

Contestation standardized to be comparable across years.

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2000 N: 36 n: 1524  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 42

### 4.13.2 Inclusiveness (standardized version) (cam inclusive)

Inclusiveness standardized to be comparable across years.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2000 N: 36 n: 1524  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 42

### 4.14 Ana Carolina Garriga

https://sites.google.com/site/carogarriga/cbi-data-1?authuser=0 (Garriga, 2016) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-21)

### **Central Bank Independence Dataset**

The Central Bank Independence Dataset is the most comprehensive data set on de jure central bank independence (CBI) available to date. The data set identifies statutory reforms affecting CBI, their direction, and the attributes necessary to build the Cukierman, Webb, and Neyapti (1992) (CWN) index in 190 countries between 1970 and 2012.

This data set codes the existence of reforms in 6,745 observations and computes the CWN index for 5,840 observations. The data coverage not only allows researchers to test competing explanations on the determinants and effects of CBI in both developed and developing countries, but it also provides a useful instrument for cross-national studies in diverse fields.

### 4.14.1 Central Bank Independence unweighted index (cbi\_cbiu)

CBI unweighted index: Raw average of the four components: Chief Executive Officer, Objectives, Policy Formulation and Limitations on lending to the government. It ranges from 0 (minimum) to 1 (maximum) CBI.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2012 N: 36 n: 1393  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 39

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

### 4.14.2 Central Bank Independence weighted index (cbi cbiw)

CBI weighted index: Weighted average of the four components (weights between parentheses), following Cukierman, Webb and Neyapti's (1992) criteria: Chief Executive Officer (0.20), Objectives (0.15), Policy Formulation (0.15), and Limitations on lending to the government (0.5). It ranges from 0 (minimum) to 1 (maximum) CBI.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



### 4.14.3 Component 1: Chief executive officer (cbi cceo)

Component 1: Chief executive officer. Weighted average of the following variables (weights between parentheses): Term of office of CEO (0.25), Who appoints the CEO (0.25), Provisions for dismissal of CEO (0.25), CEO allowed to hold another office in government (0.25).



#### 4.14.4 Component 4: Limitations on lending to the government (cbi cll)

Component 4: Limitations on lending to the government. Weighted average of the following variables (weights between parentheses): Limitations on advances (0.30); Limitations on securitized lending (0.20); Who decides the terms of lending to government (0.20); Beneficiaries of central bank lending (0.10); Type of limits when they exist (0.05); Maturity of loans (0.05); Restrictions on interest rates (0.05); Prohibition on central bank lending in primary market to Government (0.05).



### 4.14.5 Component 2: Objectives (cbi cobj)

Component 2: Objectives. Central bank objectives as stated in the law (coding between parentheses): Price stability is the major or only objective, and in case of conflict with other objectives, the Central Bank has final authority (1); Price stability is the only objective (0.8); Price stability is one of the objectives, with other compatible objectives (0.6); Price stability is one of the objectives, with other potentially conflicting goals (0.4); Central Bank charter does not contain any objective (0.2); Some objectives appear in the charter but price stability is not one of them (0).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2012 N: 36 n: 1393  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 39

### 4.14.6 Component 3: Policy formulation (cbi cpol)

Component 3: Policy formulation. Weighted average of the following variables (weights between parentheses): Who formulates monetary policy (0.25); Who has the final decision in monetary policy (0.50), Role of the central bank in the budget process (0.25).





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



### 4.14.7 Year of law creating the central bank (cbi create)

1 indicates the year of the law creating the central bank, 0 otherwise.





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



### 4.14.8 Year of a reform that decreased central bank independence (cbi\_dec)

1 indicates the year of a reform that decreased CBI, according to the CBI weighted index, 0 otherwise

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



### 4.14.9 Effect of the central bank reform on the weighted index (cbi dir)

Effect of the central bank reform on the CBI weighted index: 1 indicates an increase in CBI; 0 indicates no changes in the level of CBI; 1 indicates the presence of a central bank reform that increased CBI.

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2012 N: 36 n: 1393  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 39

### 4.14.10 Year of a reform that increased central bank independence (cbi\_inc)

1 indicates the year of a reform that increased CBI, according to the CBI weighted index, 0 otherwise.

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2012 N: 36 n: 1393  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 39

4.14.11 Year of a reform that affects the central bank independence (cbi\_ref)1 indicates the year of a reform that affects CBI, 0 otherwise.

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



### 4.14.12 Whether the central bank is a regional organization (cbi reg)

Indicates whether the central bank is a regional organization (1), or a national central bank (0).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2012 N: 36 n: 1393  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 39

### 4.15 The Comparative Constitutions Project

http://comparativeconstitutionsproject.org/ (Elkins et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-12-04)

#### **Characteristics of National Constitutions**

This dataset presents records of the characteristics of national constitutions written since 1789. Each constitutional text is coded twice by different coders working independently. To maximize the reliability of the final data, the discrepancies between these two codings are reconciled by a third individual - a reconciler. This is the second public release of data (version 2.0) on the content of constitutions. Authors rely on Ward and Gleditsch's list to identify which countries are independent in a given year. There are utilized two concepts to categorize constitutional texts. A constitutional system encompasses the period in which a constitution is in force before it is replaced or suspended. A constitutional event is any change to a country's constitution, including adoption, amendment, suspension, or reinstatement. For years in which there are multiple events, the constitution is coded as it stood in force at the end of the year. For example, if a constitution was amended the same year as it was adopted, the content of the constitution is coded as amended rather than as originally adopted. In addition, since events are (often) in force for multiple years, authors interpolated the data associated each event across all country-years in which that event was in force. Note that this is an extremely conservative interpolation strategy because most constitutional amendments do not change many provisions. As a result, for most variables, one can safely interpolate across constitutional systems.

#### Duty of the People is to Build Country in Constitution (ccp buildsoc) 4.15.1

Does the constitution refer to a duty of the people to take part in building society or to work for the development of the country?

1. Yes 2. No 96. Other



N: 35



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 **N**: 35 **n**: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

#### Corruption Commission Present in Constitution (ccp cc) 4.15.2

Does the constitution contain provisions for a counter corruption commission?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 96. Other
- 97. Unable to determine



 $\mathbf{N}$ : 35  $\mathbf{n}$ : 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

#### Limits on Child Work in Constitution (ccp childwrk) 4.15.3

Does the constitution place limits on child employment?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No

90. Left explicitly to non-constitutional law 96. Other



### 4.15.4 Meritocratic Recruitment of Civil Servants Mentioned in Constitution (ccp\_civil)

Does the constitution include provisions for the meritocratic recruitment of civil servants (e.g. exams or credential requirements)?

Yes
 No
 Other



### 4.15.5 Reference in Constitution to Democracy (ccp democ)

Does the constitution refer to "democracy" or "democratic"?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No



### 4.15.6 Equality Before the Law Mentioned in Constitution (ccp\_equal)

Does the constitution refer to equality before the law, the equal rights of men, or non-discrimination?

Yes
 No
 Other



### 4.15.7 Freedom of Religion in Constitution (ccp freerel)

Does the constitution provide for freedom of religion?

Yes
 No
 Other



### 4.15.8 Human Rights Commission Present in Constitution (ccp hr)

Does the constitution contain provisions for a human rights commission?

Yes
 No
 Other



### 4.15.9 Right to Government Documents in Constitution (ccp infoacc)

Does the constitution provide for an individual right to view government files or documents under at least some conditions?

Yes
 No
 Other



### 4.15.10 Legislative Initiative Allowed (ccp initiat)

Does the constitution provide for the ability of individuals to propose legislative initiatives?

Yes
 No
 Other





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.15.11 Reference in Constitution to Capitalism (ccp market)

Does the constitution refer to the "free market," "capitalism," or an analogous term?

Yes
 No
 Other



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.15.12 Right to Marry in Constitution (ccp marriage)

Does the constitution provide for the right to marry?

- 1. Yes, general provision
- 2. Yes, marriage allowed between a man and a woman
- 3. No
- 90. Left explicitly to non-constitution law
- 96. Other



4.15.13 Right to Same-Sex Marriages in Constitution (ccp samesexm)

Does the constitution provide the right for same sex marriages?

Yes
 No
 Other





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.15.14 Status of Slavery in Constitution (ccp\_slave)

Does the constitution prohibit slavery, servitude, or forced labor?

- 1. Universally prohibited
- 2. Prohibited except in the case of war
- 3. Prohibited with other exception(s)n
- 90. Left explicitly to non-constitutional law
- $96. \ Other$
- 98. Not specified



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.15.15 Reference in Constitution to Socialism (ccp socialsm)

Does the constitution refer to "socialism" or "socialist"?

Yes
 No
 Other



### 4.15.16 Right to Strike in Constitution (ccp strike)

Does the constitution provide for a right to strike?

Yes
 Yes, but with limitations
 No
 Other



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.15.17 New Constitutional System (ccp syst)

Identifies new constitutional systems.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

### 4.15.18 Year in which the Constitutional System was Promulgated (ccp systyear)

Year in which the constitutional system was promulgated.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 34 n: 2159  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 64

4.15.19 Duty of People is to Pay Taxes in Constitution (ccp taxes)

Does the constitution refer to a duty to pay taxes?

 $1. \ \mathrm{Yes}$ 

No
 96. Other



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 2107  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.16 Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland

https://sites.google.com/site/joseantoniocheibub/datasets/democracy-and-dictatorship -revisited

(Cheibub et al., 2010) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-06)

### **Classification of Political Regimes**

Classification of political regimes as democracy and dictatorship. Classification of democracies as parliamentary, semi-presidential (mixed) and presidential. Classification of dictatorships as military, civilian and royal.

### 4.16.1 Democracy (chga demo)

A regime is considered a democracy if the executive and the legislature is directly or indirectly elected by popular vote, multiple parties are allowed, there is de facto existence of multiple parties outside of regime front, there are multiple parties within the legislature, and there has been no consolidation of incumbent advantage (e.g. unconstitutional closing of the lower house or extension of incumbent's term by postponing of subsequent elections). Transition years are coded as the regime that emerges in that year.

0. No Democracy

1. Democracy



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

### 4.16.2 Regime Institutions (chga\_hinst)

Six-fold classification of political regimes:

- 0. Parliamentary Democracy.
- 1. Mixed (semi-presidential) democracy.
- 2. Presidential democracy.
- 3. Civilian dictatorship.
- 4. Military dictatorship.
- 5. Royal dictatorship.



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2008 N: 36 n: 1914  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 53

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2008 N: 36 n: 1914  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 53

### 4.17 Armingeon, Wegner, Wiedemeier, Isler, Knoepfel, Weisstanner and Engler

http://www.cpds-data.org/ (Armingeon et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-16)

### **Comparative Political Data Set**

The Comparative Political Data Set 1960-2018 (CPDS) is a collection of political and institutional data which have been assembled in the context of the research projects "Die Hand-lungsspielräume des Nationalstaates" and "Critical junctures. An international comparison" directed by Klaus Armingeon and funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation. This data set consists of (mostly) annual data for 36 democratic OECD and/or EU-member countries for the period of 1960 to 2017. In all countries, political data were collected only for the democratic periods. The data set is suited for cross-national, longitudinal and pooled time-series analyses.

### 4.17.1 Number of changes in government per year (cpds chg)

Number of changes in government per year [termination of government due to (a) elections, (b) voluntary resignation of the Prime Minister, (c) resignation of Prime Minister due to health reasons, (d) dissension within government (break up of the coalition), (e) lack of parliamentary support, (f) intervention by the head of state, or (g) broadening of the coalition (inclusion of new parties).



 $\mathbf{N}$ : 31  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1499  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.2 Effective number of parties on the seats level (cpds enps)

Effective number of parties on the seats level according to the formula proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979).



N: 31



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.3 Effective number of parties on the votes level (cpds enpv)

Effective number of parties on the votes level according to the formula proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979).



### 4.17.4 Electoral fractionalization of the party system (Rae index) (cpds frel)

Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system according to the formula proposed by Rae (1968). The index can take values between 1 (maximal fractionalization) and 0 (minimal fractionalization).



### 4.17.5 Legislative fractionalization of the party system (Rae index) (cpds frleg)

Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system according to the formula proposed by Rae (1968). The index can take values between 1 (maximal fractionalization) and 0 (minimal fractionalization).



#### 4.17.6 Cabinet composition (Schmidt index) (cpds govlr)

Cabinet composition (Schmidt-Index):

- 1. Hegemony of right-wing (and centre) parties.
- 2. Dominance of right-wing (and centre) parties.
- 3. Balance of power between left and right.
- 4. Dominance of social-democratic and other left parties.
- 5. Hegemony of social-democratic and other left parties.



### 4.17.7 Government support (seat share of all parties in government) (cpds govsup)

Total government support: seat share of all parties in government. Weighted by the numbers of days in office in a given year.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1499  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.8 Share of seats in parliament: agrarian (cpds la)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as agrarian.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.9 Share of seats in parliament: electoral alliance (cpds lall)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as electoral alliance.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.10 Share of seats in parliament: communist (cpds lcom)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as communist.



### 4.17.11 Share of seats in parliament: conservative (cpds lcon)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as conservative.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.12 Share of seats in parliament: ethnic (cpds le)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as ethnic.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48



Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as feminist.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48



Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as green.



### 4.17.15 Share of seats in parliament: liberal (cpds ll)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as liberal.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.16 Share of seats in parliament: left-socialist (cpds lls)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as left-socialist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.17 Share of seats in parliament: monarchist (cpds lmo)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as monarchist.





Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as non-labelled.



### 4.17.19 Share of seats in parliament: other (cpds lo)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as other.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.20 Share of seats in parliament: protest (cpds lp)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as protest.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48



Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as post-communist.





Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as pensioners.



### 4.17.23 Share of seats in parliament: personalist (cpds lper)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as personalist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.24 Share of seats in parliament: right (cpds lr)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as right.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.25 Share of seats in parliament: regionalist (cpds lreg)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as regionalist.



4.17.26 Share of seats in parliament: religious (cpds lrel)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as religious.



### 4.17.27 Share of seats in parliament: social democratic (cpds ls)

Share of seats in parliament for the political parties classified as social democratic.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.28 Type of Government (cpds tg)

Type of government based on the following classification:

1. Single-party majority government: One party takes all governments seats and has a parliamentary majority.

2. Minimal winning coalition: All participating parties are necessary to form a majority government [>50.0%].

3. Surplus coalition: Coalition governments which exceed the minimal-winning criterion [>50.0%].

4. Single-party minority government: The party in government does not possess a majority in Parliament [<50.0%].

5. Multi-party minority government: The parties in government do not possess a majority in Parliament [<50.0%].

6. Caretaker government: Governments which should simply maintain the status quo.

7. Technocratic government: Led by technocratic prime minister, consists of a majority of technocratic ministers and is in possession of a mandate to change the status quo.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1498  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

4.17.29 Share of votes: agrarian (cpds va)

N: 31

Share of votes of the political parties classified as agrarian.



### 4.17.30 Share of votes: electoral alliance (cpds vall)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as electoral alliance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.31 Share of votes: communist (cpds vcom)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as communist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.32 Share of votes: conservative (cpds vcon)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as conservative.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.33 Share of votes: ethnic (cpds ve)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as ethnic.



### 4.17.34 Share of votes: feminist (cpds vfe)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as feminist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.35 Share of votes: green (cpds\_vg)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as green.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.36 Share of votes: liberal (cpds vl)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as liberal.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

4.17.37 Share of votes: left-socialist (cpds vls)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as left-socialist.



### 4.17.38 Share of votes: monarchist (cpds vmo)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as monarchist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.39 Share of votes: non-labelled (cpds vnl)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as non-labelled.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.40 Share of votes: other (cpds vo)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as other.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48



Share of votes of the political parties classified as protest.



### 4.17.42 Share of votes: post-communist (cpds vpcom)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as post-communist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.43 Share of votes: pensioners (cpds vpen)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as pensioners.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48



Share of votes of the political parties classified as personalist.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48



Share of votes of the political parties classified as right.



### 4.17.46 Share of votes: regionalist (cpds\_vreg)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as regionalist.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.47 Share of votes: religious (cpds vrel)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as religious.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.48 Share of votes: social democratic (cpds vs)

Share of votes of the political parties classified as social democratic.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.17.49 Voter turnout in election (cpds\_vt)

Voter turnout in election.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31 n: 1501  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.18 Center of Systemic Peace

http://www.systemicpeace.org/inscrdata.html (Marshall & Elzinga-Marshall, 2017) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-09)

### State Fragility Index and Matrix

The State Fragility Index and Matrix provides annual state fragility, effectiveness, and legitimacy indices and the eight component indicators for the world's 167 countries with populations greater than 500,000 in 2018.

### 4.18.1 State Fragility Index (cspf sfi)

A country's fragility is closely associated with its state capacity to manage conflict; make and implement public policy; and deliver essential services and its systemic resilience in maintaining system coherence, cohesion, and quality of life; responding effectively to challenges and crises, and sustaining progressive development. State Fragility = Effectiveness Score + Legitimacy Score (25 points possible).



**N**: 35



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 840  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.19 Andrew Williams

https://andrewwilliamsecon.wordpress.com/datasets/ (Williams, 2015) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-21)

### Dataset for Information and Accountability Transparency (2014)

The article "A global index of information transparency and accountability" (Williams, 2015) uses a relatively new methodology, similar to Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index, to construct composite indicators of Informational Transparency, and Accountability. These new indicators use data from 29 sources, with scores being derived annually between 1980 and 2010 across more than 190 countries.

### 4.19.1 Accountability Transparency (diat ati)

Accountability Transparency. Author has 16 separate indicators for the Accountability Transparency Index (six for the measurement of a free media, four for fiscal transparency, and six for political constraints). 1980 is considered to be the base year. The Accountability Transparency Index has 115 countries in 1980, but rising to up to 189 countries towards the end of the period.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A Nin. Year: 1930 Max. Year: N/A Ni: 36 n: 1030  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 29

Information Transparency (diat iti)

Information Transparency. Sub-indicators are constructed to reflect the nuances of this type of transparency. Specifically, three sub-components are constructed: (1) the existence of a free and independent media; (2) fiscal (budgetary) transparency; (3) political constraints. The author has 13 separate indicators for the Information Transparency Index (six for the quantity of information, four for the processes that generate that information, and three for the infrastructure required to disseminate that information). 1980 is considered to be the base year. The Information Transparency Index (ITI) has scores for initially 153 countries in 1980, increasing over time to 191 by the year 2010.



4.19.2



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A Nin. Year: 1980 Max. Year: N/A N: 36 n: 1032  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 29

### 4.19.3 Transparency Index (diat ti)

Transparency Index. Combined index of Information Transparency Index and Accountability Transparency Index.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 1030  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### $\mathbf{N}: \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$ with, fear: $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$ wiax, fear: $\mathbf{N}$

### 4.20 ETH Zurich

http://globalization.kof.ethz.ch/ (Gygli et al., 2019) (Dreher, 2006) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-22)

### **KOF** Index of Globalization

KOF Index of Globalization. All indexes below range between 0 and 100, where higher values indicate a higher degree of globalization.

The KOF Globalization Index measures the economic, social and political dimension to globalization. It is used in order to monitor changes in the level of globalization of different countries over extended periods of time. The current KOF Globalization Index is available for 185 countries and covers the period from 1970 until 2018. A distinction is drawn between de facto and de jure for the Index as a whole, as well as within the economic, social and political components.

The Index measures globalization on a scale of 1 to 100. The figures for the constituent variables are expressed as percentiles. This means that outliers are smoothed and ensures that fluctuations over time are lower. Due to the new methodology, the current Index is only to a limited extent comparable to the old KOF Globalization Index.

#### 4.20.1 Economic Globalization (dr eg)

Economic globalisation (scale of 1 to 100) covers both trade flows as well as financial flows. De facto trade is determined with reference to the trade in goods and services. De jure trade covers customs duties, taxes and restrictions on trade.



### 4.20.2 Index of Globalization (dr\_ig)

The overall index of globalization (scale of 1 to 100) is the weighted average of the following variables: economic globalization, social globalization and political globalization (dr\_eg, dr\_sg and dr\_pg). Most weight has been given to economic followed by social globalization.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1610  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 45

### 4.20.3 Political Globalization (dr pg)

Political globalisation (scale of 1 to 100) regards the defacto segment measured with reference to the number of embassies and international non-governmental organisations (NGOs), along with participation in UN peacekeeping missions. The de jure segment contains variables focussing on membership of international organisations and international treaties.



### 4.20.4 Social Globalization (dr sg)

Social globalization (scale of 1 to 100) is comprised of three segments, each with its own de facto and de jure segment. Interpersonal contact is measured within the de facto segment with reference to international telephone connections, tourist numbers and migration. Within the de jure segment, it is measured with reference to telephone subscriptions, international airports and visa restrictions. Flows of information are determined within the de facto segment with reference to international patent applica-tions, international students and trade in high technology goods. The de jure segment measures access to TV and the internet, freedom of the press and international internet connections. Cultural proximity is measured in the de facto segment from trade in cultural goods, international trade mark registrations and the number of McDonald's restaurants and IKEA stores. The de jure area focuses on civil rights (freedom of citizens), gender equality and public spending on school education.



**N**: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1610  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 45

### 4.21 Global Footprint Network

http://www.footprintnetwork.org/en/index.php/GFN/page/footprint\_data\_and\_results/ (Global Footprint Network, 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-21)

#### **Global Footprint Data**

The National Footprint Accounts (NFAs) measure the ecological resource use and resource capacity of nations over time. Based on approximately 6,000 data points per country per year, the Accounts calculate the Footprints of 232 countries, territories, and regions from 1961 to the present, providing the core data needed for all Ecological Footprint analysis worldwide. This Data Package contains Ecological Footprint and biocapacity as well as Human Development and population data to give a first approximation of the biological resource situation of the featured countries.

### 4.21.1 Built-up land footprint- Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef\_bul)

Built-up Land - Ecological footprint in consumption. The built-up land Footprint is calculated based on the area of land covered by human infrastructure: transportation, housing, and industrial structures. Built-up land may occupy what would previously have been cropland. Measured in Global Hectares (GHA) per person.


### 4.21.2 Carbon footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef\_carb)

Carbon - Ecological footprint in consumption. The carbon Footprint, which represents the carbon dioxide emissions from burning fossil fuels in addition to the embodied carbon in imported goods. The carbon Footprint component is represented by the area of forest land required to sequester these carbon emissions. Currently, the carbon Footprint is the largest portion of humanity's Footprint.





Min. Year: 1961 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34 n: 1519  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 45

### 4.21.3 Cropland footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef\_crop)

Cropland - Ecological footprint in consumption. Cropland is the most bioproductive of all the landuse types and consists of areas used to produce food and fibre for human consumption, feed for livestock, oil crops, and rubber. The cropland Footprint includes crop products allocated to livestock and aquaculture feed mixes, and those used for fibres and materials. Due to lack of globally consistent data sets, current cropland Footprint calculations do not yet take into account the extent to which farming techniques or unsustainable agricultural practices may cause long-term degradation of soil.



### 4.21.4 Total Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef\_ef)

Total - Ecological footprint in consumption. Measured in Global Hectares (GHA) per person.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 35



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2016 N: 35 n: 1734  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 50

### 4.21.5 Fish footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef fg)

Fishing Ground - Ecological footprint in consumption. The fishing grounds Footprint is calculated based on estimates of the maximum sustainable catch for a variety of fish species. These sustainable catch estimates are converted into an equivalent mass of primary production based on the various species' trophic levels. This estimate of maximum harvestable primary production is then divided amongst the continental shelf areas of the world. Fish caught and used in aquaculture feed mixes are included. Measured in Global Hectares (GHA) per person.



### 4.21.6 Forest product footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef for)

Forest Production - Ecological footprint in consumption. The forest product Footprint, which is calculated based on the amount of lumber, pulp, timber products, and fuel wood consumed by a population on a yearly basis. Measured in Global Hectares (GHA) per person.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34



Min. Year: 1961 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34 n: 1519  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 45

### 4.21.7 Grazing footprint - Ecological Footprint of Consumption (GHA per person) (ef\_gl)

Grazing - Ecological footprint in consumption. Grazing land is used to raise livestock for meat, dairy, hide, and wool products. The grazing land Footprint is calculated by comparing the amount of livestock feed available in a country with the amount of feed required for all livestock in that year, with the remainder of feed demand assumed to come from grazing land. Measured in Global Hectares (GHA) per person.





Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34 n: 1519  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 45

### 4.22 UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs

https://publicadministration.un.org/egovkb/en-us/Overview (Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-21)

### UN E-Government Knowledgebase

The E-Government Development Index presents the state of E-Government Development of the United Nations Member States. Along with an assessment of the website development patterns in a country, the E-Government Development index incorporates the access characteristics, such as the infrastructure and educational levels, to reflect how a country is using information technologies to promote access and inclusion of its people. The EGDI is a composite measure of three important dimensions of e-government, namely: provision of online services, telecommunication connectivity and human capacity.

The EGDI is not designed to capture e-government development in an absolute sense; rather, it aims to give a performance rating of national governments relative to one another.

### 4.22.1 E-Government Index (egov egov)

The E-Government Development Index (EGDI) is a weighted average of normalised scores on the three most important dimensions of e-government, namely: scope and quality of online services (Online Service Index, OSI), status of the development of telecommunication infrastructure (Telecommunication Infrastructure Index, TII) and inherent human capital (Human Capital Index, HCI). Each of these sets of indices is in itself a composite measure that can be extracted and analysed independently.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 2002 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 360  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 10

### 4.22.2 E-Participation Index (egov epar)

The E-Participation Index (EPI) is derived as a supplementary index to the UN E-Government Survey. It extends the dimension of the Survey by focusing on the use of online services to facilitate provision of information by governments to citizens (e-information sharing), interaction with stakeholders (e-consultation) and engagement in decision-making processes.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:2002 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 360  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 10

### 4.22.3 Human Capital Index (egov hci)

The Human Capital Index (HCI) consists of four components, namely: (i) adult literacy rate; (ii) the combined primary, secondary and tertiary gross enrolment ratio; (iii) expected years of schooling; and (iv) average years of schooling.



#### 4.22.4**Online Service Index (egov osi)**

The Online Service Index (OSI) values for 2016 were constructed by 111 researchers, including UN experts and online United Nations Volunteers (UNVs) from over 60 countries with coverage of 66 languages assessed each country's national website in the native language, including the national portal, e-services portal and e-participation portal, as well as the websites of the related ministries of education, labour, social services, health, finance and environment as applicable. The UNVs included qualified graduate students and volunteers from universities in the field of public administration.





Min. Year: 2002 Max. Year: 2019

#### 4.22.5Telecommunication Infrastructure Index (egov tii)

The Telecommunication Infrastructure Index (TII) is an arithmetic average composite of five indicators: (i) estimated internet users per 100 inhabitants; (ii) number of main fixed telephone lines per 100 inhabitants; (iii) number of mobile subscribers per 100 inhabitants; (iv) number of wireless broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants; and (v) number of fixed broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants. The International Telecommunication Union is the primary source of data in each case.



Min. Year: 2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 2002 Max. Year: 2019 **N**: 36 **n**: 360  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 10

### 4.23Ease of Doing Business Report

http://www.doingbusiness.org/en/doingbusiness (The World Bank Group, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-24)

### Ease of Doing Business - Historical Data

The Doing Business project provides objective measures of business regulations and their enforcement across 190 economies. This EOB 2020 report covers 11 indicator sets and 190 economies. Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of each economy, except for 11

economies that have a population of more than 100 million as of 2013 (Bangladesh, Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Mexico, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Russian Federation and the United States) where Doing Business, also collected data for the second largest business city.

The ease of doing business score captures the gap between an economy's performance and a measure of best practice across the entire sample of 41 indicators for 10 Doing Business topics (the labor market regulation indicators are excluded). For starting a business, for example, New Zealand and Georgia have the lowest number of procedures required (1). New Zealand also holds the shortest time to start a business (0.5 days), while Slovenia has the lowest cost (0.0).

Calculating the ease of doing business score for each economy involves two main steps. In the first step individual component indicators are normalized to a common unit where each of the 41 component indicators y (except for the total tax and contribution rate) is rescaled using the linear transformation (worst - y)/(worst - best). In this formulation, the highest score represents the best regulatory performance on the indicator across all economies since 2005 or the third year in which data for the indicator were collected.

Both the best regulatory performance and the worst regulatory performance are established every five years based on the Doing Business data for the year in which they are established and remain at that level for the five years regardless of any changes in data in interim years. Thus, an economy may establish the best regulatory performance for an indicator even though it may not have the highest score in a subsequent year. Conversely, an economy may score higher than the best regulatory performance if the economy reforms after the best regulatory performance is set. For example, the best regulatory performance for the time to get electricity is set at 18 days. In the Republic of Korea it now takes 13 days to get electricity while in the United Arab Emirates it takes just 10 days. Although the two economies have different times, both economies score 100 on the time to get electricity because they have exceeded the threshold of 18 days.

For scores such as those on the strength of legal rights index or the quality of land administration index, the best regulatory performance is set at the highest possible value (although no economy has yet reached that value in the case of the latter).

Due to the changes in methodologies, some variables are presented separately, given that they are not comparable given these said changes.

### 4.23.1 Building quality control index (0-15)(DB16-20 methodology) (eob bqci)

The building Quality control index (0-15) (DB16-20 methodology) index ranges from 0 to 15 and is calculated on the basis of the following six indices: (i) quality of building regulations, (ii) quality control before construction, (iii) quality control during construction, (iv) quality control after construction, (v) liability and insurance regimes, and (vi) professional certifications.



# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.2 Dealing with construction permits (DB06-15 methodology) (eob dcp06)

Score-Dealing with Construction Permits (DB06-15 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Dealing with Construction permits indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (days), and Cost (a percentage of the warehouse value). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



### 4.23.3 Dealing with construction permits (DB16-20 methodology) (eob dcp16)

Score-Dealing with Construction Permits (DB16-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Dealing with Construction permits indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (days), Cost (a percentage of the warehouse value), and the Building Quality Control Index. The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.4 Equal access to property rights index (-2-0)(DB17-20 methodology) (eob eapr)

Equal access to property rights index (-2 - 0) (DB17-20 methodology) evaluates whether married or unmarried women have equal access to property rights. Equal access to property rights can help to increase the competitiveness of an economy, or increase its labor force.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.5 Enforcing contracts (DB04-15 methodology) (eob ec04)

Score-Enforcing contracts (DB04-15 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Enforcing Contracts indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Time (days), Cost (% of claim value) and Procedures (number). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:2003 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 398  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.23.6 Enforcing contracts (DB17-20 methodology) (eob ec17)

Score-Enforcing contracts (DB17-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Enforcing Contracts indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Time (days), Cost (% of claim value) and Quality of judicial processes index. The Quality of judicial processes index is expanded to measure whether a woman's testimony carries the same evidentiary weight in court as a man's. The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

### 4.23.7 Ease of doing business score (DB15 methodology) (eob eob15)

Ease of doing business score (DB15 methodology) captures the gap between an economy's performance and a measure of best practice across the entire sample of 41 indicators for 10 Doing Business topics. The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance. Calculating the ease of doing business score for each economy involves two main steps. In the first step individual component indicators are normalized to a common unit where each of the 41 component indicators y (except for the total tax and contribution rate) is rescaled using the linear transformation (worst - y)/(worst - best). In this formulation the highest score represents the best regulatory performance on the indicator across all economies since 2005 or the third year in which data for the indicator were collected. Both the best regulatory performance and the worst regulatory performance are established every five years based on the Doing Business data for the year in which they are established and remain at that level for the five years regardless of any changes in data in interim years. In the second step for calculating the ease of doing business score, the scores obtained for individual indicators for each economy are aggregated through simple averaging into one score, first for each topic and then across all 10 topics. For the ease of doing business score (DB15 methodology), the specific topic scores used are: Score-Starting a business, Score-Dealing with construction permits (DB06-15 methodology), Score-Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology), Score-Registering property (DB05-15 methodology), Score-Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology), Score-Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology), Score-Paying taxes (DB06-16 methodology), Score-Trading across borders (DB06-15 methodology), Score-Enforcing contracts (DB04-15 methodology), Score-Resolving insolvency (DB15-20 methodology).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.8 Ease of doing business score (DB17-20 methodology) (eob eob17)

Ease of doing business score (DB17-20 methodology) captures the gap between an economy's performance and a measure of best practice across the entire sample of 41 indicators for 10 Doing Business topics. The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance. Calculating the ease of doing business score for each economy involves two main steps. In the first step individual component indicators are normalized to a common unit where each of the 41 component indicators y (except for the total tax and contribution rate) is rescaled using the linear transformation (worst - y)/(worst - best). In this formulation the highest score represents the best regulatory performance on the indicator across all economies since 2005 or the third year in which data for the indicator were collected. Both the best regulatory performance and the worst regulatory performance are established every five years based on the Doing Business data for the year in which they are established and remain at that level for the five years regardless of any changes in data in interim years. In the second step for calculating the ease of doing business score, the scores obtained for individual indicators for each economy are aggregated through simple averaging into one score, first for each topic and then across all 10 topics. For the ease of doing business score (DB17-20 methodology), the specific topic scores used are: Score-Starting a business, Score-Dealing with construction permits (DB16-20 methodology), Score-Getting electricity (DB16-20 methodology), Score-Registering property (DB17-20 methodology), Score-Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology), Score-Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology), Score-Paying taxes (DB17-20 methodology), Score-Trading across borders (DB16-20 methodology), Score-Enforcing contracts (DB17-20 methodology), Score-Resolving insolvency.



N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.9 Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology) (eob gc15)

Score-Getting credit (DB15-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Getting Credit indicator components. The sub-indicators are weighted proportionally, according to their contribution to the total score, with a weight of 60%assigned to the strength of legal rights index and 40% to the depth of credit information index. The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.10 Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology) (eob ge10)

Score-Getting electricity (DB10-15 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Getting Electricity indicator. It calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (days) and Cost (% of income per capita). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.11 Getting electricity (DB16-20 methodology) (eob ge16)

Score-Getting electricity (DB16-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Getting Electricity indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (days), Cost (% of income per capita), and Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index. The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.12 Land dispute resolution index (0-8) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob ldri)

Land dispute resolution index (0-8) (DB16-20 methodology) measures the accessibility of conflict resolution mechanisms and the extent of liability for entities or agents recording land transactions.



### 4.23.13 Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology) (eob pmi15)

Score-Protecting minority investors (DB15-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Protecting Minority Investors indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Extent of conflict of interest regulation index (0-10) (DB15-20 methodology) and Extent of shareholder governance index (0-10) (DB15-20 methodology). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



### 4.23.14 Paying taxes (DB06-16 methodology) (eob pt06)

Score-Paying taxes (DB06-16 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Paying Taxes indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Payments (numbers per year), Time (hours per year), and the Total Tax and Contribution Rate (% of profit). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



### 4.23.15 Paying taxes (DB17-20 methodology) (eob pt17)

Score-Paying taxes (DB17-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Paying Taxes indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Payments (number per year), Time (hours), Total Tax and Contribution Rate (% of profits), and Postfiling index (0-100) (DB17-20 methodology). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



### 4.23.16 Quality of land administration index (0-30) (DB17-20 methodology) (eob qla)

Quality of land administration index (0-30) (DB17-20 methodology). This index ranges from 0 to 30 points and is based on five other indices: (i) reliability of infrastructure (0-8), (ii) transparency of information (0-6), (iii) geographic coverage (0-4), (iv) land dispute resolution (0-8) and (v) equal access to property rights (-2 to 0)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.17 Resolving insolvency (eob ri)

Score-Resolving insolvency measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Resolving Insolvency indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for the Recovery Rate (cents on the dollar) and the Strength of Insolvency Framework Index (0-16). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:2003 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 578  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 16

### 4.23.18 Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0-8)(DB16-20 methodology (eob roest)

Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0-8) (DB16-20 methodology) This index ranges from 0 to 8 and is calculated on the basis of the following six components: (i)Duration and frequency of power outages (0-3); (ii) Tools to monitor power outages (0-1); (iii) Tools to restore power supply (0-1); (iv) Regulatory monitoring of utilities' performance (0-1); (v) Financial deterrents aimed at limiting outages (0-1); and (vi) Transparency and accessibility of tariffs (0-1). An economy is eligible to obtain a score on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index only if (i) the utility collects data on all types of outages (average total duration of outages per customer and the average number of outages per customer), including planned and unplanned outages, as well as load shedding, with the minimum outage time of not more than 5 minutes; and (ii) the SAIDI value is below a threshold of 100 hours and the SAIFI value is under 100 outages.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.19 Registering property (DB05-15 methodology) (eob\_rp05)

Score-Registering Property (DB05-15 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Registering Property indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (days), Cost (% of property value). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



**Nin. Year**: 2014 Max. Year: 201 **N**: 36



Min. Year: 2004 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 367  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 10

### 4.23.20 Registering property (DB17-20 methodology) (eob rp17)

Score-Registering Property (DB17-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Registering Property indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (days), Cost (% of property value), and Quality land administration system (0-30) (DB17-20 methodology). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.21 Starting a business (eob sab)

Score-Starting a business measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Starting a Business indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Procedures (number), Time (calendar days), Cost (% of income per capita), and Paid-in Minimum capital (% of income per capita). The scores for the following components are obtained as such: the score for Procedures (number) is calculated based on the average of scores for Procedures - Men (number) and Procedures - Women (number); the score for Time (calendar days) is calculated based on the average of scores for Time - Men (calendar days) and Time - Women (calendar days); and the score for Cost (% of income per capita) is calculated based on the average of scores for Cost - Men (% of income per capita) and Cost - Women (% of income per capita). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:2003 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 578  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 16

### 4.23.22 Trading across borders(DB06-15 methodology) (eob tab06)

Score-Trading across Borders (DB06-15 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Trading across Borders indicator. It calculated as the simple average of the scores for Documents to export (number), Time to export (days), Cost to export (US dollar per container deflated), Documents to import (number), Time to import (days) and Cost to import (US dollar per container deflated). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.23.23 Trading across borders(DB16-20 methodology) (eob tab16)

Score-Trading across Borders (DB16-20 methodology) measures the gap between an economy's performance and the regulatory best practice on the Trading across Borders indicator components. It is calculated as the simple average of the scores for Time to export: Border compliance (hours), Cost to export: Border compliance (US dollar), Time to export: Documentary compliance (hours), Cost to export: Documentary compliance (US dollar), Time to import: Border compliance (hours), Cost to import: Border compliance (US dollar), Time to import: Documentary compliance (hours) and Cost to import: Documentary compliance (US dollar). The score ranges from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst regulatory performance and 100 the best regulatory performance.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.24 Environmental Performance Index

https://epi.envirocenter.yale.edu/epi-downloads (Wendling et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-26)

### Environmental Performance Index Data 2020

The Environmental Performance Index provides a ranking that shines light on how each country manages environmental issues. The Environmental Performance Index (EPI) ranks how well countries perform on high-priority environmental issues in two broad policy areas: protection of human health from environmental harm and protection of ecosystems. Within these two policy objectives the EPI scores country performance in ten issue areas comprised of 32 indicators. Indicators in the EPI measure how close countries are to meeting internationally established targets or, in the absence of agreed-upon targets, how they compare to the range of observed countries.

Note: In many cases the EPI variables lack actual observations and rely on imputation. Please refer to the original documentation on more information about this. Also, some values (usually the value 0) are very unlikely, please use your judgement whether to treat these as the value 0 or as "Data missing".

## 4.24.1 Agriculture (0-100) (epi\_agr)

Agriculture. It is constructed from the Sustainable Nitrogen Management Index, which measures the Euclidean distance from an ideal point with optimal nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) and crop yield.





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.2 Air Quality (0-100) (epi air)

Air Quality. It measures household air pollution (HAP) as the health risk posed by the incomplete combustion of solid fuels, using the number of age-standardized disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) lost per 100,000 persons due to this risk. PM2.5 exposure: as a measure of chronic exposure, it uses the population-weighted average ambient concentration of PM2.5 in each country. PM2.5 exceedance: as a measure of acute exposure, it uses the proportion of the population in each year that is exposed to ambient PM2.5 concentrations that exceed World Health Organization (WHO) thresholds of 10, 15, 25, and 35 micrograms per meter cubed. These four proportions are averaged to produce a summary of the distribution of exposure levels in the country's population.



Min. Year: 2020 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.3 Pollution Emissions (0-100) (epi ape)

Air Pollution. The Pollution Emissions issue category measures progress on managing the emissions of two primary air pollutants. It is composed of two indicators, adjusted emission growth rates for SO2 and NOX.



Min. Year:2020 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.4 Biodiversity and Habitat (0-100) (epi bdh)

Biodiversity and Habitat. This indicator includes:

1 Terrestrial biome protection (national weights). The percentage of biomes in protected areas, weighted by national composition of biomes.

2 Terrestrial biome protection (global weights). The percentage of biomes in protected areas, weighted by global composition of biomes.

3 Marine protected areas. The percentage of marine protected areas (MPAs) within a country's exclusive economic zone (EEZ).

4 Species Protection Index. The average area of species' distributions in a country with protected areas.

5 Protected Area Representativeness Index. The extent to which terrestrial protected areas are ecologically representative.

6 Species Habitat Index. The proportion of habitat within a country remaining, relative to a baseline set in the year 2001.

7 Biodiversity Habitat Index. The effects of habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation on the expected retention of terrestrial biodiversity.



N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.5 Climate Change (0-100) (epi cch)

The Climate Change issue category measures progress to combat global climate change, which exacerbates all other environmental threats and imperils human health and safety. It is composed of eight indicators: adjusted emission growth rates for four greenhouse gases (CO2, CH4, F-gases, and N2O) and one climate pollutant (black carbon); growth rate in CO2 emissions from land cover; greenhouse gas intensity growth rate; and greenhouse gas emissions per capita.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.6 Ecosystem Services (0-100) (epi ecs)

The new Ecosystem Services issue category recognizes the important services ecosystems provide to human and environmental well-being, including carbon sequestration and storage, biodiversity habitat, nutrient cycling, and coastal protection. It consists of three indicators to evaluate the state of these ecosystems: tree cover loss (%90), along with two new pilot indicators for 2020 - grassland loss (%5) and wetland loss (%5).



Min. Year: 2020 Max. Year: 2020 N: 35



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.7 Environmental Health (0-100) (epi eh)

The Environmental Health policy objective measures how well countries are protecting their populations from environmental health risks. It comprises 40% of the total EPI score and is made up of four issue categories: Air Quality (50%), Sanitation & Drinking Water (%40), Heavy Metals (%5), and Waste Management (%5).



# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.8 Environmental Performance Index (0-100) (epi epi)

The 2020 Environmental Performance Index (EPI) scores 180 countries on 32 performance indicators across ten issue categories covering environmental health and ecosystem vitality. The 2020 EPI represents a composite index. The EPI researchers begin by gathering data on 32 individual metrics of environmental performance. These metrics are aggregated into a hierarchy beginning with eleven issue categories: Air Quality, Sanitation & Drinking Water, Heavy Metals, Waste Management, Biodiversity and Habitat, Ecosystem Services, Fisheries, Climate Change, Pollution Emissions, Water Resources, and Agriculture.

These issue categories are then combined into two policy objectives, Environmental Health and Ecosystem Vitality, and then finally consolidated into the overall EPI. To allow for meaningful comparisons, the EPI researchers construct scores for each of the 32 indicators, placing them onto a common scale where 0 indicates worst performance and 100 indicates best performance. How far a country is from achieving international targets of sustainability determines its placement on this scale.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.9 Ecosystem Vitality (0-100) (epi ev)

The Ecosystem Vitality policy objective measures how well countries are preserving, protecting, and enhancing ecosystems and the services they provide. It comprises 60% of the total EPI score and is made up of seven issue categories: Biodiversity & Habitat (25%), Ecosystem Services (10%), Fisheries (10%), Climate Change (40%), Pollution Emissions (5%), Agriculture (5%), and Water Resources (5%).



### 4.24.10 Sanitation and Drinking Water (0-100) (epi h2o)

Sanitation & Drinking Water. This indicator includes:

1 Unsafe sanitation. EPI researchers measure sanitation as the proportion of a country's population exposed to health risks from their access to sanitation, defined by the primary toilet type used by households.

2 Unsafe drinking water. EPI researchers measure drinking water as the proportion of a country's population exposed to health risks from their access to drinking water, defined by the primary water source used by households and the household water treatment, or the treatment that happens at the point of water collection.

Both sanitation and drinking water are measured using the number of age-standardized disabilityadjusted life-years (DALYs) lost per 100,000 persons. Minimizing the health risks posed from unsafe sanitation and drinking water is a vital step in evaluating a country's ability to maintain clean water systems and minimize contact with dangerous bacteria and viruses.





 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.24.11 Heavy Metals (0-100) (epi hmt)

Heavy Metals. It includes the indicator Lead Exposure. EPI researchers measure lead exposure using the number of age-standardized disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) lost per 100,000 persons due to this risk.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

### Waste Management (0-100) (epi wmg) 4.24.12

The Waste Management issue category recognizes the threats of solid waste to human health. It is based on one indicator, controlled solid waste.



N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

### 4.24.13 Water Resources (0-100) (epi wrs)

The Water Resources issue category measures the extent to which humans are mitigating our threats to aquatic ecosystems. It is based on one indicator: wastewater treatment.



Min. Year: 2020 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

### 4.25Eurostat

http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database (European Commission, 2021) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-27)

### **Eurostat Datasets**

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its mission is to provide high quality statistics for Europe. Its key task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. Europtat offers a whole range of important and interesting data that governments, businesses, the education sector, journalists and the public can use for their work and daily life.

Note: Observations which are flagged as "break in time series", "low reliability" and "not applicable by Eurostat are replaced by missing values.

### 4.25.1 Internet use: internet banking (eu\_isiubk)

Internet use: internet banking as percentage of all individuals

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

**Min. Year**: 2003 **Max. Year**: 2020 **N**: 31 **n**: 446  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 14

4.25.2 Researchers in all sectors % tot. emloyment - full-time (total) (eu\_resallt) Researchers in all sectors % tot. emloyment - full-time equivalent (total)





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2019 N: 30 n: 579  $\overline{N}$ : 15  $\overline{T}$ : 19

4.25.3 Researchers in Higher Education % tot. emloyment - full-time (total) (eu\_resedut)

Researchers in Higher Education % tot. emloyment - full-time equivalent (total)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



4.25.4 Researchers in Government % tot. emloyment - full-time (total) (eu\_resgovt) Researchers in Government % tot. emloyment - full-time equivalent (total)

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2019 N: 30 n: 592  $\overline{N}$ : 15  $\overline{T}$ : 20

4.25.5 Patent applications to the EPO, Per million inhabitants (eu\_sctrtotpmin) Patent applications to the EPO, Per million inhabitants



### 4.25.6 Patent applications to the EPO, number (eu scttotn)

Patent applications to the EPO, number



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 1192  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 34

## 4.26 Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations

http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, 2020) (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, 2016) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-26)

### Environmental Land Use Data

The FAOSTAT Land Use domain contains data on forty-seven categories of land use, irrigation and agricultural practices, relevant to monitor agriculture, forestry and fisheries activities at national, regional and global level.

Data are available by country and year, with global coverage and annual updates. Note: Micronesia has been dropped due to duplicate cases.

### 4.26.1 Agricultural land (% of Land area) (fao\_luagr)

Agricultural land (% of Land area)



4.26.2 Arable Land (% of Agricultural land) (fao\_luagrara)

Arable Land (% of Agricultural land)



4.26.3 Cropland (% of Agricultural land) (fao luagrcrop)

Cropland (% of Agricultural land)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1790  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 50

## 4.26.4 Land area equipped for irrigation (% of Agricultural land) (fao\_luagrirreq)

Land area equipped for irrigation (% of Agricultural land)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33 n: 1655  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 50

4.26.5 Land area equipped for irrigation (% of Cropland) (fao\_luagrirreqcrop) Land area equipped for irrigation (% of Cropland)





Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 1597  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 50

4.26.6 Agriculture area under organic agric. (% of Agricultural land) (fao\_luagrorg) Agriculture area under organic agric. (% of Agricultural land)



Land under perm meadows and pastures (% of Agricultural land)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1772  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 49

4.26.8 Land under Permanent Crops (% of Agricultural land) (fao\_luagrpcrop) Land under Permanent Crops (% of Agricultural land)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year: 1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1732  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 49

### 4.26.9 Cropland (% of Land area) (fao lucrop)

Cropland (% of Land area)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1790  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 50

4.26.10 Forest land (% of Land area) (fao\_luforest)

Forest land (% of Land area)



4.26.11 Planted Forest (% of Forest area) (fao luforplant)

Planted Forest (% of Forest area)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1030  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 29

## 4.26.12 Other naturally regenerated forest (% of Forest area) (fao\_luforreg)

Other naturally regenerated forest (% of Forest area)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1030  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 29





Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1772  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 49

## 4.27 James D. Fearon

https://fearonresearch.stanford.edu/paperspublished/journal-articles-2/ (Fearon, 2003) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-02)

### Ethnic and Cultural Diversity by Country

Used in the article Ethnic and Cultural Diversity by Country published in Journal of Economic Growth, containing data on 822 ethnic groups in 160 countries that made up at least 1 percent of the country population in the early 1990s. This data was last originally updated in 2003. For this compilation, QoG Data imputes the values from 2003 into 2019.

### 4.27.1 Cultural Diversity (fe cultdiv)

This measure modifies fractionalization (fe\_etfra) so as to take some account of cultural distances between groups, measured as the structural distance between languages spoken by different groups in a country. If the groups in a country speak structurally unrelated languages, their cultural diversity index will be the same as their level of ethnic fractionalization (fe\_etfra). The more similar are the languages spoken by different ethnic groups, however, the more will this measure be reduced below the level of ethnic fractionalization for that country. The values are assumed to be constant for all years.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 34 n: 2550 N: 34 T: 75

### 4.27.2 Ethnic Fractionalization (fe etfra)

Restricting attention to groups that had at least 1 percent of country population in the 1990s, Fearon identifies 822 ethnic and "ethnoreligious" groups in 160 countries. This variable reflects the probability that two randomly selected people from a given country will belong to different such groups. The variable thus ranges from 0 (perfectly homogeneous) to 1 (highly fragmented). The values are assumed to be constant for all years.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 34 n: 2550  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 75

### 4.27.3 Plurality Group (fe plural)

Based on the same set of groups, this variable reflects the population share of the largest group (plurality group) in the country. The values are assumed to be constant for all years.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 34 n: 2550  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 75

### 4.28 Fund for Peace

https://fragilestatesindex.org/ (Haken et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-23)

### **Fragile States Index**

The Fragile States Index (Failed States Index), produced by The Fund for Peace, is a critical tool in highlighting not only the normal pressures that all states experience, but also in identifying when those pressures are pushing a state towards the brink of failure. By highlighting pertinent issues in weak and failing states, the FSI - and the social science framework and software application upon which it is built - makes political risk assessment and early warning of conflict accessible to policymakers and the public at large.

The strength of the FSI is its ability to distill millions of pieces of information into a form that is relevant as well as easily digestible and informative. Daily, The Fund for Peace collects thousands of reports and information from around the world, detailing the existing social, economic and political pressures faced by each of the 178 countries that we analyze.

The FSI is based on The Fund for Peace's proprietary Conflict Assessment Software Tool (CAST) analytical platform. Based on comprehensive social science methodology, data from three primary sources is triangulated and subjected to critical review to obtain final scores for the FSI. Millions of documents are analyzed every year. By applying highly specialized search parameters, scores are apportioned for every country based on twelve key political, social and economic indicators (which in turn include over 100 sub-indicators) that are the result of years of painstaking expert social science research. The Fund for Peace's software performs content analysis on this collected information.

Through sophisticated search parameters and algorithms, the CAST software separates the relevant data from the irrelevant. Guided by twelve primary social, economic and political indicators (each split into an average of 14 sub-indicators), the CAST software analyzes the collected information using specialized search terms that flag relevant items. Using various algorithms, this analysis is then converted into a score representing the significance of each of the various pressures for a given country. The content analysis is further triangulated with two other key aspects of the overall assessment process: quantitative analysis and qualitative inputs based on major events in the countries examined.

The scores produced by The Fund for Peace's software are then compared with a comprehensive set of vital statistics - as well as human analysis - to ensure that the software has not misinterpreted the raw data. Though the basic data underpinning the Failed States Index is already freely and widely available electronically, the strength of the analysis is in the methodological rigor and the systematic integration of a wide range of data sources.

Note: the principal of data timing was changed. Data from reports correspond to the situation from the previous year. The 2020 Fragile States Index, comprises data collected between January 1, 2019, and December 31, 2019. Therefore data from Report 2020 is recorded for 2019 and the same logic works for all other years.

### 4.28.1 Demographic Pressure (ffp dp)

Demographic Pressure - Pressures on the population such as disease and natural disasters make it difficult for the government to protect its citizens or demonstrate a lack of capacity or will. Includes pressures and measures related to natural disasters, disease, environment, pollution, food scarcity, malnutrition, water scarcity, population growth, youth bulge, mortality.



### 4.28.2 Economic Decline (ffp eco)

Economic Decline Indicator - It considers factors related to economic decline within a country. For example, the Indicator looks at patterns of progressive economic decline of the society as a whole as measured by per capita income, Gross National Product, unemployment rates, inflation, productivity, debt, poverty levels, or business failures. It also takes into account sudden drops in commodity prices, trade revenue, or foreign investment, and any collapse or devaluation of the national currency. The Economic Decline Indicator further considers the responses to economic conditions and their consequences, such as extreme social hardship imposed by economic austerity programs, or perceived increasing group inequalities. The Economic Decline Indicator is focused on the formal economy - as well as illicit trade, including the drug and human trafficking, and capital flight, or levels of corruption and illicit transactions such as money laundering or embezzlement.



### 4.28.3 External Intervention (ffp ext)

External Intervention - When the state fails to meet its international or domestic obligations, external actors may intervene to provide services or to manipulate internal affairs. Includes pressures and measures related to foreign assistance, presence of peacekeepers, presence of UN missions, foreign military intervention, sanctions, credit rating.



N: 35



Min. Year:2005 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 523  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 15

### 4.28.4 Factionalized Elites (ffp fe)

Factionalized Elites - When local and national leaders engage in deadlock and brinkmanship for political gain, this undermines the social contract. Includes pressures and measures related to power struggles, defectors, flawed elections, political competition.





Min. Year: 2005 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 523  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 15

### 4.28.5 Fragile States Index (ffp fsi)

Fragile States Index (The Failed States Index) includes an examination of the pressures on states, their vulnerability to internal conflict and societal deterioration. The country ratings are based on the total scores of 12 indicators:

Social Indicators

1. Mounting Demographic Pressures

2. Massive Movement of Refugees or Internally Displaced Persons creating Complex Humanitarian Emergencies

3. Legacy of Vengeance-Seeking Group Grievance or Group Paranoia

4. Chronic and Sustained Human Flight.

Economic Indicators

- 5. Uneven Economic Development along Group Lines
- 6. Sharp and/or Severe Economic Decline

**Political Indicators** 

- 7. Criminalization and/or Delegitimization of the State
- 8. Progressive Deterioration of Public Services

9. Suspension or Arbitrary Application of the Rule of Law and Widespread Violation of Human Rights

10. Security Apparatus Operates as a "State Within a State"

- 11. Rise of Factionalized Elites
- 12. Intervention of Other States or External Politicl Actors.

For each indicator, the ratings are placed on a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being the lowest intensity (most stable) and 10 being the highest intensity (least stable). The total score is the sum of the 12 indicators and is on a scale of 0-120.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year: 2005 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 523  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 15

### 4.28.6 Group Grievance (ffp gg)

Group Grievance - When tension and violence exists between groups, the state's ability to provide security is undermined and fear and further violence may ensue. Includes pressures and measures related to discrimination, powerlessness, ethnic violence, communal violence, sectarian violence, religious violence.



### 4.28.7Human Flight and Brain Drain (ffp hf)

Human Flight and Brain Drain - When there is little opportunity, people migrate, leaving a vacuum of human capital. Those with resources also often leave before, or just as, conflicts erupts. Includes pressures and measures related to migration per capita, human capital, emigration of educated population.



 $N \cdot 35$ 



Min. Year: 2005 Max. Year: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 35  $\mathbf{n}$ : 523  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 15

### Human Rights and Rule of Law (ffp hr) 4.28.8

Human Rights and Rule of Law - When human rights are violated or unevenly protected, the state is failing in its ultimate responsibility. Includes pressures and measures related to press freedom, civil liberties, political freedoms, human trafficking, political prisoners, incarceration, religious persecution, torture, executions.



### 4.28.9Public Services (ffp ps)



Min. Year: 2005 Max. Year: 2019

Public Services - The provision of health, education, and sanitation services, among others, are key roles of the state. Includes pressures and measures related to policing, criminality, education provision, literacy, water and sanitation, infrastructure, quality healthcare, telephony, internet access, energy reliability, roads.



Min. Year: 2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year: 2005 Max. Year: 2019 **N**: 35 **n**: 523  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 15

### 4.28.10 Refugees and IDPs (ffp ref)

Refugees and IDPs - Pressures associated with population displacement. This strains public services and has the potential to pose a security threat. Includes pressures and measures related to displacement, refugee camps, IDP camps, disease related to displacement, refugees per capita, IDPs per capita, absorption capacity.



### 4.28.11 Security Apparatus (ffp sec)

Security Apparatus - The security apparatus should have monopoly on the use of legitimate force. The social contract is weakened where this is affected by competing groups. Includes pressures and measures related to internal conflict, small arms proliferation, riots and protests, fatalities from conflict, military coups, rebel activity, militancy, bombings, political prisoners.



### 4.28.12 State Legitimacy (ffp sl)

State Legitimacy - Corruption and lack of representativeness in the government directly undermine the social contract. Includes pressures and measures related to corruption, government effectiveness, political participation, electoral process, level of democracy, illicit economy, drug trade, protests and demonstrations, power struggles.



### 4.28.13 Uneven Economic Development (ffp ued)

Uneven Economic Development - When there are ethnic, religious, or regional disparities, the governed tend to be uneven in their commitment to the social contract. Includes pressures and measures related to GINI coefficient, income share of highest 10%, income share of lowest 10%, urban-rural service distribution, access to improved services, slum population.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:2005 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 523  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 15

### 4.29 Fraser Institute

https://www.fraserinstitute.org/economic-freedom/dataset (Gwartney et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-24)

### Economic Freedom of the World Dataset

The index published in Economic Freedom of the World measures the degree to which the policies and institutions of countries are supportive of economic freedom. The cornerstones of economic freedom are personal choice, voluntary exchange, freedom to enter markets and compete, and security of the person and privately owned property. The EFW index now ranks 159 countries and territories. Data are available for approximately 100 nations and territories back to 1980, and many back to 1970. This data set makes it possible for scholars to analyze the impact of both cross-country differences in economic freedom and changes in that freedom across a time frame of three and a half decades.

For a consistent time-series for a particular country and/or longitudinal data for a panel of countries, the Fraser Institute previously developed and reported a chain-linked version of the index. One of the problems with the chain-linked index was that it was limited to just the 123 countries that were available in the chain-link's "base year" of 2000. With this year's report, the Institute is replacing the chain-linked index with the EFW Panel Dataset, which reports area and summary ratings for all countries for which we have a regular EFW index score in any given year.

The EFW Panel Dataset adjusts the regular EFW index in two ways. (1) From the most-recent year annually back to 2000, whenever possible, any missing data is estimated by autoregressively "backcasting" the data, meaning the actual values are used in later years to estimate the missing values for earlier years. For example, if a country is missing a data value for a particular component from 2000-2004, this method estimates the missing 2000-2004 values based on data available in 2005 and thereafter. This approach allows to have area and summary ratings for up to the entire 159 countries in the EFW index. (2) For 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995, the index is chain-linked as described in previous editions. That is, using 2000 as the base year, changes in a country's scores backward in time are based only on changes in components that were present in adjoining years. It should be noted that the EFW Panel Dataset contains area and summary ratings only for those years in which the country received a regular EFW index rating.

### 4.29.1 Freedom to Trade Internationally (current) (fi ftradeint)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "increasing tax rate on international trade", "slow import or export process", "small trade sectors relative to the population and geographic size", "exchange rate controls are present and a black-market exists", and "restrictions on the freedom of citizens to engage in capital market exchange with foreigners" and 10 corresponds to "no specific taxes on international trade", "swift import or export process", "large trade sectors relative to the population and geographic size", "no black-market exchange rate", and "no restrictions on the freedom of citizens to engage in capital market exchange with foreigners". The index consists of the following indicators: Taxes on international trade, Regulatory trade barriers, Actual size of trade sector compared to expected size, Difference between official exchange rate and black market rate International capital market controls.



### 4.29.2 Freedom to Trade Internationally (panel data) (fi ftradeint pd)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "increasing tax rate on international trade", "slow import or export process", "small trade sectors relative to the population and geographic size", "exchange rate controls are present and a black-market exists", and "restrictions on the freedom of citizens to engage in capital market exchange with foreigners" and 10 corresponds to "no specific taxes on international trade", "swift import or export process", "large trade sectors relative to the population and geographic size", "no black-market exchange rate", and "no restrictions on the freedom of citizens to engage in capital market exchange with foreigners". The index consists of the following indicators: Taxes on international trade, Regulatory trade barriers, Actual size of trade sector compared to expected size, Difference between official exchange rate and black market rate International capital market controls. Panel-data adjusted.



### 4.29.3 Economic Freedom of the World Index (current) (fi index)

The index is founded upon objective components that reflect the presence (or absence) of economic freedom. The index comprises 21 components designed to identify the consistency of institutional arrangements and policies with economic freedom in five major areas: size of government (fi\_sog), legal structure and security of property rights (fi\_legprop), access to sound money (fi\_sm), freedom to trade internationally (fi\_ftradeint), regulation of credit, labor and business (fi\_reg). The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "less economic freedom" and 10 to "more economic freedom". This is the version of the index published at the current year of measurement, without taking methodological changes over time into account.



### 4.29.4 Economic Freedom of the World Index (panel data) (fi index pd)

The index is founded upon objective components that reflect the presence (or absence) of economic freedom. The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "less economic freedom" and 10 to "more economic freedom". Panel-data adjusted.



### 4.29.5 Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (current) (fi legprop)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "no judicial independence", "no trusted legal framework exists", "no protection of intellectual property", "military interference in rule of law", and "no integrity of the legal system" and 10 corresponds to "high judicial independence", "trusted legal framework exists", "protection of intellectual property", "no military interference in rule of law", and "integrity of the legal system". The index consists of the following indicators: Judicial independence: The judiciary is independent and not subject to interference by the government or parties in dispute, Impartial courts: A trusted legal framework exists for private businesses to challenge the legality of government actions or regulations, Protection of intellectual property, Military interference in rule of law and the political process, Integrity of the legal system.



### 4.29.6 Legal Structure and Security of Property Rights (panel data) (fi legprop pd)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "no judicial independence", "no trusted legal framework exists", "no protection of intellectual property", "military interference in rule of law", and "no integrity of the legal system" and 10 corresponds to "high judicial independence", "trusted legal framework exists", "protection of intellectual property", "no military interference in rule of law", and "integrity of the legal system". The index consists of the following indicators: Judicial independence: The judiciary is independent and not subject to interference by the government or parties in dispute, Impartial courts: A trusted legal framework exists for private businesses to challenge the legality of government actions or regulations, Protection of intellectual property, Military interference in rule of law and the political process, Integrity of the legal system. Panel-data adjusted.



### 4.29.7 Regulation of Credit, Labor and Business (current) (fi\_reg)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "low percentage of deposits held in privately owned banks", "high foreign bank license denial rate", "private sector's share of credit is close to the base-year-minimum", "deposit and lending rates is fixed by the government and real rates is persistently negative", "high impact of minimum wage", "widespread use of price controls throughout various sectors of the economy", and "starting a new business is generally complicated" and 10 corresponds to "high percentage of deposits held in privately owned banks", "low foreign bank license denial rate",

"private sector's share of credit is close to the base-year-maximum", "interest rates is determined primarily by market forces and the real rates is positive", "low impact of minimum wage", "no price controls or marketing boards", and "starting a new business is generally easy". The index consists of the following indicators: Credit Market Regulations, Labor Market Regulations, Business Regulations.



### 4.29.8 Regulation of Credit, Labor and Business (panel data) (fi reg pd)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "low percentage of deposits held in privately owned banks", "high foreign bank license denial rate", "private sector's share of credit is close to the base-year-minimum", "deposit and lending rates is fixed by the government and real rates is persistently negative", "high impact of minimum wage", "widespread use of price controls throughout various sectors of the economy", and "starting a new business is generally complicated" and 10 corresponds to "high percentage of deposits held in privately owned banks", "low foreign bank license denial rate", "private sector's share of credit is close to the base-year-maximum", "interest rates is determined primarily by market forces and the real rates is positive", "low impact of minimum wage", "no price controls or marketing boards", and "starting a new business is generally easy". The index consists of the following indicators: Credit Market Regulations, Labor Market Regulations, Business Regulations. Panel-data adjusted.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 864  $\overline{N}$ : 18  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.29.9 Access to Sound Money (current) (fi sm)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "high annual money growth", "high variation in the annual rate of inflation", "high inflation rate", and "restricted foreign currency bank accounts" and 10 corresponds to "low annual money growth", "low or no variation in the annual rate of inflation", "low inflation rate", and "foreign currency bank accounts are permissible without restrictions". The index consists of the following indicators: Average annual growth of the money supply in the last five years minus average annual growth of real GDP in the last ten years, Standard inflation variability in the last five years, Recent inflation rate, Freedom to own foreign currency bank accounts domestically and abroad.



N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 864  $\overline{N}$ : 18  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.29.10 Access to Sound Money (chain\_linked) (fi\_sm\_pd)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "high annual money growth", "high variation in the annual rate of inflation", "high inflation rate", and "restricted foreign currency bank accounts" and 10 corresponds to "low annual money growth", "low or no variation in the annual rate of inflation", "low inflation rate", and "foreign currency bank accounts are permissible without restrictions". The index consists of the following indicators: Average annual growth of the money supply in the last five years minus average annual growth of real GDP in the last ten years, Standard inflation variability in the last five years, Recent inflation rate, Freedom to own foreign currency bank accounts domestically and abroad. Panel-data adjusted.



### 4.29.11 Size of Government: Expenditures, Taxes and Enterprises (current) (fi sog)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "large general government consumption", "large transfer sector", "many government enterprises", and "high marginal tax rates and low income thresholds", and 10 to "small general government consumption", "small transfer sector", "few government enterprises", and "low marginal tax rates and high income thresholds". The index consists of the following indicators: General government consumption spending as a percentage of total consumption, Transfers and subsidies as a percentage of GDP, Government enterprises and investment as a percentage of total investment, Top marginal tax rate (and income threshold to which it applies).



### 4.29.12 Size of Government: Expenditures, Taxes and Enterprises (panel data) (fi\_sog pd)

The index ranges from 0-10 where 0 corresponds to "large general government consumption", "large transfer sector", "many government enterprises", and "high marginal tax rates and low income thresholds", and 10 to "small general government consumption", "small transfer sector", "few government enterprises", and "low marginal tax rates and high income thresholds". The index consists of the following indicators: General government consumption spending as a percentage of total consumption, Transfers and subsidies as a percentage of GDP, Government enterprises and investment as a percentage of total investment, Top marginal tax rate (and income threshold to which it applies). Panel-data adjusted.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 855  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 24

## 4.30 Guillén and Capron

https://whartonmgmt.wufoo.com/forms/guillencapron-shareholder-protections-index/ (Guillen & Capron, 2016) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-30)

### State Capacity, Minority Shareholder Protections, and Stock Market Development

A longitudinal dataset on the adoption of minority shareholders' legal protections and the development of the stock market in 78 countries between 1970 and 2011.

### 4.30.1 Minority Shareholder Rights (gc shr)

The ten key legal provisions identified as most relevant to the protection of minority shareholder rights are coded by a team of legal scholars coded between 0 and 1. The measures are not dichotomous because intermediate scores between 0 and 1 are possible. The sum of the scores for each of the ten legal provisions are the value of the variable, ranging from 0 to 10.

The ten legal provisions protecting the rights of minority shareholders:

- 1. Powers of the general meeting for de facto changes
- 2. Agenda-setting power
- 3. Anticipation of shareholder decision facilitated
- 4. Prohibition of multiple voting rights (super voting rights)
- 5. Independent board members
- 6. Feasibility of directors' dismissal
- 7. Private enforcement of directors' duties (derivative suit)
- 8. Shareholder action against resolutions of the general meeting
- 9. Mandatory bid
- 10. Disclosure of major share ownership



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 30



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2016 N: 30 n: 1235  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 41

## 4.31 The Political Terror Scale project

http://www.politicalterrorscale.org/Data/Download.html (Gibney et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-02)

### The Political Terror Scale

The PTS measures violations of physical integrity rights carried out by states or their agents, covering some 200 countries or territories from 1976 to 2016. The PTS seeks to measure political terror. The authors define political terror as violations of basic human rights to the physical integrity of the person by agents of the state within the territorial boundaries of the state in question. It is important to note that political terror as defined by the PTS is not synonymous with terrorism or the use of violence and intimidation in pursuit of political aims. The concept is also distinguishable from terrorism as a tactic or from criminal acts.

### 4.31.1 Political Terror Scale - Amnesty International (gd ptsa)

Political Terror Scale Levels from the yearly country reports of Amnesty International:

1. Countries under a secure rule of law, people are not imprisoned for their view, and torture is rare or exceptional. Political murders are extremely rare.

2. There is a limited amount of imprisonment for nonviolent political activity. However, few persons are affected, torture and beatings are exceptional. Political murder is rare.

3. There is extensive political imprisonment, or a recent history of such imprisonment. Execution or other political murders and brutality may be common. Unlimited detention, with or without a trial, for political views is accepted.

4. Civil and political rights violations have expanded to large numbers of the population. Murders, disappearances, and torture are a common part of life. In spite of its generality, on this level terror affects those who interest themselves in politics or ideas.

5. Terror has expanded to the whole population. The leaders of these societies place no limits on the means or thoroughness with which they pursue personal or ideological goals.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1040  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 30

### 4.31.2 Political Terror Scale - US State Department (gd ptss)

Political Terror Scale Levels from the the U.S. State Department Country Reports on Human Rights Practices:

1. Countries under a secure rule of law, people are not imprisoned for their view, and torture is rare or exceptional. Political murders are extremely rare.

2. There is a limited amount of imprisonment for nonviolent political activity. However, few persons are affected, torture and beatings are exceptional. Political murder is rare.

3. There is extensive political imprisonment, or a recent history of such imprisonment. Execution or other political murders and brutality may be common. Unlimited detention, with or without a trial, for political views is accepted.

4. Civil and political rights violations have expanded to large numbers of the population. Murders, disappearances, and torture are a common part of life. In spite of its generality, on this level terror affects those who interest themselves in politics or ideas.

5. Terror has expanded to the whole population. The leaders of these societies place no limits on the means or thoroughness with which they pursue personal or ideological goals.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1372  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 38

### 4.32 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation

http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/global-educational-attainment-1970-2015 (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2015)

(Data downloaded: 2020-09-24)

### Global Educational Attainment 1970-2015

These are IHME results data from a global analysis of educational attainment spanning the last 50 years. These data are an update to earlier estimates (Educational Attainment and Child Mortality Estimates by Country 1970-2009) and inform the IHME policy report "A Hand Up: Global Progress Towards Universal Education", as well as the Social Determinants of Health Visualization, which is supported by the Center for Health Trends and Forecasts at IHME.

This data file provides estimates of average years of educational attainment per capita for people over the age of 15 for the years 1970-2015 by year, sex, and age group for 188 countries, 21 GBD regions, 7 GBD super regions, and the global aggregate. Age-standardized and population-weighted estimates are included for females 15-44 and for both sexes for the age group 25+.

### 4.32.1 Educational Attainment (15-24 years, Female) (gea ea1524f)

Educational Attainment (15-24 years, Female). Average years of education.



Min. Year: 2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



**Min. Year**:1970 **Max. Year**: 2015 **N**: 36 **n**: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

### 4.32.2 Educational Attainment (15-24 years, Male) (gea ea1524m)

Educational Attainment (15-24 years, Male). Average years of education.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

### 4.32.3 Educational Attainment (25-34 years, Female) (gea\_ea2534f)

Educational Attainment (25-34 years, Female). Average years of education.



**4.32.4** Educational Attainment (25-34 years, Male) (gea\_ea2534m) Educational Attainment (25-34 years, Male). Average years of education.


4.32.5 Educational Attainment (35-44 years, Female) (gea ea3544f)

Educational Attainment (35-44 years, Female). Average years of education.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

#### 4.32.6 Educational Attainment (35-44 years, Male) (gea ea3544m)

Educational Attainment (35-44 years, Male). Average years of education.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42



Educational Attainment (45-54 years, Female). Average years of education.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

**4.32.8** Educational Attainment (45-54 years, Male) (gea\_ea4554m) Educational Attainment (45-54 years, Male). Average years of education.



4.32.9 Educational Attainment (55-64 years, Female) (gea ea5564f)

Educational Attainment (55-64 years, Female). Average years of education.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

#### 4.32.10 Educational Attainment (55-64 years, Male) (gea ea5564m)

Educational Attainment (55-64 years, Male). Average years of education.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42



Educational Attainment (65+ years, Female). Average years of education.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

4.32.12 Educational Attainment (65+ years, Male) (gea\_ea65m)
Educational Attainment (65+ years, Male). Average years of education.



N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1502  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 42

### 4.33 IMF Government Finance Statistics

https://data.imf.org/?sk=388dfa60-1d26-4ade-b505-a05a558d9a42 (International Monetary Fund, 2019) (Data downloaded: 2020-12-15)

#### IMF GFS - Expenditure by Functions of Government (COFOG)

The IMF Government Finance Statistics (GFS) database contains fiscal data for all reporting countries in the framework of the Government Finance Statistics Manual 2014 (GFSM 2014). It includes detailed data on revenues, expenditures, transactions in financial assets and liabilities, and balance sheet data and includes data for the general government sector and its subsectors (e.g., central government, local government, state government and social security funds). GFS data are compiled by country authorities and reported to the IMF Statistics Department annually. The data reported in the QoG Datasets is retrieved from Expenditure by Function of Government (COFOG) dataset, as the percentage of total expenditure by general government.

#### 4.33.1 Expenditure on defense, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs def)

Total expenditure on defense, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



N: 32



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 762  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23

**4.33.2** Expenditure on economic affairs, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs\_ecaf) Total expenditure on economic affairs, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



4.33.3 Expenditure on education, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs\_educ)

Total expenditure on education, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



**4.33.4** Expenditure on environment protection, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs\_envr) Total expenditure on environment protection, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 760  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23





Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 762  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23



Total expenditure on housing and community amenities, as the percentage of general government expenditure.





Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 762  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23

```
4.33.7 Expenditure on health, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs_heal)
Total expenditure on health, as the percentage of general government expenditure.
```



**4.33.8** Expenditure on public order and safety, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs\_pos) Total expenditure on public order and safety, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 762  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23

#### 4.33.9 Expenditure on social protection, as % of total gen. gov. exp. (gfs rcr)

Total expenditure on social protection, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 762  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23



Total expenditure on recreation, culture and religion, as the percentage of general government expenditure.



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 762  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 23

#### 4.34 World Economic Forum

http://reports.weforum.org/global-gender-gap-report-2018/ (World Economic Forum, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-22)

#### The Global Gender Gap Index 2006-2019

The Global Gender Gap Report benchmarks 153 countries on their progress towards gender parity across four thematic dimensions: Economic Participation and Opportunity, Educational Attainment, Health and Survival, and Political Empowerment.

#### Global Gender Gap Educational Attainment Subindex (gggi eas) 4.34.1

Educational Attainment (0 to 1, where 1 indicates no gap). This subindex captures the gap between women's and men's current access to education through ratios of women to men in primary-, secondary- and tertiary-level education. A longer-term view of the country's ability to educate women and men in equal numbers is captured through the ratio of the female literacy rate to the male literacy rate.



Min. Year: 2015 Max. Year: 2019  $N \cdot 36$ 



Min. Year: 2006 Max. Year: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 468  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### Overall Global Gender Gap Index (gggi ggi) 4.34.2

The Global Gender Gap Index (0 to 1, where 1 indicates no gap) examines the gap between men and women in four fundamental categories (subindexes): Economic Participation and Opportunity, Educational Attainment, Health and Survival and Political Empowerment.



N: 36



Min. Year: 2006 Max. Year: 2019 **N**: 36 **n**: 468  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### Global Gender Gap Health and Survival Subindex (gggi hss) 4.34.3

Health and Survival (0 to 1, where 1 indicates no gap). This subindex provides an overview of the differences between women's and men's health through the use of two indicators. The first is the sex ratio at birth, which aims specifically to capture the phenomenon of "missing women", prevalent in many countries with a strong son preference. Second, we use the gap between women's and men's healthy life expectancy. This measure provides an estimate of the number of years that women and men can expect to live in good health by taking into account the years lost to violence, disease, malnutrition and other relevant factors.



Min. Year: 2015 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year: 2006 Max. Year: 2019 **N**: 36 **n**: 468  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.34.4 Global Gender Gap Political Empowerment subindex (gggi pes)

Political Empowerment (0 to 1, where 1 indicates no gap). This subindex measures the gap between men and women at the highest level of political decision-making through the ratio of women to men in ministerial positions and the ratio of women to men in parliamentary positions. In addition, we've included the ratio of women to men in terms of years in executive office (prime minister or president) for the last 50 years. A clear drawback in this category is the absence of any indicators capturing differences between the participation of women and men at local levels of government. Should such data become available at a globally comparative level in future years, it will be considered for inclusion in the Index.



#### 4.34.5 Global Gender Gap Economic Participation and Opportunity Subindex (gggi\_pos)

Economic Participation and Opportunity (0 to 1, where 1 indicates no gap). This subindex contains three concepts: the participation gap, the remuneration gap and the advancement gap. The participation gap is captured using the difference between women and men in labour force participation rates. The remuneration gap is captured through a hard data indicator (ratio of estimated femaleto-male earned income) and a qualitative indicator gathered through the World Economic Forum's annual Executive Opinion Survey (wage equality for similar work). Finally, the gap between the advancement of women and men is captured through two hard data statistics (the ratio of women to men among legislators, senior officials and managers, and the ratio of women to men among technical and professional workers).



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year:2006 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 468  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.35 United Nations Development Programme

http://hdr.undp.org/en/data (United Nations Development Program, 2020a) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-04)

#### The Gender Inequality Index

The Gender Inequality Index (GII) reflects gender-based disadvantage in three dimensions - reproductive health, empowerment and the labour market - for as many countries as data of reasonable quality allow. It shows the loss in potential human development due to inequality between female and male achievements in these dimensions. It ranges from 0, where women and men fare equally, to 1, where one gender fares as poorly as possible in all measured dimensions.

#### 4.35.1 Gender Inequality Index (0 to 1 higher disparity) (gii gii)

The GII is an inequality index. It measures gender inequalities in three important aspects of human development-reproductive health, measured by maternal mortality ratio and adolescent birth rates; empowerment, measured by proportion of parliamentary seats occupied by females and proportion of adult females and males aged 25 years and older with at least some secondary education; and economic status, expressed as labour market participation and measured by labour force participation rate of female and male populations aged 15 years and older. The GII is built on the same framework as the IHDI-to better expose differences in the distribution of achievements between women and men. It measures the human development costs of gender inequality. Thus the higher the GII value the more disparities between females and males and the more loss to human development.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 463  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.36 Kristian S. Gleditsch

http://ksgleditsch.com/exptradegdp.html (K. S. Gleditsch, 2002) (K. Gleditsch & Ward, 1999) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-01)

#### Expanded Trade and GDP Data

The dataset by Kristian Gleditsch provides estimates of trade flows between independent states (1948-2000) and GDP per capita of independent states (1950-2011). Version 6. In order to fill in gaps in the Penn World Table's mark 5.6 and 6.2 data (see: Heston, Summers & Aten), Gleditsch has imputed missing data by using an alternative source of data (the CIA World Fact Book), and through extrapolation beyond available time-series.

#### 4.36.1 GDP per Capita (Current Prices) (gle\_cgdpc)

GDP per capita (Current prices).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2011 N: 36 n: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 53

#### 4.36.2 Total Export (gle exp)

This amounts to the total export of a country, in millions of current year US dollars, estimated as the sum of all dyadic export figures to that country using the imputation technique described above.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

#### 4.36.3Real GDP (2005) (gle gdp)

Real GDP (2005). This is Gleditsch's estimate of GDP per Capita in US dollars at current year international prices.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1950 Max. Year: 2011 **N**: 36 **n**: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 53

#### 4.36.4 Total Import (gle imp)

This amounts to the total import of a country, in millions of current year US dollars, estimated as the sum of all dyadic import figures to that country using the imputation technique described above.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

#### 4.36.5 Population (in the 1000's) (gle pop)

Size of the population in the years 1000's.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1948 Max. Year: 2000 **N**: 36 **n**: 1578  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 44





#### 4.36.6Real GDP per Capita (2005) (gle rgdpc)

This is the estimate of real GDP per Capita in constant US dollars at base year 2000, based on the imputation technique described above.





Min. Year: 1948 Max. Year: 2000 **N**: 36 **n**: 1578  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 44

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

### / N: 3)

### 4.36.7 Total Trade (gle trade)

This amounts to the sum of import and export of a country, in millions of current year US dollars, estimated as the sum of all dyadic import and export figures of that country using the imputation technique described above.



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1948 Max. Year: 2000 N: 36 n: 1578  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 44

### 4.37 Bormann and Golder

http://mattgolder.com/elections (Bormann & Golder, 2013) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-01)

#### Democratic Electoral Systems Around the World 1946-2016

The data focus on national-level (lower house) legislative and presidential elections in democratic regimes. A regime is classified as a democracy at the time of an election if (i) the chief executive is elected, (ii) the legislature is elected, (iii) there is more than one party competing in elections, and (iv) an alternation under identical electoral rules has taken place. A regime is classified as a dictatorship at the time of an election if any of these four conditions do not hold (Przeworski et al., 2000; Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland, 2010).

Note: The original values of -99 (the information is missing but should theoretically be available) and -88 (there is no single value for this particular variable) have been recoded to "." (missing).

Note: The original data source is prepared in country-election format and data for interim years without elections has been filled using the latest election data, if an election was held in the last five years before the reference year.

#### 4.37.1 Average District Magnitude (gol adm)

Average district magnitude in an electoral tier. This is calculated as the total number of seats allocated in an electoral tier divided by the total number of districts in that tier.



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2011 N: 36 n: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 53



#### 4.37.2 Districts (gol dist)

This is the number of electoral districts or constituencies in an electoral tier.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.3 Effective Number of Electoral Parties (gol enep)

Effective Number of Electoral Parties.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.4 Effective Number of Electoral Parties 1 (gol enep1)

The effective number of electoral parties once the "other" category has been "corrected" by using the least component method of bounds.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.5 Effective Number of Electoral Parties (Others) (gol enepo)

The percentage of the vote going to parties that are collectively known as "others" in official election results.



4.37.6 Effective Number of Parliamentary or Legislative Parties (gol\_enpp)

The effective number of parliamentary (legislative) parties.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.7 Effective Number of Parliamentary or Legislative Parties 1 (gol enpp1)

This is the effective number of parliamentary (legislative) parties once the "other" category has been "corrected" by using the least component method of bounds.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

4.37.8 Effective Number of Parliamentary or Legislative Parties (Others) (gol enppo)

The percentage of seats won by parties that are collectively known as "others" in official election results.



N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.9 Electoral System Type-3 classes (gol est)

This is a categorical variable that takes on one of three values indicating the basic type of electoral system used in the elections.

- 1. Majoritarian
- 2. Proportional
- 3. Mixed



4.37.10 Electoral System Type-11 classes (gol est spec)

This is a categorical variable that provides a more detailed indication of the type of electoral system used in the election.

- 1. Single-Member-District-Plurality (SMDP)
- 2. Two-Round System (TRS)
- 3. Alternative Vote (AV)
- 4. Borda Count (BC)
- 5. Block Vote (BV)
- 6. Party Block Vote (PBV)
- 7. Limited Vote (LV)
- 8. Single Nontransferable Vote (SNTV)
- 9. List Proportional Representation (List PR)
- 10. Single Transferable Vote (STV)
- 11. Mixed Dependent (or Mixed Member Proportional)
- 12. Mixed Independent (or Mixed Parallel)



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.11 Institution (gol inst)

This is a categorical variable indicating a country's regime type at the end of a given year. The data for this variable come from Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland (2010), which we updated through 2011.

- 0. Parliamentary democracy
- 1. Semi-presidential democracy
- 2. Presidential democracy
- 3. Civilian dictatorship
- 4. Military dictatorship
- 5. Royal dictatorship

Not all elections that occur when a regime is classified as a dictatorship (regime = 4-6) are dictatorial. This apparent anomaly has to do with the fact that a country's regime type is coded based on its status at the end of a given year. Elections like those in Argentina 1962, Nicaragua 1983, Philippines 1965, and Thailand 1976 all preceded a democratic collapse in the same year. Although these countries are considered dictatorial at the end of these years, we code these particular elections as democratic and therefore include them in our data set. We should note that we code the 1997 elections in Kenya, the 1999 elections in Guinea Bissau, the 2005 elections in Liberia, the 2006 elections in Mauritania, and the 2008 elections in Bangladesh as democratic even though Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland (2010) do not code these countries as democratic until the following year. The reason for this is that these elections are the primary reason cited by Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland (2010) for their eventual recoding of these countries as democratic. As an example, Cheibub, Gandhi and Vreeland (2010) do not code Liberia as democratic until 2006 despite the fact that presidential elections took place in October 2005, because the winner of these elections, Ellen Johnson-Sirleaf, did not officially take office until January 2006. The bottom line is that there are a few observations in our data set of democratic elections where regime indicates that the country was a dictatorship by the end of the year.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1885  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.12 Multi-Tier Type (gol mt)

This is a dichotomous variable that indicates whether different electoral tiers are linked (1) or not (0). Electoral tiers are linked if the unused votes from one electoral tier are used to allocate seats in another electoral tier, or if the allocation of seats in one electoral tier is conditional on the seats received in a different electoral tier.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1879  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.13 Number of Seats (gol nos)

This indicates the total number of seats in the lower house of the national legislature.



#### 4.37.14 PR Type (gol pr)

This is a categorical variable that indicates the precise electoral formula used in an electoral tier.

- 1. Single-Member-District-Plurality (SMDP)
- 2. Two Round Majority-Plurality
- 3. Two Round Qualified Majority
- 4. Two Round Majority Runoff
- 5. Alternative Vote (AV)
- 6. Borda Count (BC)
- 7. Modified Borda Count (mBC)
- 8. Block Vote (BV)
- 9. Party Block Vote (PBV)
- 10. Limited Vote (LV)

- 11. Single Nontransferable Vote (SNTV)
- 12. Hare quota
- 13. Hare quota with largest remainders
- 14. Hare quota with highest average remainders
- 15. Hagenbach-Bischoff quota
- 16. Hagenbach-Bischoff quota with largest remainders
- 17. Hagenbach-Bischoff quota with highest average remainders
- 18. Droop quota
- 19. Droop quota with largest remainders
- 20. Droop quota with highest average remainders
- 21. Imperiali quota
- 22. Imperiali quota with largest remainders
- 23. Imperiali quota with highest average remainders
- 24. Reinforced Imperiali quota
- 25. D'Hondt
- 26. Sainte-Laguë
- 27. Modified Sainte-Laguë
- 28. Single Transferable Vote.

Note: a detailed description of the difference between types you can find in the original codebook.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1872  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.37.15 Presidential Election (gol\_preel)

This is a dichotomous variable that takes on the value 1 if the election is presidential and 0 if the election is legislative.



4.37.16 Upper Seats (gol upseat)

Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1885  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 52

This indicates the number of legislative seats allocated in electoral districts above the lowest electoral tier.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34 n: 1695  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 50

#### 4.37.17 Upper Tier (gol uptier)

This indicates the percentage of all legislative seats allocated in electoral districts above the lowest electoral tier.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.38 Institute for Economics & Peace

http://visionofhumanity.org/indexes/global-peace-index/ (Institute for Economics and Peace, 2020a) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-04)

#### **Global Peace Index**

The Global Peace Index (GPI), which ranks 163 independent states and territories according to their level of peacefulness. Produced by the Institute for Economics and Peace (IEP), the GPI is the world's leading measure of global peacefulness. The complete version of the GPI covers 99.7 per cent of the world's population, using 23 qualitative and quantitative indicators from highly respected sources, and measures the state of peace using three thematic domains: the level of Societal Safety and Security; the extent of Ongoing Domestic and International Conflict; and the degree of Militarisation. Please refer to the original source to see all of the indicators.

#### 4.38.1 Ongoing Conflict (1-5 Higher intensity of conflict) (gpi conf)

Ongoing Domestic and International Conflict (1 to 5, 5 refers to higher intensity of conflict) is one of the three subdomains of the GPI. It, investigates the extent to which countries are involved in internal and external conflicts, as well as their role and duration of involvement in conflicts.



#### 4.38.2 Displaced people (1-5 Higher displacement) (gpi dic)

Refugees by territory of origin (starting in 2010 this indicator also includes the number of internally displaced people by country) as percentage of the country's total population. Sclaed 1 to 5, 5 being a higher percentage of internal displacement. Source: UNHCR Statistical Yearbook and Internal Displacement Monitoring Center.



#### 4.38.3 Global Peace Index (1-5 Less peaceful) (gpi gpi)

The GPI (Scaled 1 to 5, 5 being least peaceful) measures a country's level of Negative Peace using three domains of peacefulness. The first domain, Ongoing Domestic and International Conflict, investigates the extent to which countries are involved in internal and external conflicts, as well as their role and duration of involvement in conflicts.

The second domain evaluates the level of harmony or discord within a nation; ten indicators broadly assess what might be described as Societal Safety and Security. The assertion is that low crime rates, minimal terrorist activity and violent demonstrations, harmonious relations with neighbouring countries, a stable political scene and a small proportion of the population being internally displaced or made refugees can be equated with peacefulness.

Seven further indicators are related to a country's Militarisation-reflecting the link between a country's level of military build-up and access to weapons and its level of peacefulness, both domestically and internationally. Comparable data on military expenditure as a percentage of GDP and the number of armed service officers per head are gauged, as are financial contributions to UN peacekeeping missions.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year: 2007 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 455  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.38.4 Incarceration (1-5 Higher incarceration) (gpi jail)

Prison population rates per 100,000 of the national population. Sclaed 1 to 5, 5 having a higher incarceration rate. Source: International Centre for Prison Studies, King's College London, World Prison Population List.



#### 4.38.5 Militarisation (1-5 Higher militarisation) (gpi mil)

Militarisation (Scaled 1 to 5, 5 being more militarised) is one of the three subdomains of the GPI. It reflects the link between a country's level of military build-up and access to weapons and its level of peacefulness, both domestically and internationally.



#### 4.38.6 Safety and Security (1-5 Less secure) (gpi ss)

Societal Safety and Security (Scaled 1 to 5, 5 being less secure) is one of the three subdomains of the GPI. Low crime rates, minimal terrorist activity and violent demonstrations, harmonious relations with neighbouring countries, a stable political scene and a small proportion of the population being internally displaced or made refugees can be equated with peacefulness.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 455  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.39 Gerring, Thacker and Moreno

http://www.bu.edu/sthacker/research/articles-and-data/ (Gerring et al., 2005) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-22)

#### **Centripetal Democratic Governance**

Data used in the book A Centripetal Theory of Democratic Governance (Gerring, John and Thacker, Strom C, 2008).

#### 4.39.1 Parliamentarism (gtm parl)

The parliamentary/presidential distinction is conceptualized as a continuum with two dimensions: (a) the degree of separation (independence) between president and parliament (unity = parliamentary, separation = presidential) and, if there is any separation at all, (b) the relative power of the two players (the more power the president possesses, the more presidential is the resulting system). This complex reality is captured with a three-part coding scheme:

- 0. Presidential
- 1. Semi-presidential
- 2. Parliamentary



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2002 N: 36 n: 1489  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 41

#### 4.39.2 Proportional Representation (gtm pr)

The centripetal theory of democratic governance emphasizes the following three features of an electoral system: (a) district magnitude (M), (b) seat allocation rules (majoritarian or proportional), and (c) candidate selection rules. The centripetal ideal type is defined by M>1, proportional seat allocation rules, and party-controlled candidate selection. This is the closed-list-PR electoral system. Other systems are ranked lower in this coding according to their deviation from this ideal type. Thus, the coding for the list-PR variable is as follows:

- 0. Majoritarian or Preferential-vote
- 1. Mixed-member majority or Block vote.
- $2. \ {\rm Closed-list-PR}$

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2002 N: 36 n: 1489 N: 26 T: 41

#### 4.39.3 Unitarism (gtm unit)

Average of Nonfederalism and Nonbicameralism: Nonfederalism is coded as 0 = federal (elective regional legislatures plus conditional recognition of subnational authority), 1 = semifederal (where there are elective legislatures at the regional level but in which constitutional sovereignty is reserved to the national government), or 2 = non-federal. Nonbicameralism is coded as 0 = strong bicameral (upper house has some effective veto power; the two houses are incongruent), 1 = weak bicameral (upper house has some effective veto power, though not necessarily a formal veto; the two houses are congruent), or 2 = unicameral (no upper house or weak upper house).



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2002 N: 36 n: 1489  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 41

#### 4.40 Witold Henisz

https://mgmt.wharton.upenn.edu/profile/1327 (Henisz, 2017) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-05)

#### Political Constraint Index (POLCON) Dataset

The measure of political constraints employed estimates the feasibility of policy change (the extent to which a change in the preferences of any one actor may lead to a change in government policy) using the following methodology. First, extracting data from political science databases, it identifies the number of independent branches of government (executive, lower and upper legislative chambers) with veto power over policy change. The preferences of each of these branches and the status quo policy are then assumed to be independently and identically drawn from a uniform, unidimensional policy space. This assumption allows for the derivation of a quantitative measure of institutional hazards using a simple spatial model of political interaction.

#### 4.40.1 Alignment Executive/Legislative Chamber (lower) (h align11)

Dummy variable indicating alignment between the executive and the lower legislative chamber, coded 1 when the party controlling the executive branch is either the largest party in the lower legislative chamber or is a member of a ruling coalition in that chamber.



N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 2032  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 56

#### 4.40.2 Independent Sub-Federal Unit (h f)

Dummy variable coded 1 if there are independent sub-federal units (states, provinces, regions etc.) that impose substantive constraints on national fiscal policy.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1825  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.40.3 Independent Judiciary (h j)

Dummy variable coded 1 if there is an independent judiciary (based on information from Polity's Executive Constraints,  $p_x$ const) and - where available - on ICRG's index of Law & Order.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1825  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.40.4 Legislative Chamber (h l1)

Dummy variable coded 1 if there is an effective legislative chamber (based on information from Polity's Executive Constraints,  $p_x$ const).



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 2201  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 61

#### 4.40.5 2nd Legislative Chamber (h l2)

Dummy variable coded 1 if there is an effective second legislative chamber, namely, where  $h_{11=1}$  and records on the composition of a second chamber exist - where that chamber is elected under a distinct electoral system and has a substantive (not merely delaying) role in the implementation of fiscal policy.



#### 4.40.6 Legislative Fractionalization (lower) (h lflo)

Legislative fractionalization is approximately the probability that two random draws from the lower legislative chamber will be from different parties.



#### 4.40.7 Political Constraints Index III (h polcon3)

This index measures the feasibility of policy change, i.e. the extent to which a change in the preferences of any one political actor may lead to a change in government policy. The index is composed from the following information: the number of independent branches of government with veto power over policy change, counting the executive and the presence of an effective lower and upper house in the legislature (more branches leading to more constraint); the extent of party alignment across branches of government, measured as the extent to which the same party or coalition of parties control each branch (decreasing the level of constraint); and the extent of preference heterogeneity within each legislative branch, measured as legislative fractionalization in the relevant house (increasing constraint for aligned executives, decreasing it for opposed executives). The index scores are derived from a simple spatial model and theoretically ranges from 0 to 1, with higher scores indicating more political constraint and thus less feasibility of policy change. Note that the coding reflects information as of January 1 in any given year. Henisz (2002) uses this index to demonstrate that political environments that limit the feasibility of policy change are an important determinant of investment in infrastructure.



#### 4.40.8 Political Constraints Index V (h polcon5)

This index follows the same logic as Political Constraints Index III (h\_polcon3) but also includes two additional veto points: the judiciary and sub-federal entities. Note that the coding reflects information as of January 1 in any given year. Henisz (2000) uses this index to measure the impact on cross-national growth rates of a government's ability to provide credible commitment.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1825 N: 32 T: 51

#### 4.41 Heritage Foundation

http://www.heritage.org/index/explore (Miller et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-27)

#### **Index of Economic Freedom**

The Index of Economic Freedom covers 10 freedoms - from property rights to entrepreneurship - in 186 countries.

Note: For the 2015, most data covers the second half of 2013 through the first half of 2014. To the extent possible, the information considered for each factor was current as of June 30, 2014. It is important to understand that some factors are based on historical information. For example, the monetary policy factor is a 3-year weighted average rate of inflation from January 1, 2011, to December 31, 2013.

#### 4.41.1 Business Freedom (hf business)

The business freedom score encompasses 10 components, all weighted equally, based on objective data from the World Bank's Doing Business study (in 2005-2006; previously other data sources were being used):

- Starting a business procedures (number)
- Starting a business time (days)
- Starting a business cost (% of income per capita)
- Starting a business minimum capital (% of income per capita)
- Obtaining a license procedures (number)
- Obtaining a license time (days)
- Obtaining a license cost (% of income per capita)
- Closing a business time (years)
- Closing a business cost (% of estate)
- Closing a business recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

Each of these raw components is converted into a scale graded from 0 to 100, where 100 represents the maximum degree of business freedom.



#### 4.41.2 Economic Freedom Index (hf efiscore)

The Economic Freedom index uses 10 specific freedoms, some as composites of even further detailed and quantifiable components:

- Business freedom (hf\_business)
- Trade freedom (hf\_trade)
- Fiscal freedom (hf\_fiscal)
- Freedom from government (hf\_govt)
- Monetary freedom (hf\_monetary)
- Investment freedom (hf invest)
- Financial freedom (hf financ)
- Property rights (hf prights)
- Freedom from corruption (hf corrupt)
- Labor freedom (hf labor).

Each of these freedoms is weighted equally and turned into an index ranging from 0 to 100, where 100 represents the maximum economic freedom. Although changes in methodology have been undertaken throughout the measurement period, continuous backtracking has been used to maximize comparability over time.





Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.41.3 Financial Freedom (hf financ)

The financial freedom factor measures the relative openness of each country's banking and financial system by determining: the extent of government regulation of financial services; the extent of state intervention in banks and other financial services; the difficulty of opening and operating financial services firms (for both domestic and foreign individuals); and government influence on the allocation of credit. The country's financial climate is measured as an overall score between 0 and 100, where 100 represent the maximum degree of financial freedom.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.41.4 Fiscal Health (hf fishealth)

The score for the fiscal health component is based on two sub-factors, which are weighted as follows in calculating the overall component score:

- Average deficits as a percentage of GDP for the most recent three years (80 percent of score)

- Debt as a percentage of GDP (20 percent of score)

In most cases, the Index uses general government deficit and debt data that include all levels of government such as federal, state, and local. In cases where such general government data are not available, data on central government expenditures are used instead. For a number of countries, particularly developing countries, statistics related to budget balance as a percentage of GDP are subject to frequent revisions by data sources such as the IMF.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.41.5 Government Integrity (hf\_govint)

Scale from 0 to 100, where 100 indicates very little corruption.

Corruption erodes economic freedom by introducing insecurity and uncertainty into economic relationships. The score for this component is derived primarily from Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) for 2011, which measures the level of corruption in 183 countries.



N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.41.6 Freedom from Government (hf govt)

Scoring of the freedom from government factor is based on two components: Government expenditure as a percentage of GDP, Revenues generated by state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and property as a percentage of total government revenue. Government expenditure as a percentage of GDP is weighted as two-thirds of the freedom from government factor score, and revenue from SOEs is weighted as one-third. In cases where SOE data does not exist, the data is excluded from the factor score. The country's freedom from government ranges between 0 and 100, where 100 represents the maximum degree of freedom from government.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.41.7 Investment Freedom (hf invest)

This factor scrutinizes each country's policies toward foreign investment, as well as its policies toward capital flows internally, in order to determine its overall investment climate. The country's investment freedom ranges between 0 and 100, where 100 represent the maximum degree of investment freedom.





Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.41.8 Judicial Effectiveness (hf judeffect)

The score for the judicial effectiveness component is derived by averaging scores for the following three sub-factors, all of which are weighted equally:

- Judicial independence
- Quality of the judicial process
- Favoritism in obtaining judicial decisions.

Each of these sub-factors is derived from numerical data sets that are normalized for comparative purposes. For a few countries, comparable data were not available for every sub-factor. In each of these cases, a score was computed for the missing sub-factor based on the country's relative percentile ranking on the other sub-factors.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.41.9 Labor Freedom (hf labor)

The new labor freedom factor is a quantitative factor based on objective data from the World Bank's Doing Business study. It provides reliable cross-country data on regulations concerning minimum wages, laws inhibiting layoffs, severance requirements, and measurable regulatory burdens on hiring, hours, and so on. Specifically, four quantitative components are equally weighted as 25 percent of the labor freedom factor: Minimum wage, Rigidity of hours, Difficulty of firing redundant employees. The country's labor freedom score ranges from 0 to 100, where 100 represent the maximum degree of labor freedom.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:2005 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 576  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 16

#### 4.41.10 Monetary Freedom (hf monetary)

The score for the monetary freedom factor is based on two components: The weighted average inflation rate for the three most recent years, Price controls. The weighted average inflation (WAI) rate for the three most recent years serves as the primary input into an equation that generates the base score for monetary freedom (MF). The extent of price controls is then assessed as a penalty of up to 20 percent subtracted from the base score. The country's monetary freedom ranges between 0 and 100, where 100 represents the maximum degree of monetary freedom.



#### 4.41.11 Property Rights (hf prights)

This factor scores the degree to which a country's laws protect private property rights and the degree to which its government enforces those laws. It also accounts for the possibility that private property will be expropriated. In addition, it analyzes the independence of the judiciary, the existence of corruption within the judiciary, and the ability of individuals and businesses to enforce contracts. The less certain the legal protection of property is and the greater the chances of government expropriation of property are, the higher a country's score is. The country's property rights score ranges from 0 and 100, where 100 represents the maximum degree of protection of property rights.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.41.12 Tax Burden (hf taxbur)

Tax burden is a composite measure that reflects marginal tax rates on both personal and corporate income and the overall level of taxation (including direct and indirect taxes imposed by all levels of government) as a percentage of gross domestic product (GDP).

With an equal weighting system, it allows a country to achieve a score as high as 67 percent based on two of the components even if it receives a score of 0 percent on the third. The country's fiscal freedom ranges between 0 and 100, where 100 represent the maximum degree of fiscal freedom.



4.41.13 Trade Freedom (hf trade)

Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

### The trade freedom score is based on two inputs: The trade-weighted average tariff rate, Non-tariff barriers (NTBs). Weighted average tariffs is a purely quantitative measure and accounts for the

basic calculation of the score. The presence of NTBs in a country affects its trade freedom score by incurring a penalty of up to 20 percentage points, or one-fifth of the maximum score. The country's trade freedom ranges between 0 and 100, where 100 represents the maximum degree of trade freedom.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 923  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.42 Wahman, Teorell and Hadenius

https://sites.google.com/site/authoritarianregimedataset/data (Wahman et al., 2013) (Hadenius & Teorell, 2007) (Teorell & Wahman, 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-07)

#### The Authoritarian Regime Dataset

The Authoritarian Regimes Dataset version 6.0 covers the time period 1972-2014 and includes all 192 nations recognized as members of the UN except the four micro states of Europe (Andorra, Liechtenstein, Monaco and San Marino) and two micro states in the Pacific that are not members of the World Bank (Nauru and Tuvalu).

#### 4.42.1 Colonial Origin (ht colonial)

This is a tenfold classification of the former colonial ruler of the country. Following Bernard et al. (2004), we have excluded the British settler colonies (the US, Canada, Australia, Israel and New Zealand), and exclusively focused on "Western overseas" colonialism. This implies that only Western colonizers (e.g. excluding Japanese colonialism), and only countries located in the non-Western hemisphere "overseas" (e.g. excluding Ireland & Malta), have been coded. Each country that has been colonized since 1700 is coded. In cases of several colonial powers, the last one is counted, if it lasted for 10 years or longer. The categories are the following:

- 0. Never colonized by a Western overseas colonial power
- 1. Dutch
- 2. Spanish
- 3. Italian
- 4. US
- 5. British
- 6. French
- 7. Portuguese
- 8. Belgian
- 9. British-French
- 10. Australian



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 2346  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 65

#### 4.42.2 Size of Largest Party in Legislature (in Fractions) (ht partsz)

Counts the largest parties' number of seats divided by the legislative assemblies' total number of seats expressed in fractions. In countries with a two-chamber parliament the lower house is counted.





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.42.3 The Region of the Country (ht\_region)

This is a tenfold politico-geographic classification of world regions, based on a mixture of two considerations: geographical proximity (with the partial exception of category 5 below) and demarcation by area specialists having contributed to a regional understanding of democratization. The categories are as follow:

- 1. Eastern Europe and post Soviet Union (including Central Asia)
- 2. Latin America (including Cuba, Haiti & the Dominican Republic)
- 3. North Africa & the Middle East (including Israel, Turkey & Cyprus)
- 4. Sub-Saharan Africa
- 5. Western Europe and North America (including Australia & New Zealand)
- 6. East Asia (including Japan & Mongolia)
- 7. South-East Asia
- 8. South Asia
- 9. The Pacific (excluding Australia & New Zealand)

10. The Caribbean (including Belize, Guyana & Suriname, but excluding Cuba, Haiti & the Dominican Republic)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 2346  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 65

#### 4.42.4 Regime Type (ht regtype)

This typology of authoritarian regimes is based on a distinction between three modes of political power maintenance (probably the three most widely used throughout history): hereditary succession (lineage), corresponding to monarchies; the actual or threatened use of military force, corresponding to military regimes; and popular elections, designating electoral regimes. Among the latter we distinguish among no-party regimes (where all parties are prohibited), one-party regimes (where all but one party is prohibited), and limited multiparty regimes (where multiple parties are allowed but the system still does not pass as democratic); a subtype of these regimes where no parties are present, although not being prohibited, are coded as "partyless" regimes. A subtype of military regimes are coded "rebel regimes", where a rebel movement has taken power by military means. We also code hybrids (or amalgams) combining elements from more than one regime type, as well as several minor types of regimes: "theocracies", "transitional" regimes, "civil war", foreign "occupation", and a residual "other" category. Using the mean of the Freedom House and Polity scales (fh ipolity2), the line between democracies and autocracies is drawn at 7.5. This threshold value was chosen by estimating the mean cutoff point separating democracy from autocracy in five well-known categorical measures of democracy: those of Przeworski et al. (2000), Mainwaring et al. (2001), and Reich (2002), together with Freedom House's and Polity's own categorical thresholds for democracy.

- 1. Limited Multiparty
- 2. Partyless
- 3. No-Party
- 4. Military
- 5. Military No-Party
- 6. Military Multiparty
- 7. Military One-party
- 8. One-Party
- 9. Other
- 16. One-Party Monarchy
- 17. Monarchy
- 18. Rebel Regime
- 19. Civil War
- 20. Occupation
- 21. Theocracy
- 22. Transitional Regime
- 23. No-Party Monarchy
- 24. Multiparty Monarchy
- 25. Multiparty-Occupied
- 100. Democracy



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 1408  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 39

#### 4.42.5 Regime Type (simplified) (ht\_regtype1)

A simplified, collapsed version of ht\_regtype, where all monarchical regimes with amalgams [ht\_regtype =16, 17, 23 or 24] are treated as monarchies, all military regimes with sub-types and amalgams [ht\_regtype=4, 5, 6, 7 or 18] are treated as military regimes, and multiparty regimes with sub-types are treated as multiparty regimes [ht\_regtype=1 or 2]. Only pure noparty [ht\_regtype=3] and one-party [ht\_regtype=8] regimes are treated as no-party and one-party regimes, respectively. The minor types [ht\_regtype=9, 19, 20, 21, 22 or 25] are treated as other.

- 1. Monarchy
- 2. Military
- 3. One party
- 4. Multi-party
- 9. No-party
- 99. Other
- 100. Democracy



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 1408  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 39

### 4.43 Institutions and Elections Project

https://havardhegre.net/iaep/ (Wig et al., 2015) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-13)

#### Institutions and Elections Project Data

Institutions and Elections Project Data (version 2.0). The objective of the data from the Institutions and Elections Project (IAEP) is to describe the formal institutions that are in place, even if practice does not comport with those formal rules. The data refers to the situation January 1st each year. Note: According to the documentation of the data many of the cases "have more than one executive; [...] the executive referred to may be any one of the executives established in a country". We urge users to refer to the documentation at the IAEP web site for information about which executive each particular case refers to.

Note: Changes from the original version: The dataset has two types of missing values, logical missing values and actual missing values. In the QoG data, logical missing values were recoded to actual missing values. To access data with logical missing values please use original dataset.

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015).

Find the article at http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/2053168015579120

#### 4.43.1 Appointment of Executive (iaep ae)

Is there an executive appointed either by a PM (that is, an executive who is also a member of the legislature) or a president (an independently selected executive)?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1571  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 46

#### 4.43.2 Appointment of Regional Representatives (iaep arr)

This variable examine the relationship between the central and regional governments, those which are immediately below the central government. We focus exclusively on states or provincial levels of government, municipalities are not coded. In practice, do regions or provinces:

1. Appoint, elect or otherwise choose their own representatives autonomous from decisions by the central government

2. Have their administrators appointed by the central government

3. No regional/provincial governments

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1494  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 44

#### 4.43.3 Banning of Anti-System Parties (iaep\_basp)

Does an anti-system platform determine the banning of parties?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.43.4 Banned Parties (iaep bp)

Are there banned parties?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1551  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1562  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

4.43.5 Some other executive have the power to call elections (iaep\_callo) Does some other executive have the power to call elections?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

#### 4.43.6 Constitutional Court (iaep\_cc)

According to the constitution, does the country have a national constitutional court? In some cases, a council with the powers of a constitutional court may exist, though it may not be part of the formal judiciary. In such cases, this non-judicial council with the powers of a constitutional court is coded as the constitutional court.

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)





 $\mathbf{N}$ : 34  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1559  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

### 4.43.7 The Age of the Constitution (years) (iaep const)

How long has the current constitution existed (years since the constitution was established)?

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}: \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 33 n: 1500  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 45

4.43.8 The Time the Constitution has been in Effect (years) (iaep\_constin) How long has the current constitution been in effect (in years)?

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1570  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 46

Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1572  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 46 4.43.9 The Time since the Last Amendment of Constitution (years) (iaep\_constlam) How many years since the last amendment (in years)?

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

#### Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1484 $\overline{N}$ : 28 $\overline{T}$ : 44

#### 4.43.10 Ethnicity Based Banning of Parties (iaep ebbp)

Does ethnic makeup determine the banning of parties?

- 0. No
- $1. \ {\rm Yes}$

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1551  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

#### 4.43.11 Executive Can Change Domestic Taxes (iaep eccdt)

Can an executive change domestic taxes (excluding import/export tariffs) without legislative approval?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.43.12 Executive Can Dissolve Legislature (iaep\_ecdl)

According to the constitution, can an executive dissolve the legislature?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1517  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.43.13 Executive is Member of Legislature (iaep\_eml)

Is there an executive who is also a member of the legislature (like a prime minister, for example)? We consider membership in the legislature if either an explicit rule exists which requires an executive to maintain a seat in the legislature, or if practice and/or convention determines membership.

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1439  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 42

#### 4.43.14 Executive Nomination of Legislature Candidates (iaep\_enlc)

Does executive nomination establish how the field of candidates who stand for legislative elections is determined?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.43.15 Executive Power over Military Force (iaep\_epmf)

Does an executive have the power to use military force abroad without legislative approval?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

### 4.43.16 Electoral System (iaep es)



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1517  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

What is the type of electoral system for legislative elections?

- 1. Plurality (First past the post)
- 2. Majority
- 3. Proportional representation

4. Mixed systems (combination of PR and either plurality or majority). This option includes situations in which a single chamber contains seats selected by different methods, or situations in which all of the seats in a chamber are chosen with the same method, but each chamber is selected through different methods.

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1516  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.43.17 Executive Veto Power (iaep evp)

Does an executive have constitutional veto power over laws passed by the legislature?

#### 0. No 1. Yes

 $1. \ \mathrm{Yes}$ 

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.43.18 Independence of Selection of Executive (iaep ise)

Is there an executive chosen independently of the legislature (like a president, for example)? If these processes that select the executive is distinct from that which selects the legislature, then we consider the two to be independent. The selection processes, moreover, can involve different - albeit competing or complimentary - forms of selection.

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1551  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

#### 4.43.19 Legislature Approves Budget (iaep\_lap)

Does an executive have to secure legislative approval for the budget?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)





**N**: 34 **n**: 1493  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 44

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.43.20 Legislature Can Remove Executive (iaep lcre)

According to the constitution, can the legislature remove an executive from office?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1548  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

4.43.21 Some other executive have the power to introduce legislation (iaep\_lego) Does some other executive have the power to introduce legislation in the legislature?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)
$\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1572  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 46

#### 4.43.22 Legislature's Ratification of International Treaties (iaep\_lrit)

Does the legislature have the constitutional authority to ratify international treaties negotiated by an executive?

- 0. No authority
- 1. One chamber approval necessary
- 2. Both chambers' approval necessary.

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.43.23 Legislature Veto Power (iaep lvp)

Does the legislature have the constitutional power to stop executive action, in effect a legislative veto?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1521 N: 29 T: 45

Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012

 $\mathbf{N}$ : 34  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1549  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

4.43.24 Some other executive have the power to use force abroad (iaep\_milo) Is the power to use military force vested in some other executive?

0. No

1. Yes

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1572  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 46

#### 4.43.25 National Elections for an Executive (iaep nee)

Does the country hold national elections for an executive? We consider national elections to involve subjecting the executive to some form of popular plebiscite. This electoral process may or may not bear any relationship to the ultimate appointment of the executive. Executive council elections that select an executive are not considered national elections.

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)





**Min. Year**:1960 **Max. Year**: 2012 **N**: 34 **n**: 1572  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 46

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.43.26 National Elections for the Legislature (iaep nel)

Does the country hold national elections for the legislature? We consider national elections to involve subjecting the members of the legislature to some form of popular plebiscite. While seats may be divided into districts, we consider national elections to occur when district-wide elections are organized at the national level.

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Are no parties allowed?

0. No

1. Yes



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1551  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46



 $\mathbf{N}: 34 \mathbf{n}: 1551 \ \overline{N}: 29 \ \overline{T}: 46$ 

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.43.28 National Referendums (iaep\_nr)

Does the country hold national elections on referendum items?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.43.29 Official State Party (iaep osp)

Is there an official state party?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1494  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 44



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1547  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 46

#### 4.43.30 Parties with More than 5 Percent (iaep\_pm5p)

How many parties hold at least 5% of seats in the legislature?

- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. More than two

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1519  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.43.31 Party Nomination of Legislature Candidates (iaep pnlc)

Does party nomination (party list, convention, etc.) establish how the field of candidates who stand for legislative elections is determined?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.43.32 Petition Signatures Establish Legislature Candidates (iaep pselc)

Do petition signatures establish how the field of candidates who stand for legislative elections is determined?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1524  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.43.33 Party Vote Establish Legislature Candidates (iaep pvelc)

Do members of party vote (primary) establish how the field of candidates who stand for legislative elections is determined?

0. No

 $1. \ {\rm Yes}$ 



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1524  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.43.34 Religion Based Banning of Parties (iaep\_rbbp)

Does religious affiliation determine the banning of parties?

0. No 1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.43.35 Self-Nomination of Legislature Candidates (iaep snlc)

Does self-nomination establish how the field of candidates who stand for legislative elections is determined?

0. No

1. Yes

Source: IAEP (Wig et al, 2015)

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1524  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.43.36 Unitary or Federal State (iaep ufs)

This variable examine the relationship between the central and regional governments, those which are immediately below the central government. We focus exclusively on states or provincial levels of government, municipalities are not coded. Is the government structure a:

- 1. Unitary system
- 2. Confederation
- $3. \ {\rm Federal\ system}$

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2012 N: 34 n: 1544  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 45

#### 4.44 International Country Risk Guide - The PRS Group

https://www.prsgroup.com/about-us/our-two-methodologies/icrg (PRS Group et al., 2021) (Data downloaded: 2020-01-27)

#### ICRG Indicator of Quality of Government

ICRG collects political information and financial and economic data, converting these into risk points.

#### 4.44.1 ICRG Indicator of Quality of Government (icrg qog)

The mean value of the ICRG variables "Corruption", "Law and Order" and "Bureaucracy Quality", scaled 0-1. Higher values indicate higher quality of government.

#### Corruption (originally 6 points)

This is an assessment of corruption within the political system. Such corruption is a threat to foreign investment for several reasons: it distorts the economic and financial environment; it reduces the efficiency of government and business by enabling people to assume positions of power through patronage rather than ability; and, last but not least, it introduces an inherent instability into the political process. The most common form of corruption met directly by business is financial corruption in the form of demands for special payments and bribes connected with import and export licenses, exchange controls, tax assessments, police protection, or loans. Such corruption can make it difficult to conduct business effectively, and in some cases may force the withdrawal or withholding of an investment. Although the measure takes such corruption into account, it is more concerned with actual or potential corruption in the form of excessive patronage, nepotism, job reservations, "favorfor-favors", secret party funding, and suspiciously close ties between politics and business. According to ICRG, these insidious sorts of corruption are potentially of much greater risk to foreign business in that they can lead to popular discontent, unrealistic and inefficient controls on the state economy, and encourage the development of the black market. The greatest risk in such corruption is that at some time it will become so overweening, or some major scandal will be suddenly revealed, so as to provoke a popular backlash, resulting in a fall or overthrow of the government, a major reorganizing or restructuring of the country's political institutions, or, at worst, a breakdown in law and order, rendering the country ungovernable.

#### Law and order (originally 6 points)

Law and Order are assessed separately, with each sub-component comprising zero to three points. The Law sub-component is an assessment of the strength and impartiality of the legal system, while the Order sub-component is an assessment of popular observance of the law. Thus, a country can enjoy a high rating in terms of its judicial system, but a low rating if it suffers from a very high crime rate or if the law is routinely ignored without effective sanction (for example, widespread illegal strikes).

#### Bureaucracy Quality (originally 4 points)

The institutional strength and quality of the bureaucracy is another shock absorber that tends to minimize revisions of policy when governments change. Therefore, high points are given to countries where the bureaucracy has the strength and expertise to govern without drastic changes in policy or interruptions in government services. In these low-risk countries, the bureaucracy tends to be somewhat autonomous from political pressure and to have an established mechanism for recruitment and training. Countries that lack the cushioning effect of a strong bureaucracy receive low points because a change in government tends to be traumatic in terms of policy formulation and day-to-day administrative functions.

The component variables can be purchased at http://epub.prsgroup.com/products/icrg



#### 4.45 International Centre for Tax and Development and UNU-WIDER

https://www.wider.unu.edu/project/government-revenue-dataset (ICTD/UNU-WIDER, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-27)

#### ICTD/UNU-WIDER Government Revenue Dataset

The GRD aims to present a complete picture of government revenue and tax trends over time and allows for analysis at the country, regional or cross-country level. Where possible, figures are expressed both inclusive and exclusive of natural resource revenues, which helps to overcome a major obstacle to cross-country comparisons in existing data sources.

#### 4.45.1 Consolidated Non-Tax Revenue (ictd nontax)

Total non-tax revenue, comprising data categorized as either "non-tax revenue" or "other revenue" depending on the underlying source. Includes revenue from both resource and non-resource sources.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1045  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 29

4.45.2 Revenue (excluding social contributions) (ictd revexsc)

Total government revenue, excluding social contributions.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1031  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### 4.45.3 Revenue (including social contributions) (ictd\_revinsc)

Total government revenue including taxes, non-tax revenue, grants and social contributions.





Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1019  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### 4.45.4 Total Resource Revenue (ictd revres)

Total natural resource revenues, including natural resource revenues reported as "tax revenue" or "non-tax revenue". Natural resources are here defined as natural resources that include a significant component of economic rent, primarily from oil and mining activities.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 928  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### 4.45.5 Social Contributions (ictd soccon)

Total social contributions.





Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1294  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.45.6 Taxes on Corporations and Other Enterprises (ictd taxcorp)

Total income and profit taxes on corporations, including taxes on resource firms.





Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1244  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 35

#### 4.45.7 Taxes (excluding social contributions) (ictd taxexsc)

Total tax revenue, excluding social contributions.



#### 4.45.8 Taxes on Goods and Services (ictd taxgs)

Total taxes on goods and services, which includes (but it not necessarily always equal to) sales taxes and excise taxes.





Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1295  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.45.9 Taxes on Income, Profits, and Capital Gains (ictd taxinc)

Total taxes on income, profits and capital gains, including taxes on natural resource firms. This figure is always exclusive of social contributions. The total value of Taxes on Income, Profits and Capital Gains may sometimes exceed the sum of Individuals and Corporations, due to revenues that are unallocated between the two.



**N**: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1295  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.45.10 Taxes on Individuals (ictd taxind)

Total income, capital gains and profit taxes on individuals. This figure is always exclusive of resource revenues in available sources.





Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1246  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 35

#### 4.45.11 Indirect Taxes (ictd taxindirect)

Total indirect taxes, including resource revenues. Includes taxes on goods and services, taxes on international trade and other taxes. Indirect may exceed the sum of Taxes on Goods and Services, Taxes on International Trade and Transactions and Other Taxes due to unallocated revenue not classified in any of these categories.



#### 4.45.12 Taxes (including social contributions) (ictd taxinsc)

Total tax revenue, including social contributions.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1281  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.45.13 Non-resource Tax (excluding social contributions) (ictd taxnresexsc)

Total non-resource tax revenue, excluding social contributions. Calculated as "Taxes excluding social contributions" minus "resource taxes". This is the variable recommended for econometric analysis, as it is most complete and consistent across countries.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1223  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 35

#### 4.45.14 Non-resource Tax (including social contributions) (ictd taxnresinsc)

Total non-resource tax revenue, including social contributions. Calculated as "Taxes including social contributions" minus "resource taxes".



**N**: 33

4.45.15 Other Taxes (ictd taxother)

Total other taxes.



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1200  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 34



#### 4.45.16 Taxes on Payroll and Workforce (ictd taxpaywf)

Total taxes on payroll and workforce. This variable is entirely distinct from social contributions, though in underlying sources social contributions are very occasionally reported as payroll taxes.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1288  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.45.17 Taxes on Property (ictd\_taxprop)

Total taxes on property.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1288  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.45.18 Resource Taxes (ictd taxres)

Component of reported tax revenue that is from natural resource sources, most often corporate taxation of resource firms.





Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 1188  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 35

#### 4.45.19 Taxes on International Trade and Transactions (ictd taxtrade)

Total taxes on international trade, including both import and export taxes. In some cases this figure may also include VAT collected at the border, where countries consistently report revenue in this way.



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1292  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 36

### 4.46 Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance

https://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/electoral-system-design (The International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance, 2020a) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-30)

#### Electoral System Design

The Electoral System Design Database is comprised of various reviews of the electoral legislation of countries from around the world. The database research was sourced from national legal documents from different sources, including the official web portals of governments, regional organizations that work in the area of democracy and electoral processes, and research institutes specialized in the area of elections and politics in general.

#### 4.46.1 Electoral System Family (ideaesd esf)

Electoral System Family

- 1. Proportional Representation
- 2. Plurality/Majority
- 3. Plurality/Majority and Proportional Representation
- 4. Mixed
- 5. Transition
- 6. Other
- 7. Not Applicable



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.46.2 Electoral System for the National Legislature (ideaesd esnl)

Electoral System for National Legislature:

1. List Proportional Representation (List PR)

Under a List Proportional Representation (List PR) system each party or grouping presents a list of candidates for a multi-member electoral district, the voters vote for a party, and parties receive seats in proportion to their overall share of the vote. In some (closed list) systems the winning candidates are taken from the lists in order of their position on the lists. If the lists are 'open' or 'free' the voters can influence the order of the candidates by marking individual preferences.

2. Block Vote (BV)

Block Vote is a plurality/majority system used in multi-member districts. Electors have as many votes as there are candidates to be elected. The candidates with the highest vote totals win the seats. Usually voters vote for candidates rather than parties and in most systems may use as many, or as few, of their votes as they wish.

#### 3. First Past the Post (FPTP)

First Past The Post is the simplest form of plurality/majority electoral system. The winning candidate is the one who gains more votes than any other candidate, even if this is not an absolute majority of valid votes. The system uses single-member districts and the voters vote for candidates rather than political parties.

#### 4. Two-Round System (TRS)

The Two-Round System is a plurality/majority system in which a second election is held if no candidate or party achieves a given level of votes, most commonly an absolute majority (50 per cent plus one), in the first election round. A Two-Round System may take a majority-plurality form-more than two candidates contest the second round and the one wins the highest number of votes in the second round is elected, regardless of whether they have won an absolute majority-or a majority run-off form-only the top two candidates in the first round contest the second round.

#### 5. Mixed Member Proportional (MMP)

Mixed Member Proportional is a mixed system in which the choices expressed by the voters are used to elect representatives through two different systems-one List PR system and (usually) one plurality/majority system-where the List PR system compensates for the disproportionality in the results from the plurality/majority system.

#### 6. Single Transferable Vote (STV)

The Single Transferable Vote is a preferential system in which the voter has one vote in a multi-member district and the candidates that surpass a specified quota of first preference votes are immediately elected. In successive counts, votes are redistributed from least successful candidates, who are eliminated, and votes surplus to the quota are redistributed from successful candidates, until sufficient candidates are declared elected. Voters normally vote for candidates rather than political parties, although a party-list option is possible.

#### 7. Alternative Vote (AV)

The Alternative Vote is a preferential plurality/majority system used in single-member districts. Voters use numbers to mark their preferences on the ballot paper. A candidate who receives an absolute majority (50 per cent plus 1) of valid first preference votes is declared elected. If no candidate achieves an absolute majority of first preferences, the least successful candidates are eliminated and their votes reallocated according to their second preferences until one candidate has an absolute majority. Voters vote for candidates rather than political parties.

#### 8. Single Non-Transferable Vote (SNTV)

Under the Single Non-Transferable Vote system voters cast a single vote in a multi-member district. The candidates with the highest vote totals are declared elected. Voters vote for candidates rather than political parties.

#### 9. Two-Round System, Party Block Vote (TRS PBV)

Party Block Vote (PBV) is a plurality/majority system using multi-member districts in which voters cast a single party-centered vote for a party of choice, and do not choose between candidates. The party with most votes will win every seat in the electoral district.

#### 10. Limited Vote (LV)

Limited Vote is a candidate-centred electoral system used in multi-member districts in which electors have more than one vote, but fewer votes than there are candidates to be elected. The candidates with the highest vote totals win the seats.

11. First Past The Post, Party Block Vote (FPTP PBV)

12. First Past the Post, List Proportional Representation (FPTP List PR)

13. First Past the Post, Block Vote (FPTP BV)

14. First Past the Post, Party Block Vote, List Proportional Representation (FPTP PBV List PR)

#### 15. Parallel

A Parallel System is a mixed system in which the choices expressed by the voters are used to elect representatives through two different systems-one List PR system and (usually) one plurality/majority system-but where no account is taken of the seats allocated under the first system in calculating the results in the second system.

16. In transition

#### 17. Modified Borda Count (Modified BC)

Borda Count (BC) - A candidate-centred preferential system used in either single- or multimember districts in which voters use numbers to mark their preferences on the ballot paper and each preference marked is then assigned a value using equal steps. These are summed and the candidate(s) with the highest total(s) is/are declared elected.

18. Two-Round System, Party Block Vote, List Proportional Representation (TRS PBV List PR)

19. No direct elections.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.46.3 Electoral System for the President (ideaesd esp)

Electoral System for the President:

#### 1. Two-Round System (TRS)

The Two-Round System is a plurality/majority system in which a second election is held if no candidate or party achieves a given level of votes, most commonly an absolute majority (50 per cent plus one), in the first election round. A Two-Round System may take a majority-plurality form-more than two candidates contest the second round and the one wins the highest number of votes in the second round is elected, regardless of whether they have won an absolute majority-or a majority run-off form-only the top two candidates in the first round contest the second round.

#### 2. First Past the Post (FPTP)

First Past The Post is the simplest form of plurality/majority electoral system. The winning candidate is the one who gains more votes than any other candidate, even if this is not an absolute majority of valid votes. The system uses single-member districts and the voters vote for candidates rather than political parties.

#### 3. Supplementary Vote (SV)

Supplementary vote: Voters can rank up to three candidates, and if no candidate wins a majority in the first round of voting, second and third preferences from ballots whose first preference candidate has been eliminated are used to determine the winner.

#### 4. Single Transferable Vote (STV)

The Single Transferable Vote is a preferential system in which the voter has one vote in a multi-member district and the candidates that surpass a specified quota of first preference votes are immediately

elected. In successive counts, votes are redistributed from least successful candidates, who are eliminated, and votes surplus to the quota are redistributed from successful candidates, until sufficient candidates are declared elected. Voters normally vote for candidates rather than political parties, although a party-list option is possible.

- 5. In Transition
- 6. Other
- 7. Not applicable



N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.46.4 Legislative Size (Directly Elected) (ideaesd lsde)

Legislative size, directly elected. Total number of directly elected representatives, excluding those appointed or indirectly elected.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.46.5 Legislative Size (Voting Members) (ideaesd lsvm)

Legislative size, voting members. Total number of directly elected representatives, including those appointed or indirectly elected.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.46.6 Number of Tiers (ideaesd tiers)

Number of tiers. The tiers of an electoral system can be understood as the sets of representatives that are elected to the same chamber by the entire electorate of a country. 99 indicates a hybrid system, where one part of the country elects representatives using one electoral system, while another distinct part of the country elects representatives using a different system.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.47 Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance

https://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/voter-turnout (The International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance, 2020b) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-27)

#### Voter Turnout Database

The Voter Turnout Database is the best resource for a wide array of statistics on voter turnout from around the world. It contains the most comprehensive global collection of voter turnout statistics from presidential and parliamentary elections since 1945. Always growing, the database also includes European Parliament elections, as presented by country using both the number of registered voters and voting age population as indicators, and in some cases the data includes statistics on spoilt ballot rate.

#### 4.47.1 Parliamentary Election: Compulsory Voting (ideavt\_legcv)

Parliamentary Election: Compulsory Voting



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 648  $\overline{N}$ : 9  $\overline{T}$ : 18

#### 4.47.2 Parliamentary Election: Voter Turnout (ideavt legvt)

Parliamentary Election: Voter Turnout





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 636  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 18

#### 4.48 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation

http://www.healthdata.org/gbd (Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-06)

#### Global Burden of Disease Study 2019

IHME provides rigorous and comparable measurement of the world's most important health problems and evaluates the strategies used to address them.

#### 4.48.1 Healthy Life Years, Female, Age 1-4 years (ihme hle 0104f)

Healthy Life Years, Female, Age 1-4 years. HALE is often referred to as healthy life expectancy. Unlike life expectancy, HALE takes into account mortality and nonfatal outcomes. HALE does this by summarizing years lived in less than ideal health (YLDs) and years lost due to premature mortality (YLLs) in a single measure of average population health for individual countries.



N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.48.2 Healthy Life Years, Male, Age 1-4 years (ihme hle 0104m)

Healthy Life Years, Male, Age 1-4 years. HALE is often referred to as healthy life expectancy. Unlike life expectancy, HALE takes into account mortality and nonfatal outcomes. HALE does this by summarizing years lived in less than ideal health (YLDs) and years lost due to premature mortality (YLLs) in a single measure of average population health for individual countries.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.48.3 Healthy Life Years, Both sexes, Age 1-4 years (ihme hle 0104t)

Healthy Life Years, Both sexes, Age 1-4 years. HALE is often referred to as healthy life expectancy. Unlike life expectancy, HALE takes into account mortality and nonfatal outcomes. HALE does this by summarizing years lived in less than ideal health (YLDs) and years lost due to premature mortality (YLLs) in a single measure of average population health for individual countries.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.48.4 Life Expectancy, Female, Age 1-4 years (ihme lifexp 0104f)

Life Expectancy, Female, Age 1-4 years. Life expectancy is the number of years a person can expect to live at any given age.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.48.5 Life Expectancy, Male, Age 1-4 years (ihme lifexp 0104m)

Life Expectancy, Male, Age 1-4 years. Life expectancy is the number of years a person can expect to live at any given age.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.48.6 Life Expectancy, Both sexes, Age 1-4 years (ihme lifexp 0104t)

Life Expectancy, Both sexes, Age 1-4 years. Life expectancy is the number of years a person can expect to live at any given age.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.49 European Research Centre for Anti-Corruption and State-Building

http://integrity-index.org/ (Mungiu-Pippidi et al., 2019) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-09)

#### Index of Public Integrity

This dataset contains data used in the construction of the Index of Public Integrity (IPI). The overall IPI score is the arithmetic average of the following six components scores: Judicial Independence, Administrative Burden, Trade Openness, Budget Transparency, E-Citizenship, and Freedom of the Press.

Several indices currently show that corruption remains a key issue not only in developing countries but also in many modern societies. How to control it better has thus become a major question of international development. Yet, the common corruption indices tell us mainly about how citizens and experts perceive the state of corruption in their society. They do not tell us anything about the causes of corruption nor about how the situation could be improved. The Index of Public Integrity ipi-toolbar takes a different approach. It assesses a society's capacity to control corruption and ensure that public resources are spent without corrupt practices. It is based on years of research and the evaluation of the efforts of different societies to make advances in the control of corruption.

Evidence from comparisons across countries shows that establishing effective control of corruption requires much more than the mere adoption of specific tools and strict legal regulations. It relies on a balance between a state calibrated to reduce the possibility of the abuse of influence and a society's capacity to hold its government accountable. The IPI highlights the most important dimensions of that mechanism. It correlates with the World Bank's and Transparency International's measures of control of corruption, but in contrast to them it is more objective and transparent.

#### 4.49.1 Administrative Burden (index) (ipi ab)

Administrative Burden measures the extent of domestic bureaucratic regulation. An excessive administrative burden and too many regulations open doors for discretion and red tape, thereby resulting in a high risk of corruption. Consists of the simple mean of standardized values of: number of procedures required to start up a business; time needed to start up a business; number of tax payments per year; time to pay taxes. The indicators are taken from the World Bank Doing Business Data 2016. This mean value has been transformed to be in range between 1 and 10 with 10 implying the lowest administrative burden.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.49.2 E-Citizenship (index) (ipi e)

E-Citizenship captures the ability of citizens to use online tools and social media and thus exercise social accountability. Internet media in general and social networks in particular are indispensable components of citizen empowerment. Simple mean of standardized values of the: Fixed broadband subscriptions (% population); Internet users (% population); Facebook users (% population). The first two variables were taken from International Telecommunication Union's ICT Dataset 2015, the latter from the Internet World Stats 2015. The value has been transformed to be in range between 1 and 10 with 10 implying the highest score for E-Citizenship.



### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### 4.49.3 Index of Public Integrity (overall) (ipi ipi)

The overall IPI score is the arithmetic average of the following six components scores: Judicial Independence, Administrative Burden, Trade Openness, Budget Transparency, E-Citizenship, Freedom of the Press.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.49.4 Trade Openness (index) (ipi\_tradeopen)

Trade Openness measures the extent of regulation concerning a country's external economic activity. Open countries can control corruption better by removing room for discretion at the level of administrative trade barriers and thus allowing free competition. Made up from the simple mean of standardized values of: average number of documents required to export and import; time for exporting and importing. The indicators stem from the World Bank Doing Business Data 2015. Their value has been transformed to be in range between 1 and 10 with 10 implying the highest trade openness.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.50 Inter-Parliamentary Union

http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/world-arc.htm (Inter-Parliamentary Union, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-26)

#### Inter-Parliamentary Union Data

The data has been compiled by the Inter-Parliamentary Union on the basis of information provided by National Parliaments. Comparative data on the world and regional averages as well as data concerning the two regional parliamentary assemblies elected by direct suffrage can be found on separate pages.

Note: The figures for South Africa on the distribution of seats in the Upper House do not include the 36 special rotating delegates appointed on an ad hoc basis, and all percentages given are therefore calculated on the basis of the 54 permanent seats. Included in the QoG Dataset are the data for January each year.

#### 4.50.1 Number of Seats (Lower and Single Houses) (ipu l s)

Number of Seats (Lower and Single Houses).



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 864  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.50.2 Share of Women (Lower and Single Houses) (ipu\_l\_sw)

Share of Women (Lower and Single Houses).





Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 863  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

4.50.3 Number of Women (Lower and Single Houses) (ipu l w)

Number of Women (Lower and Single Houses).





Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 863  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.51 Johnson and Wallack

https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=hdl:1902.1/17901 (Johnson & Wallack, 2012) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-03)

#### **Electoral Systems and the Personal Vote**

This database updates and expands the coding of electoral systems presented in Gaviria et al's (2003) Database of Particularism. Data now cover up to 180 countries from 1978-2005 and distinguish electoral systems by the degree to which electoral institutions create incentives for candidates to cultivate a personal vote - as described theoretically in Carey and Shugart (1995) and Gaviria et al. (2003) - including the amount of vote pooling among co-partisan candidates, the amount of parties' control over ballot access, and whether voters cast their votes for candidates or parties. The database also contains several variables that rank-order electoral systems by tier, distinguish mixed-member and other multi-tier electoral systems, capture district magnitude (in two ways), and record election years. Database created 2007. Database last updated 2010.

#### 4.51.1 Party Control over Ballot (lower/only house) (jw avgballot)

Country-level weighted averages of Party Control over Ballot - SMD (lower/only house) (jw\_smdballot) and Party Control over Ballot - MMD (lower/only house) (jw\_mmdballot), where the weights are the percentage of members that originate from each tier. This variable thus reflects the value of ballots for the average member sitting in the lower house. The ballot variables focus on the amount of party control over candidates' access to a competitive position on the ballot. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where parties control access to ballots as well as the order in which individuals will fill the seats that the party wins (closed list multi-member districts, open list multi-member districts with little or no de facto change in list order); (1) where parties control access to the ballot, but not the order in which candidates will receive seats (open lists where intra-party preference votes seem to have a significant influence on which candidates are selected, and single-member districts where parties control access to the list); (2) where there are few

or no impediments to individual candidates' ability to appear on the ballot (single-member districts where parties do not control access, e.g. allowing independent candidates and/or use primaries to select candidates).





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



#### 4.51.2 Sharing of Votes among Candidates (lower/only house) (jw avgpool)

Country-level weighted averages of Sharing of Votes among Candidates - SMD (lower/only house) (jw\_smdpool) and Sharing of Votes among Candidates - MMD (lower/only house) (jw\_mmdpool), where the weights are the percentage of members that originate from each tier. This variable thus reflects the value of the pooling of votes for the average member sitting in the lower house. The Pool variables measure the extent to which votes among candidates from the same party are shared. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where pooling of votes occurs across some, but not all, candidates in a party in a district, or, where there is vote pooling across all candidates in a party in a party in a district accounts for 5% or less of a legislature's membership; (2) where no pooling of votes occurs across candidates in a party (including single-member districts).





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.51.3 Candidate or Party-specific Voting (lower/only house) (jw avgvote)

Country-level weighted averages of Candidate- or Party-specific Voting - SMD (lower/only house) (jw\_smdvote) and Candidate- or Party-specific Voting - MMD (lower/only house) (jw\_mmdvote), where the weights are the percentage of members that originate from each tier. This variable thus reflects the value of votes for the average member sitting in the lower house. The Vote variables focus attention on the distinction between casting votes for either parties or individual candidates. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where voters have only one vote for a party; (1) where voters can vote for a party or a candidate (as in open lists), where voters have multiple votes for multiple candidates (as in runoff or single-transferable vote systems), or where voters for a party or candidate are observationally equivalent (as in single-member districts); (2) where voters have one vote for an individual candidate.





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A N: 35 n: 85



#### Bicameral System (jw bicameral) 4.51.4

Equals 1 whenever a country has a bicameral legislature.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1978 Max. Year: 2005  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 886  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### Dominant or Populous Tier (jw domr) 4.51.5

This variable ranks countries in increasing order of incentives to cultivate a personal vote according to their most dominant or populous tier (or tier with the greater number of legislators). The variable varies from 1 to 13, corresponding to the thirteen positions in Carey & Shugart's (1995) ranking. For example, a country with a ranking of 1 would have a tier with the lowest possible rank of personal vote incentives, and that tier would account for the majority of the members in the assembly.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

4.51.6Year of Election (lower/only house) (jw election)

Dummy variable, 1 if year of election to lower house.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 847  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### Ballot Access for Independent Candidates (lower/only house) (jw indy) 4.51.7

Equals 1 wherever independent candidates are legally allowed (even where the legal requirements are strict), and 0 otherwise. This complements the cases where the ballot variables above equal 1 or 2, since they are adjusted to capture de facto practice. jw indy instead captures the de jure rules. A user could adjust the ballot variables above to be de jure if (s)he replaced values of 2 with values of 1 when jw indy = 0. Refers to lower house elections. The ballot variables focus on the amount of party control over candidates' access to a competitive position on the ballot. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where parties control access to ballots as well as the order in which individuals will fill the seats that the party wins (closed list multi-member districts, open list multi-member districts with little or no de facto change in list order); (1) where parties control access to the ballot, but not the order in which candidates will receive seats (open lists where intra-party preference votes seem to have a significant influence on which candidates are selected, and single-member districts where parties control access to the list); (2) where there are few or no impediments to individual candidates' ability to appear on the ballot (single-member districts where

parties do not control access, e.g. allowing independent candidates and/or use primaries to select candidates).



#### 4.51.8 Number of Coded Legislators (lower/only house) (jw legsize)

The number of legislators coded in the dataset. These may not account for the total number of legislators if there are appointed legislators that have no electoral rules to code.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.51.9 Average District Magnitude (lower/only house) (jw mdist)

This is the standard magnitude of the average district in the lower house. For example: A country with 300 seats divided among one national district with 200 members and 100 single-member districts would have an average district magnitude (jw\_mdist) of 2.97 (i.e., 300/101).



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.51.10 Party Control over Ballot - MMD (lower/only house) (jw mmdballot)

Ballot (coded as above) for multi-member district tiers in elections to the lower house. The ballot variables focus on the amount of party control over candidates' access to a competitive position on the ballot. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where parties control access to ballots as well as the order in which individuals will fill the seats that the party wins (closed list multi-member districts, open list multi-member districts with little or no de facto change in list order); (1) where parties control access to the ballot, but not the order in which candidates will receive seats (open lists where intra-party preference votes seem to have a significant influence on which candidates are selected, and single-member districts where parties control access to the list); (2) where there are few or no impediments to individual candidates' ability to appear on the ballot (single-member districts where parties do not control access, e.g. allowing independent candidates and/or use primaries to select candidates).

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 30 n: 673  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.51.11 Sharing of Votes among Candidates - MMD (lower/only house) (jw mmdpool)

Pool for multi-member district tiers in elections to the lower house. The Pool variables measure the extent to which votes among candidates from the same party are shared. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where pooling of votes occurs across all candidates in a party in a district; (1) where pooling of votes occurs across some, but not all, candidates in a party in a district, or, where there is vote pooling across all candidates in a party in a district, but where the average district accounts for 5% or less of a legislature's membership; (2) where no pooling of votes occurs across candidates in a party (including single-member districts).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.51.12 Candidate or Party-specific Voting - MMD (lower/only house) (jw mmdvote)

Vote for multi-member district tiers in elections to the lower house. The Vote variables focus attention on the distinction between casting votes for either parties or individual candidates. The variables equal (in order of increasing personal vote incentives): (0) where voters have only one vote for a party; (1) where voters can vote for a party or a candidate (as in open lists), where voters have multiple votes for multiple candidates (as in runoff or single-transferable vote systems), or where votes for a party or candidate are observationally equivalent (as in single-member districts); (2) where voters have one vote for an individual candidate.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 30 n: 673  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.51.13 Runoff Elections (jw multiround)

The variable indicates whether there are run-off elections. These are usually for SMDs with absolute majority requirements. Where jw\_multiround is equal to 1, voters have more than a single vote to cast, albeit votes occur on separate election days.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 34 n: 821  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.51.14 Multi Tier (lower/only house) (jw multitier)

Indicates whether there are two or more tiers to the legislature.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 35 n: 834  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 24

4.51.15 Single Party System (jw\_oneparty)

Dummy variable, 1 if single-party system.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 36 n: 883  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### 4.51.16 Personalistic Tier (jw\_persr)





#### 4.51.17 Proportion Coded Legislators (lower/only house) (jw propcoded)

Shows the proportion of total legislators (elected and non-elected) that are included in the database (i.e. those that are elected).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 36 n: 884  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 25

4.51.18 Seats from Multi-Member Districts (lower/only house) (jw\_propmmd) Proportion of seats from Multi-Member District (lower/only house).





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 36 n: 854  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 24

**4.51.19** Seats from a National District (lower/only house) (jw\_propn) The proportion of legislators that are elected via a national tier.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



4.51.20 Seats from Single-Member Districts (lower/only house) (jw\_propsmd) Proportion of seats from Single-Member Districts.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 36 n: 852  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.51.21 Rank Vote (lower/only house) (jw rank)

Equals 1 in two circumstances: where voters may rank order candidates according to preference, or where citizens have multiple preference votes for multiple candidates, even if they may not specifically rank the candidates. Otherwise, jw\_rank is equal to zero. Refers to lower house elections.

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1978 Max. Year: 2005 N: 32 n: 777  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.51.22 Tiervote (lower/only house) (jw tiervote)

Equals 1 when citizens are given a separate vote for deputies in each legislative tier.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



### 4.52 Aljaz Kunčič

https://sites.google.com/site/aljazkuncic/research (Kunčič, 2014) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-30)

#### Institutional Quality Dataset

More than 30 established institutional indicators can be clustered into three homogeneous groups of formal institutions: legal, political and economic, which capture to a large extent the complete formal institutional environment of a country. The latent qualities of legal, political and economic institutions for every country in the world and for every year are calculated. On this basis, a legal, political and economic World Institutional Quality Ranking are proposed, through which one can follow whether a country is improving or worsening its relative institutional environment. The calculated latent institutional quality measures can be useful in further panel data applications and add to the usual practice of using simply one or another index of institutional quality to capture the institutional environment.

#### 4.52.1 Cluster memberships based on means (kun\_cluster)

Cluster membership based on means.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 742  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 21

4.52.2 Absolute economic institutional quality(simple averages) (kun\_ecoabs) Absolute economic institutional quality(simple averages).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 741  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 21

**4.52.3 Economic institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun\_ecorel)** Economic institutional quality (relative factor scores).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 708  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 20

4.52.4 Absolute legal institutional quality (simple averages) (kun\_legabs) Absolute legal institutional quality (simple averages).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



4.52.5 Legal institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun\_legrel) Legal institutional quality (relative factor scores).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 712  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 20

4.52.6 Absolute political institutional quality (simple averages) (kun\_polabs) Absolute political institutional quality (simple averages).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 738  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 21

4.52.7 Political institutional quality (relative factor scores) (kun\_polrel) Political institutional quality (relative factor scores).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 34 n: 669  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.52.8 Economic World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun\_wiqreco\_all)

Economic World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



4.52.9 Legal World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun\_wiqrleg\_all) Legal World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries).





 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.52.10 Political World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries) (kun\_wiqrpol\_all)

Political World Institutional Quality Ranking (all countries).

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2010 N: 34 n: 669  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.53 LIS Cross-National Data Center in Luxembourg

https://www.lisdatacenter.org/data-access/key-figures/ (LIS Cross-National Data Center in Luxembourg, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-05)

#### Luxembourg Income Study Database and the Luxembourg Wealth Study Database

LIS, formerly known as The Luxembourg Income Study, is a data archive and research center dedicated to cross-national analysis. LIS is home to two databases, the Luxembourg Income Study Database, and the Luxembourg Wealth Study Database. The Luxembourg Income Study Database (LIS), under constant expansion, is the largest available database of harmonised microdata collected from multiple countries over a period of decades. The newer Luxembourg Wealth Study Database (LWS), is the only cross-national wealth microdatabase in existence.

#### 4.53.1 Atkinson Coefficient (epsilon=0.5) (lis atk05)

Atkinson Coefficient (epsilon=0.5).



Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018

 $\mathbf{N}$ : 32  $\mathbf{n}$ : 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

#### 4.53.2 Atkinson Coefficient (epsilon=1) (lis atk1)

Atkinson Coefficient (epsilon=1).

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7 $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.3 Children Living in Single-Mother Families (%) (lis\_clsmf) Children Living in Single-Mother Families (%).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 332  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.4 Children Poverty Rates - Single-Mother Families (50%) (lis\_cprsmf) Children Poverty Rates - Single-Mother Families (50%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 333  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.5 Children Poverty Rates - Two-Parent Families (50%) (lis\_cprtpf) Children Poverty Rates - Two-Parent Families (50%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 332  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.6 Distribution of Children by Income Group (above 150%) (lis\_dc150) Distribution of Children by Income Group (above 150%).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 334  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.7 Distribution of Children by Income Group (50-75%) (lis\_dc5075) Distribution of Children by Income Group (50-75%).



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 334  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.8 Distribution of Children by Income Group (75-150%) (lis dc75150) Distribution of Children by Income Group (75-150%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

4.53.9Gini Coefficient (lis gini) Gini Coefficient.

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 334  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10



Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018  $\mathbf{N}$ : 32  $\mathbf{n}$ : 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

### 4.53.10 Mean Equivalized Income (lis meaneqi) Mean Equivalized Income.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

6-	
8	الالتليل لمازج وري
-	

Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018  $\mathbf{N}$ : 32  $\mathbf{n}$ : 340  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.11 Median Equivalized Income (lis medeqi)

Median Equivalized Income.



213

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

4.53.12 Percentile Ratio (80/20) (lis pr8020) Percentile Ratio (80/20).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

4.53.13 Percentile Ratio (90/10) (lis pr9010) Percentile Ratio (90/10).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

4.53.14 Percentile Ratio (90/50) (lis pr9050) Percentile Ratio (90/50).

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

4.53.15 Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (40%) (lis rpr40) Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (40%).





Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018 **N**: 32 **n**: 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11



Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018

 $\mathbf{N}$ : 32  $\mathbf{n}$ : 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11







N: 32 n: 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 338  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.16 Relative Poverty Rates - Children (40%) (lis\_rprc40) Relative Poverty Rates - Children (40%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 334  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.17 Relative Poverty Rates - Children (50%) (lis\_rprc50) Relative Poverty Rates - Children (50%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 334  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.18 Relative Poverty Rates - Children (60%) (lis\_rprc60) Relative Poverty Rates - Children (60%).



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 334  $\overline{N}$ : 6  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.53.19 Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (50%) (lis\_rpre50) Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (50%).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 338  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.20 Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (60%) (lis\_rpre60) Relative Poverty Rates - Elderly (60%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 338  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.21 Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (40%) (lis\_rprt40) Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (40%).

### Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.22 Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (50%) (lis\_rprt50) Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (50%).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7 $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.53.23 Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (60%) (lis\_rprt60) Relative Poverty Rates - Total Population (60%).
## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 341  $\overline{N}$ : 7  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.54 Maddison Historical Statistics

https://www.rug.nl/ggdc/historicaldevelopment/maddison/releases/maddison-project-database -2018 (Bolt & van Zanden, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-09)

#### Maddison Project Database 2020

The Maddison Project Database provides information on comparative economic growth and income levels over the very long run. The 2020 version of this database covers 169 countries and the period up to 2018.

#### 4.54.1 Real GDP per Capita (mad gdppc)

Real GDP per capita in 2011 US dollars, multiple benchmarks.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2262  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 63

#### 4.55 Hyde and Marinov

http://www.nelda.co/ (Hyde & Marinov, 2012) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-04)

#### National Elections Across Democracy and Autocracy V5

The National Elections across Democracy and Autocracy (NELDA) dataset provides detailed information on all election events from 1945-2015. To be included, elections must be for a national executive figure, such as a president, or for a national legislative body, such as a parliament, legislature, constituent assembly, or other directly elected representative bodies. In order for an election to be included, voters must directly elect the person or persons appearing on the ballot to the national post in question. Voting must also be direct, or "by the people" in the sense that mass voting takes place.

#### 4.55.1 First Multiparty Election (nelda fme)

This indicates when a country is newly independent is having its first elections, when a country holds the first multiparty elections after a significant period of non-democratic rule, or when a country transitions from single-party elections to multiparty elections. Multiparty means that more than one party is allowed to contest the election, and that at least some of the parties are both nominally and effectively independent of the ruling actors.



#### 4.55.2 Media Bias before Election (nelda mbbe)

If there were reports by either domestic or outside actors of media bias in favor of the incumbent or ruling party, it is coded as a "Yes". In cases where the media is totally controlled by the government, and/or no opposition is allowed, the answer is "Yes". It is possible that the answer is "No" even if the political system is tightly controlled.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



**Min. Year**:1946 **Max. Year**: 2015 **N**: 36 **n**: 730  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.55.3 Was More Than One Party Legal (nelda mtop)

This variable indicates whether multiple political parties were technically legal. The legalization of multiple parties need not necessarily mean the existence of a functioning opposition party, as there may be other non-legal barriers to the development of an opposition party. Similarly, a well organized opposition party may exist but may not be legal.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.55.4 Number of Elections, Total (nelda noe)

The number of Elections during the year (counting legislative, executive and constituent assembly elections).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

4.55.5 Number of Elections, Constituent Assembly (nelda\_noea) Number of constituent assembly elections during the year.

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

4.55.6 Number of Elections, Executive (nelda\_noee) Number of executive elections during the year.

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

4.55.7 Number of Elections, Legislative (nelda noel)

Number of legislative elections during the year.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

# e year.



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.55.8 Was Opposition Allowed (nelda oa)

This variable indicates whether at least one opposition political party existed to contest the election. Some countries have multiple government parties but no opposition political party. An opposition party is one that is not in the government, meaning it is not affiliated with the incumbent party in power.

218

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.55.9 Riots and Protests after Election (nelda rpae)

If there are protests and riots after elections, a "Yes" is coded. The riots and protests should at least somewhat be related to the handling or outcome of the election.



#### 4.55.10 Violence and Civilian Deaths before Election (nelda vcdbe)

If there was any significant violence relating to the elections that resulted in civilian deaths, a "Yes" is coded. These deaths should be at least plausibly related to the election, though sometimes it is difficult to be certain. Deaths related to civil war that are not intended to influence the election, and are not caused by the election, should not be counted.

Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 732  $\overline{N}$ : 10  $\overline{T}$ : 20

#### 4.56 Pippa Norris

https://www.pippanorris.com/data (Norris, 2009) (Data downloaded: 2019-10-09)

#### Democracy Time-series Data Release 3.0, January 2009

This dataset is in a country-year case format, suitable for cross-national time-series analysis. It contains data on the social, economic and political characteristics of 191 nations with over 600 variables from 1971 to 2007. In particular, it merges the indicators of democracy by Freedom House, Vanhanen, Polity IV, and Cheibub and Gandhi, selected institutional classifications and also socioeconomic indicators. Note that you should check the original codebook for the definition and measurement of each of the variables. The period for each series also varies. This is the replication dataset used in the book, Driving Democracy.

#### 4.56.1 Classification of Executives (no ce)

Classification of Executives:

- 1. Parliamentary Monarchy
- 2. Presidential Republic
- 3. Mixed Executive
- 4. Monarchy
- 5. Military State

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

#### 4.56.2 Electoral Family (no\_ef)

Electoral Family:

- 1. Majoritarian
- 2. Combined (mixed)
- 3. Proportional
- 4. No competitive elections

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

#### 4.56.3 Unitary or Federal State (no\_ufs)

Unitary or Federal State:

- 1. Unitary
- 2. Hybrid unions
- 3. Federal

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2004 N: 36 n: 1048  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 29



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2004 N: 36 n: 1048  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### 4.57 Natural Resource Management Index

http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/collection/nrmi (Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN - Columbia University, 2019)



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2003 N: 35 n: 942  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 27

(Data downloaded: 2020-11-13)

#### Natural Resource Management Index (NRMI) Data

The Natural Resource Protection and Child Health Indicators, 2019 Release, is produced in support of the U.S. Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) as selection criteria for funding eligibility. The Natural Resource Protection Indicator (NRPI) and Child Health Indicator (CHI) are based on proximity-to-target scores ranging from 0 to 100 (at target). The NRPI covers 234 countries and is calculated based on the weighted average percentage of biomes under protected status. The CHI is a composite index for 195 countries derived from the average of three proximity-to-target scores for access to at least basic water and sanitation, along with child mortality. The 2019 release includes a consistent time series of NRPI scores for 2015 to 2019 and CHI scores for 2010 to 2018.

#### 4.57.1 Natural Resource Protection Indicator (nrmi nrpi)

Natural Resource Protection Indicator assesses whether a country is protecting at least 17% of all of its biomes (e.g. deserts, forests, grasslands, aquatic, and tundra). It is designed to capture the comprehensiveness of a government's commitment to habitat preservation and biodiversity protection. The World Wildlife Fund provides the underlying biome data, and the United Nations Environment Program World Conservation Monitoring Center provides the underlying data on protected areas.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.58 Nunn and Puga

http://diegopuga.org/data/rugged/ (Nunn & Puga, 2012) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-23)

#### Country Ruggedness and Geographical Data (2012)

The dataset of terrain ruggedness and other geographical characteristics of countries was created by Nathan Nunn and Diego Puga for their article 'Ruggedness: The blessing of bad geography in Africa', published in the Review of Economics and Statistics 94(1), February 2012: 20-36.

#### 4.58.1 Percentage desert in 2012 (nunn desert)

The percentage of the land surface area of each country covered by sandy desert, dunes, rocky or lava flows, was calculated on the basis of the desert layer of the Collins Bartholomew World Premium digital map data (Collins Bartholomew, 2005) and the country boundaries described above. This was initially computed as a cruder measure of soil (in)fertility for an early draft of the paper and is no longer used in the final version. Nunn and Puga have left it in the dataset in case it is of use to other researchers.



#### 4.58.2 Average distance to nearest ice-free coast (1000 km) in 2012 (nunn dist coast)

Average distance to nearest ice-free coast (1000 km). To calculate the average distance to the closest ice-free coast in each country, Nunn and Puga first compute the distance to the nearest ice-free coast for every point in the country in equi-rectangular projection with standard parallels at 30 degrees, on the basis of sea and sea ice area features contained in the fifth edition of the Digital Chart of the World (US National Imagery and Mapping Agency, 2000) and the country boundaries described above. Then Nunn and Puga average this distance across all land in each country not covered by inland water features.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.58.3 Percentage within 100 km. of ice-free coast in 2012 (nunn near coast)

Within 100 km of ice-free coast. On the basis of the same data used to calculate the average distance to nearest ice-free coast, Nunn and Puga calculate the percentage of the land surface area of each country that is within 100km of the nearest ice-free coast.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.58.4 Ruggedness (Terrain Ruggedness Index, 100 m) in 2012 (nunn rugged)

This is the Terrain Ruggedness Index originally devised by Riley, DeGloria, and Elliot (1999) to quantify topographic heterogeneity in wildlife habitats providing concealment for preys and lookout posts. The source of elevation data is GTOPO30 (US Geological Survey, 1996), a global elevation data set developed through a collaborative international effort led by staff at the US Geological Survey's Center for Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS). Elevations in GTOPO30 are regularly spaced at 30 arc-seconds across the entire surface of the Earth on a map using a geographic projection, so the sea-level surface distance between two adjacent grid points on a meridian is half a nautical mile or, equivalently, 926 metres. After calculating the Terrain Ruggedness Index for each point on the grid, Nunn and Puga average across all grid cells in the country not covered by water to obtain the average terrain ruggedness — or the average of any other variable — for each country, Nunn and Puga weigh each cell by its latitude-varying sea-level surface. Nunn and Puga assign land to countries — for this and other variables — using digital boundary data based on the fifth edition of

the Digital Chart of the World (US National Imagery and Mapping Agency, 2000), which they have updated to reflect 2000 country boundaries using information from the International Organization for Standardization ISO 3166 Maintenance Agency and other sources. Nunn and Puga exclude areas covered by permanent inland water area features contained in the same edition of the Digital Chart of the World. The units for the terrain ruggedness index correspond to the units used to measure elevation differences. In our calculation, ruggedness is measured in hundreds of metres of elevation difference for grid points 30 arc-seconds (926 metres on the equator or any meridian) apart.





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.58.5 Percentage tropical climate in 2012 (nunn tropical)

Tropical climate. Using detailed temperature and precipitation data from the Climatic Research Unit of the University of East Anglia and the Global Precipitation Climatology Centre of the German Nunn and Pugaather Service, Kottek, Grieser, Beck, Rudolf, and Rubel (2006) classify each cell on a 30 arc-minute grid covering the entire land area of the Earth into one of 31 climates in the widely-used Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Based on these data and the country boundaries described above, Nunn and Puga calculate the percentage of the land surface area of each country that has any of the four Köppen-Geiger tropical climates.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

http://stats.oecd.org/# (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-07)

#### **Country Statistical Profiles**

The Country Statistical Profiles database from the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) includes a wide range of indicators on economy, education, energy, environment, foreign aid, health, information and communication, labour, migration, R&D, trade and society that better reflect key figures about the member states of the OECD. Historical data refer to the latest eight time periods.

Please note we have selected some of these variables for this version of the QoG Datasets. Find the full list of variables in the source's website.

#### 4.59.1 Elderly population (oecd agedpopgeo g1)

Elderly population



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T} \colon$   $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$ 

#### 4.59.2 CO2 emissions from fuel combustion (oecd\_airqty\_t1)

CO2 emissions from fuel combustion



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1831  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.59.3 Current account balance (oecd bop t1)

Current account balance



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

## 4.59.4 CPI: all items (oecd cpi t1a)

Consumer Price Index: all items



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 922  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 26



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1887  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 52

4.59.5 CPI: all items non food non energy (oecd cpi t1b)

Consumer Price Index: all items non food non energy



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1956 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1471  $\overline{N}$ : 23  $\overline{T}$ : 41

#### 4.59.6 CPI: food (oecd\_cpi\_t1c)

Consumer Price Index: food



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

#### 4.59.7 CPI: energy (oecd\_cpi\_t1d)

Consumer Price Index: energy



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1678  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 47



**Min. Year**:1950 **Max. Year**: 2018 **N**: 36 **n**: 1506  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 42

#### 4.59.8 Practising physicians (oecd\_doctor\_g1)

Practising physicians



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33 n: 1165  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 35

#### 4.59.9 Medical graduates (oecd doctor g3)

Medical graduates



Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35

Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1177  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 33



Employment rates for age group 15-24



4.59.11 Employment rates for age group 25-54 (oecd emplage t1b)

Employment rates for age group 25-54



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 12  $\overline{T}$ : 22

4.59.12 Employment rates for age group 55-64 (oecd emplage t1c)

Employment rates for age group 55-64



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 12  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.59.13 Employment rates: women (oecd emplgndr t1a)

Employment rates: women





Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 12  $\overline{T}$ : 22

4.59.14 Employment rates: men (oecd emplgndr t1b)

Employment rates: men



4.59.15 Employment rates: total (oecd emplgndr t1c)

Employment rates: total



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 12  $\overline{T}$ : 22

4.59.16 Real GDP growth (oecd evogdp t1)

Real GDP growth



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1526  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 42

#### 4.59.17 Population growth rates (oecd evopop g1)

Population growth rates



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1951 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35 n: 2011  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 57

4.59.18 Population levels (oecd evopop t1)

Population levels

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35 n: 2042  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 58

4.59.19 Real value added: agriculture, fishing, hunting and forestry (oecd\_evova\_t1a) Real value added in agriculture, fishing, hunting and forestry



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



4.59.20 Real value added: industry including energy (oecd evova t1b)

Real value added in industry including energy



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 646  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 18

#### 4.59.21 Real value added: construction (oecd evova t1c)

Real value added in construction





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1023  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### 4.59.22 Real value added: trade, repairs, transport, accommodation and food serv. (oecd evova t1d)

Real value added in distributive trade, repairs, transport, accommodation and food services activities



4.59.23 Real value added: Information and communication (oecd\_evova\_t1e)

Real value added in Information and communication



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1018  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 29



Real value added in financial and insurance activities



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1023  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 29

4.59.25 Real value added: real estate activities (oecd evova t1g)

Real value added in real estate activities





Real value added in professional, scientific, technical, administration and support services activities



## 4.59.27 Real value added in public administration, defence, education human health (oecd\_evova\_t1i)

Real value added in public administration, defence, education human health and social work activities





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1022  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 29

4.59.28 Real value added in other services activities (oecd evova t1j)

Real value added in other services activities



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



4.59.29 Outflows of foreign direct investment (oecd fdiffstk t1a)

Outflows of foreign direct investment



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A





Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

4.59.31 Total FDI Index (oecd fdindex t1a)

N: 34

Total FDI Index



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

4.59.32 Primary sector (oecd\_fdindex\_t1b)

FDI Index: Primary sector



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

4.59.33 Manufacturing (oecd fdindex t1c)

FDI Index: Manufacturing



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

#### 4.59.34 Electricity (oecd fdindex t1d)

FDI Index: Electricity



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.59.35 Distribution (oecd fdindex t1e)

FDI Index: Distribution



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.59.36 Transport (oecd\_fdindex\_t1f)

FDI Index: Transport



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

#### 4.59.37 Media (oecd fdindex t1g)

FDI Index: Media



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

#### 4.59.38 Communications (oecd fdindex t1h)

FDI Index: Communications



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.59.39 Financial services (oecd\_fdindex\_t1i)

FDI Index: Financial services





Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.59.40 Business services (oecd fdindex t1j)

FDI Index: Business services



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 429  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.59.41 Outward FDI stocks (oecd\_fdistock\_t1a)

Outward FDI stocks



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.42 Inward FDI stocks (oecd fdistock t1b)

Inward FDI stocks



### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.43 Total fertility rates (oecd\_fertility\_t1)

Total fertility rates



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



#### 4.59.44 Structure of central gov. expenditures, general public serv. (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1a)

Structure of central government expenditures, general public services





Min. Year: 2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.59.45 Structure of central gov. expenditures, defence (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1b)

Structure of central government expenditures, defence



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 4.59.46 Structure of central gov. expenditures, public order and safety (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1c)

Structure of central government expenditures, public order and safety





Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 4.59.47 Structure of central gov. expenditures, economic affairs (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1d)

Structure of central government expenditures, economic affairs



#### 4.59.48 Structure of central gov. expenditures, environmental protect. (oecd\_gengovdistri t1e)

Structure of central government expenditures, environmental protection



#### 4.59.49 Structure of central gov. expenditures, housing and community (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1f)

Structure of central government expenditures, housing and community amenities







Min. Year: 2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.59.50 Structure of central gov. expenditures, health (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1g) Structure of central government expenditures, health





Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 4.59.51 Structure of central gov. expenditures, recreation, culture and relig. (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1h)

Structure of central government expenditures, recreation, culture and religion



4.59.52 Structure of central gov. expenditures, education (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1i) Structure of central government expenditures, education



Min. Year: 2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 4.59.53 Structure of central gov. expenditures, social protection (oecd\_gengovdistri\_t1j)

Structure of central government expenditures, social protection



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 308  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 10

4.59.54 General government revenues per capita (oecd\_gengovexpend\_t1a)

General government revenues per capita



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A





Min. Year: 2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 

Production costs for general government, compensation of employees



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 387  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.59.57 Production costs for general gov. costs of goods and services (oecd\_gengovprod\_t1b)

Production costs for general government, costs of goods and services used and financed by general government



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year: 2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 377  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 11

#### 4.59.58 Production costs for general gov. Other production costs (oecd\_gengovprod\_t1c)

Production costs for general government. Other production costs





Min. Year:2007 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 377  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 11

4.59.59 Production costs for general gov. total (oecd\_gengovprod\_t1d)

Production costs for general government, total



4.59.60 Gross domestic expenditure on R&D (oecd gerd t1)

Gross domestic expenditure on R&D



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1022  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 28

## 4.59.61 Adjusted general government debt-to-GDP (excl. unfunded pension liability) (oecd\_govdebt\_t1)

Adjusted general government debt-to-GDP (excluding unfunded pension liabilities)



**1111. Year**: 2010 Max. Year: 201 $\mathbf{N}$ : 33



Min. Year:1999 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 630  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 19

## 4.59.62 Adjusted general government debt-to-GDP (incl. unfunded pension liability) (oecd\_govdebt\_t2)

Adjusted general government debt-to-GDP (including unfunded pension liabilities)



N: 33



Min. Year:1999 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 630  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 19

4.59.63 General government net lending (oecd govdefct t1)

General government net lending



4.59.64 General government revenues (oecd govdefct t2)

General government revenues



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 936  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 27

4.59.65 General government expenditures (oecd govdefct t3)

General government expenditures



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 729  $\overline{N}$ : 15  $\overline{T}$ : 24

4.59.66 Greenhouse gas emissions (oecd greenhouse t1)

Greenhouse gas emissions





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 954  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 27

4.59.67 Average hours actually worked (oecd hourswkd t1)

Average hours actually worked



4.59.68 Households debt (oecd housdebt t1)

Households debt



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 32



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 684  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 21

4.59.69 Real household disposable income (oecd housinc t1)

Real household disposable income



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33 n: 823  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 25

4.59.70 Household net saving rates (oecd houssave t1)

Household net saving rates





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33 n: 806  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 24

4.59.71 Financial asset of households: Currency and deposits (oecd\_houswealth\_t1a) Financial asset of households: Currency and deposits



4.59.72 Financial asset of households: Debt securities (oecd\_houswealth\_t1b)

Financial asset of households: Debt securities



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 729  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 21

4.59.73 Financial asset of households: equity (oecd houswealth t1c)

Financial asset of households: equity



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33 n: 706  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 21



Financial asset of households: investment funds shares



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33 n: 695  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.59.75 Financial asset of households: Life insurance and annuities (oecd\_houswealth\_t1e)

Financial asset of households: Life insurance and annuities



4.59.76 Financial asset of households: Pension funds (oecd\_houswealth\_t1f)

Financial asset of households: Pension funds



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 32



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2018 N: 32 n: 690  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.59.77 Income inequality: Gini (at disposable income post taxes & transfers) (oecd\_incinequal t1a)

Income inequality: Gini (at disposable income, post taxes and transfers)





Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 354  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 

Income inequality: S80/S20 disposable income quintile share





Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 355  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 

Income inequality: P90/P10 disposable income decile ratio



#### 

Income inequality: P90/P50 disposable income decile ratio



#### 

Income inequality: P50/P10 disposable income decile ratio



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 355  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 10

#### 4.59.82 Relative poverty rates: Entire population (oecd\_incompoverty\_t1a) Relative poverty rates: Entire population



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.83 Relative poverty rates: Children (age 0-17) (oecd\_incompoverty\_t1b) Relative poverty rates: Children (age 0-17)



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.84 Relative poverty rates: Working-age population (age 18-65) (oecd\_incompoverty\_t1c)

Relative poverty rates: Working-age population (age 18-65)



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.85 Relative poverty rates: Retirement-age population (over 65) (oecd\_incompoverty\_t1d)

Relative poverty rates: Retirement-age population (over 65)



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 



Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2013 N: 34 n: 1714  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 50

#### 

Real effective exchange rates



4.59.88 Gross fixed capital formation (oecd invrates t1)

Gross fixed capital formation



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



4.59.89 Life expectancy at birth: total (oecd lifeexpy g1)

Life expectancy at birth: total



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1792  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 50

4.59.90 Life expectancy at birth: women (oecd lifeexpy g2a)

Life expectancy at birth: women





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1795  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 50

Life expectancy at birth: men



4.59.92 Long-term interest rates (oecd ltintrst t1)

Long-term interest rates



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



#### 4.59.93 Long-term unemployment (oecd ltunemp t1)

Long-term unemployment



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1968 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 1086  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 31

4.59.94 Trade balance of goods (oecd mertrade t1)

Trade balance of goods



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.95 Imports of goods (oecd\_mertrade\_t2)

Imports of goods



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

4.59.96 Exports of goods (oecd mertrade t3)

Exports of goods



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

#### 4.59.97 Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: low (oecd\_migeduemp t1a)

Employment rates of native-born population by educational attainment: low



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 30



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2015 N: 31 n: 452  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 15

#### 4.59.98 Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: High (oecd\_migeduemp t1b)

Employment rates of native-born population by educational attainment: High



N: 30



Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2015 N: 31 n: 452  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 15

#### 4.59.99 Employment rates of native-born pop. by educational attainment: Total (oecd\_migeduemp\_t1c)

Employment rates of native-born population by educational attainment: Total



#### 4.59.100 Employment rates of foreign-born pop. by educational attainment: low (oecd\_migeduemp\_t1d)

Employment rates of foreign-born population by educational attainment: low



## 4.59.101 Employment rates of foreign-born pop. by educational attainment: High (oecd\_migeduemp\_t1e)

Employment rates of foreign-born population by educational attainment: High



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 30



Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2015 N: 31 n: 462  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 15

## 4.59.102 Employment rates of foreign-born pop. by educational attainment: Total (oecd\_migeduemp\_t1f)

Employment rates of foreign-born population by educational attainment: Total





Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2015 N: 32 n: 478  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 15



Foreign-born population



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 1981 Max. Year: 2013

 $\mathbf{N}$ : 34  $\mathbf{n}$ : 570  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 17

## 4.59.104 Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Men (oecd\_migunemp\_-t1a)

Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Men



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30 Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.105 Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Men (oecd\_migunemp\_t1b)

Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Men



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.106 Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Women (oecd\_migunemp\_t1c)

Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Women



### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.107 Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Women (oecd\_migunemp\_t1d)

Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Women



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.108 Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Total (oecd\_migunemp\_t1e)

Unemployment rates of native-born populations: Total



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.59.109 Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Total (oecd\_migunemp\_-t1f)

Unemployment rates of foreign-born populations: Total



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.110 Gross national income per capita (oecd natinccap t1)

Gross national income per capita



**N**: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1445 N: 24 T: 40





Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.112 Nuclear electricity generation. % of total electricity generation (oecd\_nuclearnrj\_t1b)

Nuclear electricity generation, as a percentage of total electricity generation.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A



Nuclear power plants connected to the grid



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

4.59.114 Nuclear power plants under construction (oecd nuclearnrj t1d)

Nuclear power plants under construction



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

4.59.115 Practising nurses (oecd\_nurse\_g1)

Practising nurses


4.59.116 Nursing graduates (oecd nurse g3)

Nursing graduates



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 910  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.59.117 Production of crude oil (oecd oilprod t1)

Production of crude oil



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1863  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.59.118 Triadic patent families (oecd patents t1)

Triadic patent families



N: 36



Min. Year:1985 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1146  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 32

## 4.59.119 Share of national pop. in the 10% of regions with the largest population (oecd\_popgeo\_g1)

Share of national population in the ten per cent of regions with the largest population



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.120 Percentage of urban population by city size: Small urban areas (oecd\_popgeo\_g2a)

Percentage of urban population by city size: Small urban areas



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 31

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.121 Percentage of urban population by city size: Medium-sized urban areas (oecd\_popgeo\_g2b)

Percentage of urban population by city size: Medium-sized urban areas



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 31



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.122 Percentage of urban population by city size: Metropolitan areas (oecd\_popgeo\_g2c)

Percentage of urban population by city size: Metropolitan areas



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.123 Distribution of the national population into urban regions (oecd\_popgeo\_g3a)

Distribution of the national population into urban regions



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

#### 4.59.124 Distribution of the national population into intermediate regions (oecd\_popgeo\_g3b)

Distribution of the national population into intermediate regions



N: 35

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

**4.59.125** Distribution of the national population into rural regions (oecd\_popgeo\_g3c) Distribution of the national population into rural regions



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.126 Distribution of the national area into urban regions (oecd\_popgeo\_g4a) Distribution of the national area into urban regions



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.127 Distribution of the national area into intermediate regions (oecd\_popgeo\_g4b)

Distribution of the national area into intermediate regions



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.128 Distribution of the national area into rural regions (oecd\_popgeo\_g4c)

Distribution of the national area into rural regions



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.129 Total expenditure on health (oecd\_pphlthxp\_t1c)

Total expenditure on health



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1368  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 38

#### 4.59.130 GDP per hour worked (oecd prodincom g1)

GDP per hour worked



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1444  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 40

#### 4.59.131 Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (% gap in USD) (oecd\_prodincom g2a)

Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity - Percentage gap with respect to US GDP per capita



#### 4.59.132 Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (Effect of labour util.) (oecd prodincom g2b)

Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity - Effect of labour utilisation



N: 35



**Min. Year**:1970 **Max. Year**: 2017 **N**: 35 **n**: 1382  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 39

#### 4.59.133 Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity (GDP/hour worked) (oecd\_prodincom\_g2c)

Levels of GDP per capita and labour productivity - Percentage gap with respect to US GDP per hour worked



**N**: 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 1371  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 39

#### 4.59.134 Incidence of part-time employment (oecd ptempl t1)

Incidence of part-time employment





Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 992  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 28

#### 4.59.135 Road fatalities (oecd rddeath t1)

Road fatalities. Deaths, Per 1 000 000 inhabitants, 1994 - 2016 Source: ITF Transport Statistics: Road accidents



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year: 2002 Max. Year: 2014 N: 32 n: 409  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.59.136 Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Maximum (oecd\_regdisplabour\_g1a)

Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Maximum



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.137 Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Minimum (oecd\_regdisplabour\_g1b)

Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Minimum



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 30

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.138 Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Average (oecd\_regdisplabour\_g1c)

Differences in annual employment growth across regions: Average



## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.139 Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Maximum (oecd\_regdisplabour\_g3a)

Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Maximum



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.140 Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Minimum (oecd\_regdisplabour\_g3b)

Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Minimum



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.141 Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Average (oecd\_regdisplabour\_g3c)

Regional difference in the employment rate of women: Average



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33



and a state of the state of the state of the

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.142 Gini index of regional unemployment rates (oecd\_regdispunemp\_g1)

Gini index of regional unemployment rates



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

#### 4.59.143 Regional variation of the youth unemployment rate: maximum (oecd\_regdispunemp\_g2a)

Regional variation of the youth unemployment rate: maximum

 $<sup>\</sup>mathbf{N} \colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T} \colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 32

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.144 Regional variation of the youth unemployment rate: minimum (oecd\_regdispunemp\_g2b)

Regional variation of the youth unemployment rate: minimum



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 32

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.145 Researchers (oecd\_research\_t1)

Researchers. Total, Per 1 000 employed, 2000 - 2016 Source: OECD Science, Technology and R&D Statistics: Main Science and Technology Indicators.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33



Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 907  $\overline{N}$ : 25  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### 4.59.146 Contribution of renewables to energy supply (oecd\_rnewable\_t1)

Contribution of renewables to energy supply



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1851  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.59.147 Purchasing power parities (oecd rtsconv t1a)

Purchasing power parities





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1782  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 50

#### 4.59.148 Indices of price levels (oecd rtsconv t1b)

Indices of price levels



Min. Year: 2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2018  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 792  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.59.149 GDP per capita (oecd sizegdp t1)

GDP per capita



Min. Year: 2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1553  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 43

#### 4.59.150 Adult population smoking daily (oecd smoke g1)

Adult population smoking daily





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2017  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 693  $\overline{N}$ : 12  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.59.151Youths who are not in education or in employment (15-19) (oecd socexclus t1a)

Youths who are not in education or in employment (15-19)



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.152 Youths who are not in education or in employment (20-24) (oecd\_socexclus\_t1b)

Youths who are not in education or in employment (20-24)



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.153 Public social expenditure (oecd\_socexpnd\_t1a)

Public social expenditure



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1202  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 33

#### 4.59.154 Private social expenditure (oecd socexpnd t1b)

Private social expenditure



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1072  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 30

4.59.155 Net social expenditure (oecd socexpnd t1c)

Net social expenditure



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 34

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.156 Sulphur Oxides Emmissions (oecd\_soxnox\_t1a)

Sulphur Oxides Emmissions



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 910  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 25

4.59.157 Nitrogene Oxides Emmissions (oecd soxnox t1b)

Nitrogene Oxides Emmissions



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 910  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### 4.59.158 Trade balance of services (oecd svctrade t1)

Trade balance of services



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1957 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 948  $\overline{N}$ : 15  $\overline{T}$ : 26

4.59.159 Imports of services (oecd\_svctrade\_t2)

Imports of services



#### 4.59.160 Exports of services (oecd svctrade t3)

Exports of services



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1957 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 972  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 27

#### 4.59.161 Taxes on the average worker (oecd taxapw t1)

Taxes on the average worker



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 684  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 19

4.59.162 Population aged 25-34 below upper secondary (oecd\_tertiary\_t1a)

Population aged 25-34 below upper secondary



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A





Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.59.164 Population aged 25-34 in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary (oecd\_tertiary\_t1c)

Population aged 25-34 in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 



Population aged 25-64 below upper secondary  $% \left( {{{\rm{D}}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right)$ 



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.166 Population aged 25-64 below upper secondary (oecd tertiary t1e)

Population aged 25-64 below upper secondary



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 33

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.59.167 Population aged 25-64 in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary (oecd\_tertiary\_t1f)

Population aged 25-64 in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.59.168 Total tax revenue (oecd totaltax t1)

N: 33

Total tax revenue



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1965 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1561  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 43

4.59.169 Total primary energy supply per unit of GDP (oecd tpes t1)

Total primary energy supply per unit of GDP



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1862  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 52

4.59.170 International imports in goods and services (oecd\_tradegdp\_t1a)

International imports in goods and services





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1545  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 43

4.59.171 International exports in goods and services (oecd\_tradegdp\_t1b) International exports in goods and services



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1545  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 43

 $4.59.172 \quad Inland \ goods \ transport \ (oecd\_transpgood\_t1)$ 

Inland goods transport

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



4.59.173 Unemployment rates: women (oecd\_unemplrt\_t1a)

Unemployment rates: women



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1953 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 935  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.59.174 Unemployment rates: men (oecd unemplrt t1b)

Unemployment rates: men





Min. Year:1953 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 944  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 26

4.59.175 Unemployment rates: total (oecd unemplrt t1c)

Unemployment rates: total



4.59.176 Value added: agriculture, hunting, fishing and forestry (oecd\_valaddac\_t1a) Value added in agriculture, hunting, fishing and forestry



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1072  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 30

4.59.177 Value added: industry including energy (oecd\_valaddac\_t1b)

Value added in industry including energy



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 669  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.59.178 Value added: construction (oecd valaddac t1c)

Value added in construction





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1072  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 30

## 4.59.179 Value added: trade, repairs, transport, accommodation and food services (oecd valaddac t1d)

Value added in distributive trade, repairs, transport and accommodation and food services activities



4.59.180 Value added: Information and communication (oecd\_valaddac\_t1e)

Value added in Information and communication



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1067  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 30



Value added in financial and insurance activities



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1072  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 30

4.59.182 Value added: real estate activities (oecd valaddac t1g)

Value added in real estate activities





Value added in professional, scientific, technical, administration and support services activities



## 4.59.184 Value added in public administration, defence, education human health (oecd\_-valaddac\_t1i)

Value added in public administration, defence, education human health and social work activities





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1072  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 30



Value added in other services activities



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1072  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 30

4.59.186 Generation intensities of municipal waste (oecd waste t1a)

Generation intensities of municipal waste





Min. Year:1975 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 887  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 25





Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1975 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 887  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 25

4.59.188 Water abstractions per capita (oecd\_water\_t1a)

Water abstractions per capita

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

**Min. Year**: 1970 **Max. Year**: 2016 **N**: 34 **n**:  $605 \overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 18

4.59.189 Total abstractions of water (oecd\_water\_t1b)

Total abstractions of water

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34 n: 600  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 18

#### 4.59.190 Electricity generation (oecd welecgen t1)

Electricity generation



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1862  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 52

4.59.191 Total primary energy supply (oecd wenergys t1)

Total primary energy supply



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1862  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 52

#### 4.60 The Ocean Health Index

http://www.oceanhealthindex.org (Halpern et al., 2012) (Halpern et al., 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-18)

#### The Ocean Health Index Data

The Ocean Health Index is a valuable tool for the ongoing assessment of ocean health. By providing a means to advance comprehensive ocean policy and compare future progress, the Index can inform decisions about how to use or protect marine ecosystems. The Index is a collaborative effort, made possible through contributions from more than 65 scientists/ocean experts and partnerships between organizations including the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, Sea Around Us, Conservation International, National Geographic, and the New England Aquarium. The Index assesses the ocean based on 10 widely-held public goals for a healthy ocean. They are: Food Provision, Artisanal Fishing Opportunities, Natural Products, Carbon Storage, Coastal Protection, Sense of Place, Coastal Livelihoods & Economies, Tourism & Recreation, Clean Waters, Biodiversity.

#### 4.60.1 The Ocean Health Index (ohi ohi)

The Ocean Health Index establishes reference points for achieving ten widely accepted socio-ecological objectives, and scores the oceans adjacent to 171 countries and territories on how successfully they deliver these goals. Evaluated globally and by country, these ten public goals represent the wide range of benefits that a healthy ocean can provide; each country's overall score is the average of its respective goal scores. The ten socio-ecological objectives are: Food Provision, Artisanal Fishing Opportunities, Natural Products, Carbon Storage, Coastal Protection, Coastal Livelihoods & Economies, Tourism & Recreation, Sense of Place, Clean Waters, Biodiversity.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 30

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.61 Marshall and Gurr

http://www.systemicpeace.org/inscrdata.html (Marshall & Gurr, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-09)

#### Polity V Annual Time-Series, 1800-2018

The Polity project is one of the most widely used data resource for studying regime change and the effects of regime authority. The Polity5 dataset covers all major, independent states in the global system over the period 1800-2018 (i.e., states with a total population of 500,000 or more in the most recent year (167 countries in 2018). Please note that the codes -99, -88, -77 and -66 has been recoded to missing.

#### 4.61.1 Regime Durability (p durable)

Regime Durability: The number of years since the most recent regime change (defined by a three point change in the p\_polity score over a period of three years or less) or the end of a transition period defined by the lack of stable political institutions (denoted by a standardized authority score). In calculating the p\_durable value, the first year during which a new (post-change) polity is established is coded as the baseline "year zero" (value = 0) and each subsequent year adds one to the value of the p\_durable variable consecutively until a new regime change or transition period occurs.



 $\frac{1111. 16ar(2017)}{N(35)}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 2201  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 63

#### 4.61.2 Revised Combined Polity Score (p polity2)

Revised Combined Polity Score: The polity score is computed by subtracting the p\_autoc score from the p\_democ score; the resulting unified polity scale ranges from +10 (strongly democratic) to -10 (strongly autocratic). The revised version of the polity variable is designed to facilitate the use of the polity regime measure in time-series analyses. It modifies the combined annual polity score by applying a simple treatment, or "fix" to convert instances of "standardized authority scores" (i.e., -66, -77, and -88) to conventional polity scores (i.e., within the range, -10 to +10). The values have been converted according to the following rule set:

- (-66) Cases of foreign "interruption" are treated as "system missing."
- (-77) Cases of "interregnum", or anarchy, are converted to a "neutral" Polity score of "0."
- (-88) Cases of "transition" are prorated across the span of the transition.

For example, country X has a p\_polity score of -7 in 1957, followed by three years of -88 and, finally, a score of +5 in 1961. The change (+12) would be prorated over the intervening three years at a rate of per year, so that the converted scores would be as follow: 1957 -7; 1958 -4; 1959 -1; 1960 +2; and 1961 +5.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 2194  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 63

#### 4.62 Norris and Groemping

https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/PEI (Norris & Groemping, 2019) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-11)

#### Electoral Integrity Project (Version 7.0)

This dataset by the Electoral Integrity Project evaluates the quality of elections held around the world. Based on a rolling survey collecting the views of election experts, this research provides independent and reliable evidence to compare whether countries meet international standards of electoral integrity. PEI-7.0 cumulative release covers 336 national parliamentary and presidential contests held worldwide in 166 countries from 1 July 2012 to 31 December 2018.

#### 4.62.1 Electoral Integrity Rating (pei eir)

Overall how would you rate the integrity of this election on a scale from 1 (very poor) to 10 (very good)?



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.62.2 Electoral Integrity Rating, Higher C.I. (pei eirhci)

The higher bound of the 95% confidence interval for either the election or the country level.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.62.3 Electoral Integrity Rating, Lower C.I. (pei eirlci)

The lower bound of the 95% confidence interval for either the election or the country level.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

#### 4.62.4 Elected Office (pei off)

What government body was this election for?

- 0. Legislative
- 1. Presidential
- 2. Both

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A



N: 36

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### Perception of Electoral Integrity Index (pei peii) 4.62.5

The PEI index is designed to provide an overall summary evaluation of expert perceptions that an election meets international standards and global norms. It is generated at the individual level using experts' answers to the 49 substantive variables below. Therefore, an Index score is missing if an expert does not answer a question. The 49 scores are summed and then standardized to a 100 point scale.



N: 33



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### Perception of Electoral Integrity Index Type (pei peit) 4.62.6

Classification of the PEI Index on five categories.

- 1. Very Low
- 2. Low
- 3. Moderate
- 4. High
- 5. Very High



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### 4.63**Ouattara and Standaert**

https://users.ugent.be/~sastanda/Data.html (Ouattara & Standaert, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-07-01)

#### The Property Rights Protection index

Over the last two decades, numerous studies have tried to quantify the effect of property rights on a wide range of societal outcomes, including growth, trade, and, to a lesser extent, inequality. However, a major limitation of these studies has been the data measuring property rights. These suffer from a

number of shortcomings, including a lack of availability, focus, and objectivity.

Ouattara and Standaert address this gap by composing a new index of property rights that strictly focuses on the protection of these rights. As is common with indicators of governance, there is little to no objective data available that can be used to directly compare the security of property rights across countries. Instead, perception-based indicators such as survey-data or expert assessments are used to capture the opinion of a range of actors. The researchers approach is to combine a data set of 18 such indicators from 7 different sources. The selection of an indicator depending on whether it directly measured 'the degree to which a country's laws protect private property rights and the degree to which its government enforces those laws, including the probability that private property is expropriated'. By focusing on property rights alone, this allows the researchers to disentangle its effect from that of the overall quality of the judicial system and other aspects of the institutional framework. This ensures a better match between theoretical models and empirical tests on the effects of property rights.

This is done for as wide a group of countries and as long a time span as possible, increasing the index coverage by as much as 45% compared to other indexes - this index covers 191 countries cross twenty-year period between 1994 - 2014.

#### 4.63.1 The Property Right Protection Index (prp prp)

The Poperty Rights Index measures (the perception of) the security of property rights, separately from other aspects of the rule of law. It combines all publicly available information on the perception of the security of property rights (18 singular indicators of property rights).



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1994 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.63.2 Estimated variance of the PRP point estimate (prp\_std)

Estimated variance of the Property Rights Protection estimate.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1994 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.64 Feenstra, Inklaar and Timmer

http://www.rug.nl/ggdc/productivity/pwt/ (Feenstra et al., 2015) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-21)

#### Penn World Table

PWT version 10.0 is a database with information on relative levels of income, output, input and productivity, covering 183 countries between 1950 and 2019.

#### 4.64.1 Capital services at constant 2017 national prices (2017=1) (pwt\_cs)

Capital services at constant 2017 national prices (2017 = 1).





Min. Year:1954 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2045  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 57

4.64.2 Capital services levels at current PPPs (USA=1) (pwt csppp)

Capital services levels at current PPPs (USA = 1).



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1954 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2045  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 57

#### 4.64.3 Share of government consumption at current PPPs (pwt gc)

Share of government consumption at current PPPs.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

#### 4.64.4 Human capital index, see note hc (pwt hci)

Human capital index, based on years of schooling (Barro & Lee, 2010) and assumed returns, based on Mincer equation estimates around the world.





Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

4.64.5 Share of merchandise exports at current PPPs (pwt me)

Share of merchandise exports at current PPPs.



4.64.6 Share of merchandise imports at current PPPs (pwt mi)

Share of merchandise imports at current PPPs.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

#### 4.64.7 Price level of capital formation, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt plcf)

Price level of capital formation, price level of USA GDP (output side) in 2017=1



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

**4.64.8** Price level of the capital services, price level of USA=1 (pwt\_plcs) Price level of the capital stock, price level of USA 2017 = 1.





Min. Year:1954 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2045  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 57

4.64.9 Price level of exports, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt\_ple) Price level of exports, price level of USA GDP(output side) in 2017=1



## 4.64.10 Price level of government consumption, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt\_plgc)

Price level of government consumption, price level of USA GDP (output side) in 2017=1



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

## 4.64.11 Price level of household consumption, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt\_plhc)

Price level of household consumption, price level of USA GDP (output side) in 2017=1



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

**4.64.12** Price level of imports, price level of USA GDPo in 2017=1 (pwt\_pli) Price level of imports, price level of USA GDP (output side) in 2017=1



N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.64.13 Population (in millions) (pwt\_pop)

Population (in millions).



4.64.14 Real GDP at constant 2017 national prices (in mil. 2017US dollar) (pwt\_rgdp) Real GDP at constant 2017 national prices (in mil. 2017 US dollar).



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

## 4.64.15 Share of residual trade and GDP statistical discrepancy at current PPPs (pwt rt)

Share of residual trade and GDP statistical discrepancy at current PPPs.





Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

4.64.16 Share of gross capital formation at current PPPs (pwt sgcf)

Share of gross capital formation at current PPPs.





Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60





**4.64.18** Share of labour compensation in GDP at current national prices (pwt\_slcgdp) Share of labour compensation in GDP at current national prices.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

#### 4.64.19 TFP at constant national prices (2017=1) (pwt tfp)

Total Factor Productivity (TFP) at constant national prices (2017=1).



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1954 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2045  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 57

#### 4.64.20 TFP level at current PPPs (USA=1) (pwt\_tppp)

Total Factor Productivity (TFP) level at current PPPs (USA=1).





Min. Year:1954 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2045  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 57

4.64.21 Exchange rate, national currency/USD (market+estimated) (pwt\_xr) Exchange rate, national currency/USD (market+estimated).





Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2163  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

### 4.65 Dahlstrom, Teorell, Dahlberg, Hartmann, Lindberg and Nistotskaya

https://www.gu.se/en/quality-government/qog-data/data-downloads/qog-expert-survey (Dahlstrom et al., 2015) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-18)

#### The QoG Expert Survey (2014 wave)

The QoG Survey is a data set on the structure and behavior of public administration, based on a web survey. The dataset covers key dimensions of quality of government, such as politicization, professionalization, openness, and impartiality.

Included in the QoG dataset are three indexes, each based on a group of questions from the survey. When constructing the indexes authors excluded countries with less than three responding experts.

The confidence interval variables give the higher and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

#### 4.65.1 Impartial Public Administration (qs impar)

Impartial Public Administration: The index measures to what extent government institutions exercise their power impartially. The impartiality norm is defined as: "When implementing laws and policies, government officials shall not take into consideration anything about the citizen/case that is not beforehand stipulated in the policy or the law".

The index is constructed by adding each measure weighted by the factor loading obtained from a principle components factor analysis. Missing values on one or more of the questions have been imputed on the individual expert level. After that, aggregation to the country level has been made (mean value of all experts per country).



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

4.65.2 Impartial Public Administration - Confidence Interval (High) (qs\_impar\_cih) Impartial Public Administration Confidence Interval (High).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.65.3 Impartial Public Administration - Confidence Interval (Low) (qs\_impar\_cil)

Impartial Public Administration Confidence Interval (Low).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.65.4 Professional Public Administration (qs proff)

Professional Public Administration: The index measures to what extent the public administration is professional rather than politicized. Higher values indicate a more professionalized public administration. It is based on four questions from the survey.

The index is constructed by first taking the mean for each responding expert of the four questions above. The value for each country is then calculated as the mean of all the experts' means. (If one or more answers are missing, these questions are ignored when calculating the mean value for each expert. The scales of the second and third questions are reversed so that higher values indicate more professionalism).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.65.5 Professional Public Administration - Confidence Interval (High) (qs\_proff\_cih) Professional Public Administration Confidence Interval (High).



Ain. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.65.6 Professional Public Administration - Confidence Interval (Low) (qs\_proff\_cil) Professional Public Administration Confidence Interval (Low).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 35

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.66 Philip G. Roeder

http://weber.ucsd.edu/~proeder/elf.htm (Roeder, 2001) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-05)

#### Ethnolinguistic Fractionalization (ELF) Indices, 1961 and 1985

Indices are computed from population estimates of different sources. For details, please follow link above.

#### 4.66.1 Ethnolinguistic fractionalization (1985) (r\_elf85)

Ethnolinguistic fractionalization 1985: Reflects probability that two randomly selected people from a given country will not belong to the same ethnolinguistic group, where the latter is defined without collapsing any sub-groups in the sources. (For original sources, see Roeder 2001).



N: 30



Min. Year:1985 Max. Year: 2020 N: 30 n: 1074  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 36

#### 4.67 Michael L Ross

https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/ZTPWOY (Ross & Mahdavi, 2015) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-18)

#### Oil and Gas Data, 1932-2014

Global dataset of oil and natural gas production, prices, exports, and net exports. These data are based on the best available information about the volume and value of oil and natural gas production in all countries from 1932 to 2014. The volume figures are from the documents listed in the original source; to calculate the total value of production, the author multiplies the volume by the world price for oil or gas. Since these are world prices for a single (benchmark) type of oil/gas, they only approximate the actual price - which varies by country according to the quality, the terms of contracts, the timing of the transactions, and other factors. These figures do not tell how much revenues were collected by governments or companies - only the approximate volume and value of production. Data on oil production from 1946 to 1969, and gas production from 1955 (when it first was reported) to 1969, are from the US Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook, for various years.

#### 4.67.1 Gas exports, billion cubic feet per year (ross gas exp)

Gas exports, billion cubic feet per year.

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2013 N: 36 n: 849  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 24

4.67.2 Net gas exports value, constant 2000 dollar (ross\_gas\_netexp) Net gas exports value, constant 2000 dollar.





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



**4.67.3** Net gas exports value per capita, constant 2000 dollar (ross\_gas\_netexpc) Net gas exports value per capita, constant.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2013 N: 36 n: 847  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 24

### 4.67.4 Constant price of gas in 2000 dollar/mboe (ross\_gas\_price)

Constant price of gas in 2000 dollar/mboe.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 2130  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 59

4.67.5 Gas production, million barrels oil equiv. (ross\_gas\_prod) Gas production, million barrels oil equiv.



4.67.6 Gas production value in 2000 dollars (ross gas value 2000)

Gas production value in 2000 dollars.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34



Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 1815  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 50

4.67.7 Gas production value in 2014 dollars (ross gas value 2014)

Gas production value in 2014 dollars.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 34



Min. Year:1955 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 1815  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 50





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1984 Max. Year: 2013 N: 36 n: 1017  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 28

4.67.9 Net oil exports value, constant 2000 dollar (ross\_oil\_netexp) Net oil exports value, constant 2000 dollar.

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1984 Max. Year: 2013 N: 36 n: 1017  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 28

4.67.10 Net oil exports value per capita, constant 2000 dollar (ross\_oil\_netexpc) Net oil exports value per capita, constant.



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1984 Max. Year: 2013 N: 36 n: 1017  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 28

4.67.11 Constant price of oil in 2000 dollar/brl (ross\_oil\_price) Constant price of oil in 2000 dollar/brl.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 2130  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 59

#### 4.67.12 Oil production in metric tons (ross oil prod)

Oil production in metric tons.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 1911  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 53

4.67.13 Oil production value in 2000 dollars (ross\_oil\_value\_2000)Oil production value in 2000 dollars.



4.67.14 Oil production value in 2014 dollars (ross oil value 2014)

Oil production value in 2014 dollars.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 1911  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 53

### 4.68 Reporters Sans Frontières

http://en.rsf.org/ (Reporters Without Borders, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-22)

#### World Press Freedom

The Reporters Without Borders World Press Freedom Index ranks the performance of 180 countries according to a range of criteria that include media pluralism and independence, respect for the safety and freedom of journalists, and the legislative, institutional and infrastructural environment in which the media operate.

#### 4.68.1 Press Freedom Index (rsf pfi)

The Press Freedom index measures the amount of freedom journalists and the media have in each country and the efforts made by governments to see that press freedom is respected. It does not take account of all human rights violations, only those that affect press freedom. Neither is it an indicator of the quality of a country's media.

Note: With the exception of the year 2012 the index ranges between 0 (total press freedom) and 100 (no press freedom). However for the 2012 data release RSF changed the scale so that negative values can be and indeed are assigned to countries with more press freedom. We have decided leave the data as is.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 2003 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 607  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 17
# 4.69 Borcan, Olsson and Putterman

https://sites.google.com/site/econolaols/extended-state-history-index (Borcan et al., 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-05)

#### Extended State History Index

The data set extends and replaces previous versions of the State Antiquity Index (originally created by Bockstette, Chanda and Putterman, 2002). The updated data extends the previous Statehist data into the years before 1 CE, to the first states in Mesopotamia (in the fourth millennium BCE), along with filling in the years 1951 - 2000 CE that were left out of past versions of the Statehist data.

The construction of the index follows the principles developed by Bockstette et al (2002). First, the duration of state existence is established for each territory defined by modern-day country borders. Second, this duration is divided into 50-year periods. For each half-century from the first period (state emergence) onwards, the authors assign scores to reflect three dimensions of state presence, based on the following questions: 1) Is there a government above the tribal level? 2) Is this government foreign or locally based? 3) How much of the territory of the modern country was ruled by this government?

# 4.69.1 State History Index, with the discounting rates 0% (sai statehiste0)

State History Index. Discounted values of the overall country indicators with the discounting rates 0%.





 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

# 4.69.2 State History Index, with the discounting rates 1% (sai statehiste01)

State History Index. Discounted values of the overall country indicators with the discounting rates 1%.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.69.3 State History Index, with the discounting rates 10% (sai statehiste1)

State History Index. Discounted values of the overall country indicators with the discounting rates 10%.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.69.4 Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 0% (sai\_statehisten0)

Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 0%.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.69.5 Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 1% (sai\_statehisten01)

Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 1%.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.69.6 Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 10% (sai\_statehisten1)

Normalized Values State History Index, with the discounting rates 10%.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.70 Bertelsmann Stiftung

https://www.sgi-network.org/2020/ (Schiller et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-23)

#### Sustainable Governance Indicators

The SGI is a platform built on a cross-national survey of governance that identifies reform needs in 41 EU and OECD countries. SGI explores how governments target sustainable development and advocate for more sustainable governance built on three pillars:

- Policy Performance
- Democracy
- Governance

### 4.70.1 Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Overall (sgi ec)

Policy Performance: Economic Policies (Economy, Labor Market, Taxes, Budgets, Research and Innovation, Global Financial System)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.70.2 Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Budgets (sgi\_ecbg)

Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Budgets (Budgetary Policy, Debt to GDP, Primary Balance, Debt Interest Ratio, Budget Consolidation)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.3 Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Economy (sgi ecec)

Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Economy (Economic Policy, GDP per Capita, Inflation, Gross Fixed Capital Formation, Real Interest Rate, Potential Output Growth Rate)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A



Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Global Financial System (Stabilizing Global Financial System, Tier 1 Capital Ratio, Banks' Nonperforming Loans)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.5 Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Labor Markets (sgi eclm)

Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Labor Market (Labor Market Policy, Unemployment, Longterm Unemployment, Youth Unemployment, Low-skilled Unemployment, Employment, Low Pay Incidence)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.70.6 Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Research, Innovation and Infrastructure (sgi\_ecri)

Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Research, Innovation and Infrastructure (Research and Innovation Policy, Public R&D Spending, Non-public R&D Spending, Total Researchers, Intellectual Property Licenses, PCT Patent Applications)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.7 Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Taxes (sgi\_ectx)

Policy Performance: Economic Policies - Taxes (Tax Policy, Tax System Complexity, Structural Balance, Marginal Tax Burden for Businesses, Redistribution Effect)





 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.70.8 Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Overall (sgi en)

Policy Performance: Environmental Policies (Environment, Global Environmental Protection)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.9 Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Environment (sgi enen)

Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Environment (Environmental Policy, Energy Productivity, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Particulate Matter, Water Usage, Waste Generation, Material Recycling, Biodiversity, Renewable Energy)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.70.10 Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Global Environmental Protection (sgi\_enge)

Policy Performance: Environmental Policies - Global Environmental Protection (Global Environmental Policy, Multilateral Environmental Agreements, Kyoto Participation and Achievements)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.70.11 Governance (sgi\_go)

This pillar of the SGI examines the governance capacities of a political system in terms of its executive capability and accountability. Sustainable governance is defined here as the political management of public affairs that adopts a long-term view of societal development, takes into account the interests of future generations, and facilitates capacities for social change.

The Governance index examines how effective governments are in directing and implementing policies appropriate to these three goals. As a measuring tool grounded in practical evidence, the Governance index draws on 37 qualitative indicators posed in an expert survey that measure a country's institutional arrangements against benchmarks of good practices in governance. Governance in this context implies both the capacity to act ("executive capacity") and the extent to which non-governmental actors and institutions are endowed with the participatory competence to hold the government accountable to its actions ("executive accountability"). This includes citizens, legislatures, parties, associations and the media, that is, actors that monitor the government's activities and whose effective inclusion in the political process improve the quality of governance.

The dimension of Executive Capacity draws on the categories of steering capability, policy implementation and institutional learning. Steering capability questions explore the roles of strategic planning and expert advice, the effectiveness of interministerial coordination and regulatory impact assessments, and the quality of consultation and communication policies. Questions about implementation assess the government's ability to ensure effective and efficient task delegation to ministers, agencies or subnational governments. Questions on institutional learning refer to a government's ability to reform its own institutional arrangements and improve its strategic orientation.

The dimension of Executive Accountability is comprised of three categories corresponding to actors or groups of actors considered to be important agents of oversight and accountability in theories of democracy and governance. The questions here are designed to examine the extent to which citizens are informed of government policies, whether the legislature is capable of evaluating and acting as a "check" on the executive branch, and whether intermediary organizations (i.e., media, parties, interest associations) demonstrate relevance and policy know-how in exercising oversight. This approach is based on a dynamic understanding of governance in which power and authority is dispersed throughout the institutions, processes and structures of government. In order to account for the diversity of institutional arrangements, the index explicitly considers functional equivalencies in different countries, and pays equal attention to formal and informal as well as hierarchical and non-hierarchical institutional arrangements.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.12 Governance: Executive Accountability (sgi goea)

Governance: Executive Accountability (Citizens, Legislature, Intermediary Organizations)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.13 Governance: Executive Capacity (sgi goec)

Governance: Executive Capacity (Steering Capability, Policy Implementation, Institutional Learning)







 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.70.14 Policy Performance (sgi\_pp)

This pillar of the SGI examines each country's policy performance in terms of three dimensions of sustainable development. If the goal of politics is to promote sustainable development, and if citizens are to be empowered to live their lives in accordance with their own individual talents, then governments must be able to establish and maintain the social, economic and environmental conditions for such well-being and empowerment. The conditions for social progress must be generated by suitable outcomes in certain policy fields. Such outcomes are examined by the Policy Performance pillar, which is comprised of 16 policy fields grouped in terms of economic, social and environmental sustainability. Each policy field is addressed by a qualitative assessment and additional quantitative data. The point here is to examine domestic policymaking as well as the extent to which governments actively contribute to the provision of global public goods. The areas examined are:

1. Economic Policies: economy, labor markets, taxes, budgets, research and innovation, global financial system

2. Social Policies: education, social inclusion, health, families, pensions, integration policy, safe living conditions, global inequalities

3. Environmental Policies: environment policy, global environmental protection

Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.15 Quality of Democracy (sgi qd)

This pillar of the SGI examines the quality of democracy in each country. From the perspective of long-term system stability and political performance, the quality of democracy and political participation are crucial aspects of a society's success. The stability and performance of a political system depends in large part upon the assent and confidence of its citizens. Democratic participation and oversight are also essential to genuine learning and adaptation processes, and to the ability to change. In this sense, guaranteeing opportunities for democratic participation and oversight, as well as the presence of due process and respect for civil rights, are fundamental prerequisites for the legitimacy of a political system. The quality of democracy in each country is measured against a definitional norm that considers issues relating to participation rights, electoral competition, access to information and the rule of law. Given that all OECD and EU member states constitute democracies, the questions posed here focus on the quality rather than the presence of democracy. Individual indicators monitor the following criteria:

- 1. Electoral processes
- 2. Access to information
- 3. Civil rights and political liberties
- 4. Rule of law



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.16 Quality of Democracy: Access to Information (sgi\_qdai)

Quality of Democracy: Access to Information (Media Freedom, Media Pluralism, Access to Government Information)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.17 Quality of Democracy: Civil Rights and Political Liberties (sgi qdcr)

Quality of Democracy: Civil Rights and Political Liberties (Civil Rights, Political Liberties, Non-discrimination)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.18 Quality of Democracy: Electoral Process (sgi qdep)

Quality of Democracy: Electoral Process (Candidacy Procedures, Media Access, Voting and Registration Rights, Party Financing, Popular Decision-making)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.70.19 Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law (sgi qdrl)

Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law (Legal Certainty, Judicial Review, Appointment of Justices, Corruption Prevention)



# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.70.20 Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law - Corruption Prevention (sgi\_qdrlc)

Quality of Democracy: Rule of Law - Corruption Prevention. To what extent are public officeholders prevented from abusing their position for private interests? This question addresses how the state and society prevent public servants and politicians from accepting bribes by applying mechanisms to guarantee the integrity of officeholders: auditing of state spending; regulation of party financing; citizen and media access to information; accountability of officeholders (asset declarations, conflict of interest rules, codes of conduct); transparent public procurement systems; effective prosecution of corruption. (1, 2): Public officeholders can exploit their offices for private gain as they see fit without fear of legal consequences or adverse publicity. (3, 4, 5): Some integrity mechanisms function, but do not effectively prevent public officeholders from abusing their positions. (6, 7, 8): Most integrity mechanisms function effectively and provide disincentives for public officeholders willing to abuse their positions. (9, 10): Legal, political and public integrity mechanisms effectively prevent public officeholders.





 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.21 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Overall (sgi so)

Policy Performance: Social Policies (Education, Social Inclusion, Health, Families, Pensions, Integration, Safe Living, Global Inequalities)





Policy Performance: Social Policies - Education (Education Policy, Upper Secondary Attainment, Tertiary Attainment, Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) Results, Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) Socioeconomic Background, Pre-primary Expenditure)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.23 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Families (sgi sofa)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Families (Family Policy, Child Care Density Age 0-2, Child Care Density Age 3-5, Fertility Rate, Child Poverty Rate)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

### 4.70.24 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Global Social Inequalities (sgi\_sogi)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Global Inequalities (Global Social Policy, Official Development Assistance (ODA))



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.25 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Health (sgi sohe)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Health (Health Policy, Spending on Health Programs, Life Expectancy, Infant Mortality, Perceived Health Status)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.26 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Integration Policy (sgi soin)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Integration (Integration Policy, Foreign-born to Native Upper Secondary Attainment, Foreign-born to Native Tertiary Attainment, Foreign-born to Native Unemployment, Foreign-born to Native Employment)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.70.27 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Pensions (sgi\_sope)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Pensions (Pension Policy, Older Employment, Old Age Dependency Ratio, Senior Citizen Poverty)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.70.28 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Social Inclusion (sgi sosi)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Social Inclusion (Social Inclusion Policy, Poverty Rate, NEET Rate, Gini Coefficient, Gender Equality in Parliaments, Life Satisfaction)



# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.70.29 Policy Performance: Social Policies - Safe Living Conditions (sgi sosl)

Policy Performance: Social Policies - Safe Living (Internal Security Policy, Homicides, Thefts, Confidence in Police)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

# 4.71 Elgin and Oztunali

http://www.econ.boun.edu.tr/public\_html/RePEc/pdf/201205.pdf (Elgin & Oztunali, 2012) (Data downloaded: 2015-10-06)

#### Shadow Economies: Model Based estimates (2012)

The authors use a two-sector dynamic general equilibrium model; they developed an approach to estimate the size of the shadow economy. Compared to the methods used in the current literature, this approach overcomes three main issues. First, it does not rely on ad-hoc econometric specifications and assumptions. Second, as it does not estimate the size of the shadow economy using statistical methods, it does not include statistical errors. Finally, as opposed to the currently existing methods, it does not lack micro-foundations.

#### 4.71.1 Level of the shadow economy (shec se)

Level of the shadow economy



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2009 N: 36 n: 1794  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 50

# 4.72 Social Progress Imperative

https://www.socialprogress.org/index/global (The Social Progress Imperative, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-12-02)

## Social Progress Index

The Social Progress Index (SPI) is a well-established measure, published since 2013, that is meant to catalyze improvement and drive action by presenting social outcome data in a useful and reliable way. The 2020 Social Progress Index ranks 163 countries on social progress. It combines 50 social and environmental outcome indicators to calculate an overall score for these countries, based on tiered levels of scoring that include measures in health, safety, education, technology, rights, and more. In addition to the overall scores, three broad dimensions of social progress are also measured: Basic Human Needs, Foundations of Wellbeing, and Opportunity. It also considers the data of 30 additional countries, calculating component and dimension scores when enough data are available. In all, the SPI measures at least some aspects of social progress across more than 99.85% of the world's population.

# 4.72.1 Basic Human Needs (SPI) (spi bn)

Basic Human Needs is one of the three components of the SPI, which are used to calculate the overall Social Progress Index. It assesses a population's capacity to survive with adequate nourishment and basic medical care, clean water, sanitation, adequate shelter, and personal safety.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:2010 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 360  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 10

# 4.72.2 Foundations of Wellbeing (SPI) (spi fob)

Foundations of Wellbeing is one of the three components of the SPI, which are used to calculate the overall Social Progress Index. It highlights the extent to which a country's residents can gain a basic education, obtain information and communicate freely, benefit from a modern healthcare system, and live in a healthy environment conducive to a long life.



# 4.72.3 Opportunity (SPI) (spi opp)

Opportunity is one of the three components of the SPI, which are used to calculate the overall Social Progress Index. Indicators on personal rights, personal freedom and choice, inclusiveness, and access to advanced education are used to assess the level of opportunity.



#### 4.72.4 Social Progress Index (spi ospi)

Overall Social Progress Index. It aims to assess the capacity of a society to meet the basic human needs of its citizens, establish the building blocks that allow citizens and communities to enhance and sustain the quality of their lives, and create the conditions for all individuals to reach their full potential.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:2010 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 360  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 10

# 4.73 The Political Terror Scale project

http://www.politicalterrorscale.org/Data/Documentation-SVS.html (Gibney et al., 2018) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-04)

#### The Societal Violence Scale

The Societal Violence Scale seeks to develop measures of societal violence based on annual US State Department's Human Rights reports. The Societal Violence Scale ranks countries on a 5-point scale (from the lowest level of societal violence to the highest) based on three criteria. First, the authors look at scope: the proportion of society that is victimized. Thus, widespread violence against women (who account for 50 percent of the population) figures more heavily in the final score than widespread abuses against human rights defenders, who represent a very small number. The authors also look at the severity of abuses. For example, evidence that human rights defenders are killed weighs more heavily than beatings of human rights defenders. Likewise, while women are routinely subjected to sexual violence and domestic violence, the addition of other types of violence against women like gang rape, sex trafficking, and/or FGM/C adds to the assessment of severity.

#### 4.73.1 Societal Violence Scale Index 1-5 (svs ind)

The Societal Violence Scale is coded on a 5-point scale where:

1 Societal violence is limited in scope and severity, with relatively few victims and few perpetrators. 2 Societal violence is a problem, affecting a significant number of victims, albeit across few victim categories and of a less severe nature.

3 Societal violence is widespread and serious in nature. It affects a significant number of people across several victim categories.

4 Societal violence is pervasive in scope, severe in nature, assumes a variety of forms and affects a large proportion of the population typically across several victim categories and perpetrators.

5 Societal violence is ubiquitous in scope, egregious in nature and assumes a variety of forms. If affects a large proportion of the population, commonly crossing numerous victim groups and perpetrators.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2015 N: 35

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

# 4.74 Transparency International

https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2020 (Transparency International, 2021) (Data downloaded: 2021.01.28)

# **Corruption Perceptions Index**

The CPI focuses on corruption in the public sector and defines corruption as the abuse of public office for private gain. The surveys used in compiling the CPI tend to ask questions in line with the misuse of public power for private benefit, with a focus, for example, on bribe-taking by public officials in public procurement. The sources do not distinguish between administrative and political corruption. The CPI Score relates to perceptions of the degree of corruption as seen by business people, risk analysts and the general public and ranges between 100 (highly clean) and 0 (highly corrupt).

Note: The time-series information in the CPI scores can only be used if interpreted with caution. Year-to-year shifts in a country's score can result not only from a changing perception of a country's performance but also from a changing sample and methodology. That is, with differing respondents and slightly differing methodologies, a change in a country's score may also relate to the fact that different viewpoints have been collected and different questions have been asked. Moreover, each country's CPI score is composed as a 3-year moving average, implying that if changes occur they only gradually affect a country's score. For a more detailed discussion of comparability over time in the CPI, see Lambsdorff 2005.

Note: In 2012 TI changed methodology for which the data is no comparable and only data from 2012 can be compared.

Also, the observation "Belgium/Luxembourg" from the 1995 data has been dropped.

The Corruption Perception Index (2018) by Transparency International is licensed under CC-BY-ND 4.0

# 4.74.1 Corruption Perceptions Index (ti cpi)

Corruption Perceptions Index. Scale of 0-100 where a 0 equals the highest level of perceived corruption and 100 equals the lowest level of perceived corruption.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

## 4.74.2 Corruption Perceptions Index - max range (ti cpi max)

Corruption Perceptions Index - Max Range. Highes possible value of the CPI for a country according to the 95% confidence interval.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 4.74.3 Corruption Perceptions Index - max range (old method.) (ti cpi max om)

Corruption Perceptions Index - Max Range (Old methodology). Highes possible value of the CPI for a country according to the 95% confidence interval.



# 4.74.4 Corruption Perceptions Index - min range (ti cpi min)

Corruption Perceptions Index - Min Range. Lowest possible value of the CPI for a country according to the 95% confidence interval.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.74.5 Corruption Perceptions Index - min range (old method.) (ti cpi min om)

Corruption Perceptions Index - Min Range (Old methodology). Lowest possible value of the CPI for a country according to the 95% confidence interval.





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2011 N: 36 n: 431  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.74.6 Corruption Perceptions Index (old methodology) (ti cpi om)

Corruption Perceptions Index (Old methodology). Scale of 0-10 where a 0 equals the highest level of perceived corruption and 10 equals the lowest level of perceived corruption.

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2011 N: 36 n: 585  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 16

## 4.75 World Inequality Lab

http://wid.world/data/ (Alvaredo et al., 2020b) (Alvaredo et al., 2020a) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-02)

#### World Inequality Database

Built to accompany the publishing of the two books Top Incomes: a Global Perspective (2010, Oxford University Press) and Top Incomes over the XX Century (2007, Oxford University Press), the World Top Incomes Database offers the most comprehensive set of historical series on income inequality available so far. In the 2010 book, the authors analyze the long term evolution of top incomes in 12 new countries (after the 10 initial countries analysed in the 2007 book).

#### 4.75.1 Top 10% income share (top top10 income share)

Top 10% income share, equal-split adults. Pre-tax national income share held by a given percentile group. Pre-tax national income is the sum of all pre-tax personal income flows accruing to the owners of the production factors, labor and capital, before taking into account the operation of the tax/transfer system, but after taking into account the operation of pension system. The central difference between personal factor income and pre-tax income is the treatment of pensions, which are counted on a contribution basis by factor income and on a distribution basis by pre-tax income. The population is comprised of individuals over age 20. The base unit is the individual (rather than the household) but resources are split equally within couples.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 1431  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 43

### 4.75.2 Top 1% income share (top top1 income share)

Top 1% income share, equal-split adults. Pre-tax national income share held by a given percentile group. Pre-tax national income is the sum of all pre-tax personal income flows accruing to the owners of the production factors, labor and capital, before taking into account the operation of the tax/transfer system, but after taking into account the operation of pension system. The central difference between personal factor income and pre-tax income is the treatment of pensions, which are counted on a contribution basis by factor income and on a distribution basis by pre-tax income. The population is comprised of individuals over age 20. The base unit is the individual (rather than the household) but resources are split equally within couples.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33



Min. Year: 1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33 n: 1472  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 45

# 4.76 UCDP/PRIO

http://ucdp.uu.se/downloads/ (Pettersson et al., 2020) (Harborn et al., 2008) (Pettersson, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-09-24)

# UCDP Dyadic Dataset version 20.1

The UCDP Dyadic Dataset is a project within the Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP) at the Department of Peace and Conflict Research, Uppsala University. The UCDP Dyadic dataset builds on the UCDP/PRIO Armed Conflict dataset, but goes beyond the conflict level and focuses on dyads within each conflict. As such, it constitutes a disaggregated version of the UCDP/PRIO Armed Conflict dataset.

### 4.76.1 Internationalized internal armed conflict (ucdp type4)

Number of internationalized internal armed conflicts per country in a given year. Internationalized internal armed conflict occurs between the government of a state and one or more internal opposition group(s) with intervention from other states (secondary parties) on one or both sides.



N: 33



Min. Year:1957 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 533  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 15

# 4.77 Pemstein, Meserve and Melton

http://www.unified-democracy-scores.org/uds.html (Pemstein et al., 2010) (Data downloaded: 2019-07-31)

#### Unified Democracy Scores

The Unified Democracy Scores (UDS) now covers the time period 1946-2012. These new scores incorporate recent updates to three of the ten original measures - Freedom House (2014), Polity IV (Marshall et al. 2012), and VanHanen (2012) - that feature in the analysis that the authors report in their 2010 article. In addition, the current release adds a recently developed measure of democracy - Economist Intelligence Unit (2012) - to its framework.

# 4.77.1 Unified Demo. Score Posterior (Mean) (uds\_mean)

Unified Democracy Score Posterior (Mean).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1946 Max. Year: 2012 N: 35 n: 2036  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 58

# 4.77.2 Unified Demo. Score Posterior (Median) (uds\_median)

Unified Democracy Score Posterior (Median).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2012 N: 35 n: 2036  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 58

4.77.3 Unified Demo. Score Posterior (2.5 percentile) (uds\_pct025) Unified Democracy Score Posterior (2.5 percentile).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2012 N: 35 n: 2036  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 58

4.77.4 Unified Demo. Score Posterior (97.5 percentile) (uds\_pct975) Unified Democracy Score Posterior (97.5 percentile).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



4.77.5 Unified Demo. Score Posterior (Std. Dev.) (uds\_sd) Unified Democracy Score Posterior (Std. Dev.).

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year: 1946 Max. Year: 2012 N: 35 n: 2036  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 58

# 4.78 United Nations Development Program

http://hdr.undp.org/en/data (United Nations Development Program, 2020b) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-06)

#### Human Development Report

The Human Development Report (HDR) is an annual report published by the Human Development Report Office of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

The entire series of Human Development Index (HDI) values and rankings are recalculated every year using the most recent (revised) data and functional forms. The HDI rankings and values in the 2014 Human Development Report cannot therefore be compared directly to indices published in previous Reports. Please see hdr.undp.org for more information.

The HDI was created to emphasize that people and their capabilities should be the ultimate criteria for assessing the development of a country, not economic growth alone. The HDI can also be used to question national policy choices, asking how two countries with the same level of GNI per capita can end up with different human development outcomes.

#### 4.78.1 Human Development Index (undp hdi)

The HDI was created to emphasize that people and their capabilities should be the ultimate criteria for assessing the development of a country, not economic growth alone. The HDI can also be used to question national policy choices, asking how two countries with the same level of GNI per capita can end up with different human development outcomes. These contrasts can stimulate debate about government policy priorities.

The Human Development Index (HDI) is a summary measure of average achievement in key dimensions of human development: a long and healthy life, being knowledgeable and have a decent standard of living. The HDI is the geometric mean of normalized indices for each of the three dimensions.

The health dimension is assessed by life expectancy at birth, the education dimension is measured by mean of years of schooling for adults aged 25 years and more and expected years of schooling for children of school entering age. The standard of living dimension is measured by gross national income per capita. The HDI uses the logarithm of income, to reflect the diminishing importance of income with increasing GNI. The scores for the three HDI dimension indices are then aggregated into a composite index using geometric mean. Refer to Technical notes for more details.

The HDI simplifies and captures only part of what human development entails. It does not reflect on inequalities, poverty, human security, empowerment, etc. The HDRO offers the other composite indices as broader proxy on some of the key issues of human development, inequality, gender disparity and human poverty.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1064  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 30

# 4.79 UNESCO

http://data.uis.unesco.org/ (UNESCO, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-03)

# **UNESCO** Institute for Statistics

The UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) is the official and trusted source of internationallycomparable data on education, science, culture and communication. As the official statistical agency of UNESCO, the UIS produces a wide range of state-of-the-art databases to fuel the policies and investments needed to transform lives and propel the world towards its development goals. The UIS provides free access to data for all UNESCO countries and regional groupings from 1970 to the most recent year available.

### 4.79.1 Cinema expenditure per capita (une cinexp)

Cinema expenditure per capita.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 1086  $\overline{N}$ : 23  $\overline{T}$ : 30

# 4.79.2 Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, both (une\_girlglsf)

Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, female (%).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 31



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2020 N: 33 n: 662  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 20

# 4.79.3 Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, femal (une girlglsm)

Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, male (%).



# 4.79.4 Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, male (une\_girlglst)

Gross intake ratio to the last grade of lower secondary general education, both sexes (%).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2020 N: 31



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2020 N: 33 n: 576  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 17

4.79.5 Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, female (%) (une\_girlgpf)

Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, female (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



# 4.79.6 Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, male (%) (une\_girlgpm)

Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, male (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 763  $\overline{N}$ : 16  $\overline{T}$ : 22

### 4.79.7 Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, both sexes (%) (une\_girlgpt)

Gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary education, both sexes (%).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 854  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 25

### 4.79.8 Official entrance age to early childhood education (years) (une oaeece)

Official entrance age to early childhood education (years). Age at which students would enter a given programme or level of education assuming they start at the official entrance age for the lowest level of education, study full-time throughout and progressed through the system without repeating or skipping a grade. The theoretical entrance age to a given programme or level is typically, but not always, the most common entrance age.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2020 N: 35 n: 752  $\overline{N}$ : 15  $\overline{T}$ : 21

### 4.79.9 Official entrance age to primary education (years) (une oaepe)

Official entrance age to primary education (years). Age at which students would enter a given programme or level of education assuming they start at the official entrance age for the lowest level of education, study full-time throughout and progressed through the system without repeating or skipping a grade. The theoretical entrance age to a given programme or level is typically, but not always, the most common entrance age.



#### 4.79.10 Official entrance age to compulsory education (years) (une oeace)

Official entrance age to compulsory education (years). Age at which students would enter a given programme or level of education assuming they start at the official entrance age for the lowest level of education, study full-time throughout and progressed through the system without repeating or skipping a grade. The theoretical entrance age to a given programme or level is typically, but not always, the most common entrance age.



lin. Year:2017 Max. Year: 201 N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 811  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 23

#### 4.79.11 Official entrance age to lower secondary education (years) (une oeals)

Official entrance age to lower secondary education (years). Age at which students would enter a given programme or level of education assuming they start at the official entrance age for the lowest level of education, study full-time throughout and progressed through the system without repeating or skipping a grade. The theoretical entrance age to a given programme or level is typically, but not always, the most common entrance age.



#### 4.79.12 Official entrance age to post-secondary non-tertiary education (years) (une\_oeapsnt)

Official entrance age to post-secondary non-tertiary education (years). Age at which students would enter a given programme or level of education assuming they start at the official entrance age for the lowest level of education, study full-time throughout and progressed through the system without repeating or skipping a grade. The theoretical entrance age to a given programme or level is typically, but not always, the most common entrance age.



#### 4.79.13 Official entrance age to upper secondary education (years) (une oeaus)

Official entrance age to upper secondary education (years). Age at which students would enter a given programme or level of education assuming they start at the official entrance age for the lowest level of education, study full-time throughout and progressed through the system without repeating or skipping a grade. The theoretical entrance age to a given programme or level is typically, but not always, the most common entrance age.



# 4.79.14 Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), female (%) (une reprisef)

Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), female (%).



# 4.79.15 Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), male (%) (une\_reprisem)

Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), male (%).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 31 n: 376  $\overline{N}$ : 8  $\overline{T}$ : 12

# 4.79.16 Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), both sexes (% (une\_reprlset)

Repetition rate in lower secondary general education (all grades), both sexes (%).





Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 31



**4.79.17** Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), female (%) (une\_reprpef) Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), female (%).





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 855  $\overline{N}$ : 18  $\overline{T}$ : 25

**4.79.18** Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), male (%) (une\_reprpem) Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), male (%).



## 4.79.19 Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), both sexes (%) (une\_reprpet)

Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), both sexes (%).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 30



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 940  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 28

# 4.79.20 Screen per capita (per 100,000 inhabitants) (une screen)

Number of cinema screen per capita (per 100,000 inhabitants).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



**4.79.21** Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, female (%) (une\_surg4pef) Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, female (%).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 662  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 19

# 4.79.22 Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, gender parity index (GPI) (une\_surg4pegpi)

Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, gender parity index (GPI).

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

**Min. Year**:1970 **Max. Year**: 2017 N: 34 n: 661  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 19

**4.79.23** Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, male (%) (une\_surg4pem) Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, male (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 661  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 19

**4.79.24** Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, both sexes (%) (une\_surg4pet) Survival rate to Grade 4 of primary education, both sexes (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



**4.79.25** Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, female (%) (une\_surg5pef) Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, female (%).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33 n: 642  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

4.79.26 Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, gender parity index (GPI) (une\_surg5pegpi)

Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, gender parity index (GPI).

 $\mathbf{N} \colon \mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 

**Min. Year**: 1970 **Max. Year**: 2017 **N**: 33 **n**: 641  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

**4.79.27** Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, male (%) (une\_surg5pem) Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, male (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 33 n: 641  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

**4.79.28** Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, both sexes (%) (une\_surg5pet) Survival rate to Grade 5 of primary education, both sexes (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



**4.79.29** Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, female (%) (une\_surlgpef) Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, female (%).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 32 n: 615  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

4.79.30 Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, gender parity index (GPI) (une\_surlgpegpi)

Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, gender parity index (GPI).

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 32 n: 614  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

**4.79.31** Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, male (%) (une\_surlgpem) Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, male (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year: 1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 32 n: 614  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

# 4.79.32 Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, both sexes (%) (une\_-surlgpet)

Survival rate to the last grade of primary education, both sexes (%).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



# 4.79.33 Theoretical duration of primary education (years) (une tdurce)

Theoretical duration of primary education (years). Number of grades or years in a given level of education.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1682  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 47

# 4.79.34 Theoretical duration of early childhood education (years) (une tdurece)

Theoretical duration of early childhood education (years). Number of grades or years in a given level of education.



#### 4.79.35 Theoretical duration of lower secondary education (years) (une tdurls)

Theoretical duration of lower secondary education (years). Number of grades or years in a given level of education.



### 4.79.36 Theoretical duration of post-secondary non-tertiary education (years) (une\_tdurpsnt)

Theoretical duration of post-secondary non-tertiary education (years). Number of grades or years in a given level of education.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 869  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 24

# 4.79.37 Theoretical duration of upper secondary education (years) (une tdurused)

Theoretical duration of upper secondary education (years). Number of grades or years in a given level of education.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1682  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 47

4.79.38 Teachers in lower secondary education, female (number) (une\_tilsef) Teachers in lower secondary education, female (number).



4.79.39 Teachers in lower secondary education, both sexes (number) (une\_tilset) Teachers in lower secondary education, both sexes (number).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 31



Min. Year:1992 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 550  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 16

4.79.40 Teachers in primary education, female (number) (une\_tipef)

Teachers in primary education, female (number).



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2019 N: 31



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 920  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 26

**4.79.41** Teachers in primary education, both sexes (number) (une\_tipet) Teachers in primary education, both sexes (number).





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1039  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 29

4.79.42 Teachers in pre-primary education, female (number) (une\_tiprepef) Teachers in pre-primary education, female (number).

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 842  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 24

4.79.43 Teachers in pre-primary education, both sexes (number) (une\_tiprepet) Teachers in pre-primary education, both sexes (number).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 30



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 966  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 28

4.79.44 Teachers in secondary education, female (number) (une\_tisef) Teachers in secondary education, female (number).

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



4.79.45 Teachers in secondary education, both sexes (number) (une\_tiset) Teachers in secondary education, both sexes (number).





Min. Year:1971 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 925  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 26

**4.79.46** Teachers in upper secondary education, female (number) (une\_tiusef) Teachers in upper secondary education, female (number).

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A

Min. Year:1993 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 517  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 15

**4.79.47** Teachers in upper secondary education, both sexes (number) (une\_tiuset) Teachers in upper secondary education, both sexes (number).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 30



Min. Year:1992 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34 n: 567  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 17

#### 4.80 Tatu Vanhanen

https://services.fsd.tuni.fi/catalogue/FSD1289?lang=en&study\_language=en (Vanhanen, 2019) (Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2019) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-05)

#### Measures of Democracy 1810-2018

The data contain three different variables, created by Tatu Vanhanen. The variables in question are political competition, political participation and the index of democratization.

#### 4.80.1 Competition (van comp)

The competition variable portrays the electoral success of smaller parties, that is, the percentage of votes gained by the smaller parties in parliamentary and/or presidential elections. The variable is calculated by subtracting from 100 the percentage of votes won by the largest party (the party which wins most votes) in parliamentary elections or by the party of the successful candidate in presidential elections. Depending on their importance, either parliamentary or presidential elections are used in the calculation of the variable, or both elections are used, with weights. If information on the distribution of votes is not available, or if the distribution does not portray the reality accurately, the distribution of parliamentary seats is used instead. If parliament members are elected but political parties are not allowed to take part in elections, it is assumed that one party has taken all votes or seats. In countries where parties are not banned but yet only independent candidates participate in elections, it is assumed that the share of the largest party is not over 30 percent.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2273  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 63

#### 4.80.2 Index of Democratization (van index)

The index of democratization is formed by multiplying the competition and the participation variables and then dividing the outcome by 100.





Min. Year: 1946 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 2273  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 63

# 4.80.3 Participation (van\_part)

The political participation variable portrays the voting turnout in each election, and is calculated as the percentage of the total population who actually voted in the election. In the case of indirect elections, only votes cast in the final election are taken into account. If electors have not been elected by citizens, only the number of actual electors is taken into account, which means that the degree of participation drops to the value 0. If an election to choose electors has been held, the participation variable is calculated from the number and distribution of votes in that election. National referendums raise the variable value by five percent and state (regional) referendums by one percent for the year they are held. Referendums can add the degree of participation at maximum by 30 percent a year. The value of the combined degree of participation cannot be higher than 70 percent, even in cases where the sum of participation and referendums would be higher than 70.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



#### 4.81 Varieties of Democracy

https://v-dem.net/en/data/ (Coppedge et al., 2020) (Pemstein et al., 2019) (Data downloaded: 2020-06-10)

#### Varieties of Democracy Dataset version 10

Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) is a new approach to conceptualizing and measuring democracy. It provides a multidimensional and disaggregated dataset that reflects the complexity of the concept of democracy as a system of rule that goes beyond the simple presence of elections. The V-Dem project distinguishes between five high-level principles of democracy: electoral, liberal, participatory, deliberative, and egalitarian, and collects data to measure these principles.

#### 4.81.1 Academic Freedom Index (vdem academ)

Academic freedom index. To what extent is academic freedom respected?

Clarifications: Academic freedom is understood as the right of academics, without constriction by prescribed doctrine, to freedom of teaching and discussion, freedom in carrying out research and disseminating and publishing the results thereof, freedom to express freely their opinion about the

institution or system in which they work, freedom from institutional censorship and freedom to participate in professional or representative academic bodies (UNESCO 1997 Recommendation concerning the Status of Higher-Education Teaching Personnel). The Academic Freedom Index is designed to provide an aggregated measure that captures the de facto realization of academic freedom, including the degree to which higher-education institutions are autonomous. Aggregation: The index is formed by point estimates drawn from a Bayesian factor analysis model including the following indicators: freedom to research and teach, freedom of academic exchange and dissemination, institutional autonomy, campus integrity, freedom of academic and cultural expression.



#### 4.81.2 Political corruption index (vdem corr)

Political corruption. Question: How pervasive is political corruption?

Clarification: The directionality of the V-Dem corruption index runs from less corrupt to more corrupt (unlike the other V-Dem variables that generally run from less democratic to more democratic situation). The corruption index includes measures of six distinct types of corruption that cover both different areas and levels of the polity realm, distinguishing between executive, legislative and judicial corruption. Within the executive realm, the measures also distinguish between corruption mostly pertaining to bribery and corruption due to embezzlement. Finally, they differentiate between corruption in the highest echelons of the executive (at the level of the rulers/cabinet) on the one hand, and in the public sector at large on the other. The measures thus tap into several distinguished types of corruption: both 'petty' and 'grand'; both bribery and theft; both corruption aimed and influencing law making and that affecting implementation. Aggregation: The index is arrived at by taking the average of (a) public sector corruption index; (b) executive corruption index; (c) the indicator for legislative corruption; and (d) the indicator for judicial corruption. In other words, these four different government spheres are weighted equally in the resulting index. V-Dem replace missing values for countries with no legislature by only taking the average of (a), (b) and (d).



#### 4.81.3 Deliberative democracy index (vdem delibdem)

Deliberative democracy index. Question: To what extent is the ideal of deliberative democracy achieved?

Clarification: The deliberative principle of democracy focuses on the process by which decisions are reached in a polity. A deliberative process is one in which public reasoning focused on the common good motivates political decisions - as contrasted with emotional appeals, solidary attachments, parochial interests, or coercion. According to this principle, democracy requires more than an aggregation of existing preferences. There should also be respectful dialogue at all levels - from preference formation to final decision - among informed and competent participants who are open to persuasion. To make it a measure of not only the deliberative principle but also of democracy, the index also takes the level of electoral democracy into account.



### 4.81.4 Deliberative component index (vdem dl delib)

Deliberative component index. Question: To what extent is the deliberative principle of democracy achieved?

Clarification: The deliberative principle of democracy focuses on the process by which decisions are reached in a polity. A deliberative process is one in which public reasoning focused on the common good motivates political decisions - as contrasted with emotional appeals, solidary attachments, parochial interests, or coercion. According to this principle, democracy requires more than an aggregation of existing preferences. There should also be respectful dialogue at all levels - from preference formation to final decision - among informed and competent participants who are open to persuasion. To measure these features of a polity we try to determine the extent to which political elites give public justifications for their positions on matters of public policy, justify their positions in terms of the public good, acknowledge and respect counter-arguments; and how wide the range of consultation is at elite levels. Aggregation: The index is formed by point estimates drawn from a Bayesian factor analysis model including the following indicators: reasoned justification, common good justification, respect for counterarguments, range of consultation, and engaged society.



# 4.81.5 Electoral component index (vdem edcomp thick)

Electoral component index. Question: To what extent is the electoral principle of democracy achieved?

Clarifications: The electoral principle of democracy seeks to achieve responsiveness and accountability between leaders and citizens through the mechanism of competitive elections. This is presumed to be achieved when suffrage is extensive; political and civil society organizations can operate freely; elections are clean and not marred by fraud or systematic irregularities; and the chief executive of a country is selected directly or indirectly through elections. Aggregation: The electoral component index is operationalized as a chain defined by its weakest link of freedom of association, suffrage, clean elections, and elected executive.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

### 4.81.6 Egalitarian component index (vdem egal)

Egalitarian component index. Question: To what extent is the egalitarian principle achieved?

Clarifications: The egalitarian principle of democracy holds that material and immaterial inequalities inhibit the exercise of formal rights and liberties, and diminish the ability of citizens from all social groups to participate. Egalitarian democracy is achieved when 1) rights and freedoms of individuals are protected equally across all social groups; 2) resources are distributed equally across all social groups; and 3) access to power is equally distributed by gender, socioeconomic class and social group. Aggregation: This index is formed by averaging the following indices: equal protection index and equal distribution of resources.



#### 4.81.7 Egalitarian democracy index (vdem egaldem)

Egalitarian democracy index. Question: To what extent is the ideal of egalitarian democracy achieved?

Clarifications: The egalitarian principle of democracy holds that material and immaterial inequalities inhibit the exercise of formal rights and liberties, and diminish the ability of citizens from all social groups to participate. Egalitarian democracy is achieved when 1) rights and freedoms of individuals are protected equally across all social groups; and 2) resources are distributed equally across all social groups. The distribution of resources must be sufficient to ensure that citizens' basic needs are met in a way that enables their meaningful participation. Additionally, an equal distribution of resources ensures the potential for greater equality in the distribution of power. To make it a measure of egalitarian democracy, the index also takes the level of electoral democracy into account.



#### 4.81.8 Election vote buying (vdem elvotbuy)

Election vote buying. Question: In this national election, was there evidence of vote and/or turnout buying?

Clarification: Vote and turnout buying refers to the distribution of money or gifts to individuals, families, or small groups in order to influence their decision to vote/not vote or whom to vote for. It does not include legislation targeted at specific constituencies, i.e., "porkbarrel" legislation. V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide country-year point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.


#### 4.81.9 Executive bribery and corrupt exchanges (vdem exbribe)

Executive bribery and corrupt exchanges. Question: How routinely do members of the executive (the head of state, the head of government, and cabinet ministers), or their agents, grant favors in exchange for bribes, kickbacks, or other material inducements? V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide country-year point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.



#### 4.81.10 Public sector corrupt exchanges (vdem excrptps)

Public sector corrupt exchanges. Question: How routinely do public sector employees grant favors in exchange for bribes, kickbacks, or other material inducements?

Clarification: When responding to this question, we would like to you think about a typical person employed by the public sector, excluding the military. If you think there are large discrepancies between branches of the public sector, between the national/federal and subnational/state level, or between the core bureaucracy and employees working with public service delivery, please try to average them out before stating your response. V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide country-year point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.



#### 4.81.11 Executive corruption index (vdem execorr)

Executive corruption index. Question: How routinely do members of the executive, or their agents grant favors in exchange for bribes, kickbacks, or other material inducements, and how often do they steal, embezzle, or misappropriate public funds or other state resources for personal or family use?

Clarification: The directionality of the V-Dem corruption index runs from less corrupt to more corrupt (unlike the other V-Dem variables that generally run from less democratic to more democratic situation). Aggregation: The index is formed by taking the average of the point estimates from a Bayesian factor analysis model of the indicators for executive bribery and executive embezzlement.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

#### 4.81.12 Executive embezzlement and theft (vdem exembez)

Executive embezzlement and theft. Question: How often do members of the executive (the head of state, the head of government, and cabinet ministers), or their agents, steal, embezzle, or misappropriate public funds or other state resources for personal or family use? V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide country-year point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.



#### 4.81.13 Public sector theft (vdem exthftps)

Public sector theft. Question: How often do public sector employees steal, embezzle, or misappropriate public funds or other state resources for personal or family use?

Clarification: When responding to this question, we would like to you think about a typical person employed by the public sector, excluding the military. If you think there are large discrepancies between branches of the public sector, between the national/federal and subnational/state level, or between the core bureaucracy and employees working with public service delivery, please try to average them out before stating your response. Scale: ordinal, converted to interval by the measurement model.



#### 4.81.14 Legislature corrupt activities (vdem gcrrpt)

Legislature corrupt activities. Do members of the legislature abuse their position for financial gain?

Clarification: This includes any of the following: (a) accepting bribes, (b) helping to obtain government contracts for firms that the legislator (or his/her family/friends/political supporters) own, (c) doing favors for firms in exchange for the opportunity of employment after leaving the legislature, (d) stealing money from the state or from campaign donations for personal use. V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide country-year point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.



#### 4.81.15 Women political empowerment index (vdem gender)

Women political empowerment index. Question: How politically empowered are women?

Clarifications: Women's political empowerment is defined as a process of increasing capacity for women, leading to greater choice, agency, and participation in societal decision-making. It is understood to incorporate three equally-weighted dimensions: fundamental civil liberties, women's open discussion of political issues and participation in civil society organizations, and the descriptive representation of women in formal political positions. Aggregation: The index is formed by taking the average of women's civil liberties index, women's civil society participation index, and women's political participation index.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2309  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

#### 4.81.16 Judicial corruption decision (vdem jucorrdc)

Judicial corruption decision. Question: How often do individuals or businesses make undocumented extra payments or bribes in order to speed up or delay the process or to obtain a favorable judicial decision? V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide country-year point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

#### 4.81.17 Liberal democracy index (vdem libdem)

Liberal democracy index. Question: To what extent is the ideal of liberal democracy achieved? Clarifications: The liberal principle of democracy emphasizes the importance of protecting individual and minority rights against the tyranny of the state and the tyranny of the majority. The liberal model takes a "negative" view of political power insofar as it judges the quality of democracy by the limits placed on government. This is achieved by constitutionally protected civil liberties, strong rule of law, an independent judiciary, and effective checks and balances that, together, limit the exercise of executive power. To make this a measure of liberal democracy, the index also takes the level of electoral democracy into account.



#### 4.81.18 Liberal component index (vdem liberal)

Liberal component index. Question: To what extent is the liberal principle of democracy achieved?

Clarification: The liberal principle of democracy emphasizes the importance of protecting individual and minority rights against the tyranny of the state and the tyranny of the majority. The liberal model takes a "negative" view of political power insofar as it judges the quality of democracy by the limits placed on government. This is achieved by constitutionally protected civil liberties, strong rule of law, an independent judiciary, and effective checks and balances that, together, limit the exercise of executive power. Aggregation: This index is formed by averaging the following indices: equality before the law and individual liberties, judicial constraints on the executive, and legislative constraints on the executive.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

#### 4.81.19 Media corrupt (vdem mecorrpt)

Media corrupt. Question: Do journalists, publishers, or broadcasters accept payments in exchange for altering news coverage? V-Dem uses a specifically designed measurement model to provide countryyear point estimates, aggregated from multiple codings submitted by country experts by taking disagreement and measurement error into account. In this version of the variable, used in the QoG dataset, V-Dem has linearly translated the measurement model point estimates back to the original ordinal scale of each variable as an interval measure.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

#### 4.81.20 Participatory component index (vdem partip)

Participatory component index. Question: To what extent is the participatory principle achieved?

Clarification: The participatory principle of democracy emphasizes active participation by citizens in all political processes, electoral and non-electoral. It is motivated by uneasiness about a bedrock practice of electoral democracy: delegating authority to representatives. Thus, direct rule by citizens is preferred, wherever practicable. This model of democracy thus takes suffrage for granted, emphasizing engagement in civil society organizations, direct democracy, and subnational elected bodies. Aggregation: This index is formed by averaging the following indices: civil society participation, direct popular vote, elected local government power, and elected regional government power.



#### 4.81.21 Participatory democracy index (vdem partipdem)

Participatory democracy index. Question: To what extent is the ideal of participatory democracy achieved?

Clarifications: The participatory principle of democracy emphasizes active participation by citizens in all political processes, electoral and non-electoral. It is motivated by uneasiness about a bedrock practice of electoral democracy: delegating authority to representatives. Thus, direct rule by citizens is preferred, wherever practicable. This model of democracy thus takes suffrage for granted, emphasizing engagement in civil society organizations, direct democracy, and subnational elected bodies. To make it a measure of participatory democracy, the index also takes the level of electoral democracy into account.



#### 4.81.22 Electoral democracy index (vdem polyarchy)

Electoral democracy index. Question: To what extent is the ideal of electoral democracy in its fullest sense achieved?

Clarifications: The electoral principle of democracy seeks to embody the core value of making rulers responsive to citizens, achieved through electoral competition for the electorate's approval under circumstances when suffrage is extensive; political and civil society organizations can operate freely; elections are clean and not marred by fraud or systematic irregularities; and elections affect the composition of the chief executive of the country. In between elections, there is freedom of expression and an independent media capable of presenting alternative views on matters of political relevance. In the V-Dem conceptual scheme, electoral democracy is understood as an essential element of any other conception of (representative) democracy - liberal, participatory, deliberative, egalitarian, or some other. Aggregation: The index is formed by taking the average of, on the one hand, the sum of the indices measuring freedom of association (thick), suffrage, clean elections, elected executive (de jure) and freedom of expression; and, on the other, the five-way interaction between those indices. This is half way between a straight average and strict multiplication, meaning the average of the two. It is thus a compromise between the two most well known aggregation formulas in the literature, both allowing "compensation" in one sub-component for lack of polyarchy in the others, but also punishing countries not strong in one sub-component according to the "weakest link" argument. The aggregation is done at the level of Dahl's sub-components (with the one exception of the non-electoral component).



N: 36



#### 4.81.23Public sector corruption index (vdem pubcorr)

Public sector corruption index. Question: To what extent do public sector employees grant favors in exchange for bribes, kickbacks, or other material inducements, and how often do they steal, embezzle, or misappropriate public funds or other state resources for personal or family use?

Clarification: The directionality of the V-Dem corruption index runs from less corrupt to more corrupt (unlike the other V-Dem variables that generally run from less democratic to more democratic situation). Aggregation: The index is formed by taking the average of the point estimates from a Bayesian factor analysis model of the indicators for public sector bribery and embezzlement.





Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 2310  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 64

#### 4.82Institute for Economics & Peace

http://www.visionofhumanity.org/#/page/indexes/terrorism-index (Institute for Economics and Peace, 2020b) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-07)

#### **Global Terrorism Index**

The Global Terrorism Index (GTI) is a comprehensive study which accounts for the direct and indirect impact of terrorism in 162 countries in terms of its effect on lives lost, injuries, property damage and the psychological after-effects of terrorism. This study covers 99.6 per cent of the world's population. It aggregates the most authoritative data source on terrorism today, the Global Terrorism Database (GTD) collated by the National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism (START) into a composite score in order to provide an ordinal ranking of nations on the negative impact of terrorism. The GTD is unique in that it consists of systematically and comprehensively coded data on domestic as well as international terrorist incidents and now includes more than 140,000 cases.

### 4.82.1 Global Terrorism Index (voh\_gti)

Global Terrorism Index.





Min. Year:2002 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 630  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 18

### 4.83 The World Bank Group

https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/ (Kaufmann et al., 2010) (Data downloaded: 2020-10-06)

#### The Worldwide Governance Indicators

These indicators are based on several hundred individual variables measuring perceptions of governance, drawn from 31 separate data sources constructed by 25 different organizations. These individual measures of governance are assigned to categories capturing key dimensions of governance. An unobserved component model is used to construct six aggregate governance indicators. Point estimates of the dimensions of governance, the margins of error as well as the number of sources are presented for each country. The governance estimates are normally distributed with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one each year of measurement. This implies that virtually all scores lie between -2.5 and 2.5, with higher scores corresponding to better outcomes.

Note: Since the estimates are standardized (with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one) each year of measurement, they are not directly suitable for over-time comparisons within countries. Kaufmann et al. (2006) however find no systematic time-trends in a selection of indicators that do allow for comparisons over time, which suggests that time-series information in the WBGI scores can be used if interpreted with caution.

### 4.83.1 Control of Corruption, Estimate (wbgi cce)

Control of Corruption - Estimate: "Control of Corruption" measures perceptions of corruption, conventionally defined as the exercise of public power for private gain. The particular aspect of corruption measured by the various sources differs somewhat, ranging from the frequency of "additional payments to get things done", to the effects of corruption on the business environment, to measuring "grand corruption" in the political arena or in the tendency of elite forms to engage in "state capture".



**Iin. Year**:2017 **Max. Year**: 201 **N**: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

### 4.83.2 Control of Corruption, Number of Sources (wbgi ccn)

Control of Corruption - Number of Sources.



#### 4.83.3 Control of Corruption, Standard Error (wbgi ccs)

Control of Corruption - Standard Errors.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.4 Government Effectiveness, Estimate (wbgi gee)

Government Effectiveness - Estimate: "Government Effectiveness" combines into a single grouping responses on the quality of public service provision, the quality of the bureaucracy, the competence of civil servants, the independence of the civil service from political pressures, and the credibility of the government's commitment to policies. The main focus of this index is on "inputs" required for the government to be able to produce and implement good policies and deliver public goods.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21



Government Effectiveness - Number of Sources.





Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

### 4.83.6 Government Effectiveness, Standard Error (wbgi\_ges)

 $Government\ Effectiveness\ -\ Standard\ Errors.$ 



#### 4.83.7 Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism, Estimate (wbgi pve)

Political Stability and Absence of Violence- Estimate: "Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism" measures perceptions of the likelihood of political instability and/or politically-motivated violence, including terrorism.



N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.8 Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism, Number of Sources (wbgi\_pvn)

Political Stability and Absence of Violence - Number of Sources.



N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.9 Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism, Standard Error (wbgi\_pvs)

Political Stability and Absence of Violence - Standard Errors.





Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.10 Rule of Law, Estimate (wbgi rle)

Rule of Law - Estimate: "Rule of Law" includes several indicators which measure the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society. These include perceptions of the incidence of crime, the effectiveness and predictability of the judiciary, and the enforceability of contracts. Together, these indicators measure the success of a society in developing an environment

in which fair and predictable rules form the basis for economic and social interactions and the extent to which property rights are protected.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.11 Rule of Law, Number of Sources (wbgi rln)

Rule of Law - Number of Sources.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.12 Rule of Law, Standard Error (wbgi rls)

Rule of Law - Standard Errors.





Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

### 4.83.13 Regulatory Quality, Estimate (wbgi rqe)

Regulatory Quality - Estimate: "Regulatory Quality" includes measures of the incidence of marketunfriendly policies such as price controls or inadequate bank supervision, as well as perceptions of the burdens imposed by excessive regulation in areas such as foreign trade and business development.



N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.14 Regulatory Quality, Number of Sources (wbgi rqn)

Regulatory Quality - Number of Sources.



4.83.15 Regulatory Quality, Standard Error (wbgi rqs)

Regulatory Quality - Standard Errors.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

#### 4.83.16 Voice and Accountability, Estimate (wbgi vae)

Voice and Accountability - Estimate: "Voice and Accountability" includes a number of indicators measuring various aspects of the political process, civil liberties and political rights. These indicators measure the extent to which citizens of a country are able to participate in the selection of governments. This category also includes indicators measuring the independence of the media, which serves an important role in monitoring those in authority and holding them accountable for their actions.



N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21



Voice and Accountability - Number of Sources.





Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

### 4.83.18 Voice and Accountability, Standard Error (wbgi\_vas)

Voice and Accountability - Standard Errors.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 756  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 21

### 4.84 The World Bank Group

http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators (World Bank, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-22)

### World Development Indicators

The primary World Bank collection of development indicators, compiled from officially-recognized international sources.

This is an adaptation of an original work by The World Bank. Views and opinions expressed in the adaptation are the sole responsibility of the author or authors of the adaptation and are not endorsed by The World Bank.

#### 4.84.1 Access to electricity (% of population) (wdi acel)

Access to electricity is the percentage of population with access to electricity. Electrification data are collected from industry, national surveys and international sources.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



### 4.84.2 Access to electricity, rural (% of rural population) (wdi\_acelr)

Access to electricity, rural is the percentage of rural population with access to electricity.





Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1008  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 28

### 4.84.3 Access to electricity, urban (% of urban population) (wdi\_acelu)

Access to electricity, urban is the percentage of urban population with access to electricity.



#### 4.84.4 Armed forces personnel (% of total labor force) (wdi afp)

Armed forces personnel are active duty military personnel, including paramilitary forces if the training, organization, equipment, and control suggest they may be used to support or replace regular military forces. Labor force comprises all people who meet the International Labour Organization's definition of the economically active population.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1021  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 28

#### 4.84.5 Armed forces personnel, total (wdi afpt)

Armed forces personnel are active duty military personnel, including paramilitary forces if the training, organization, equipment, and control suggest they may be used to support or replace regular military forces.





Min. Year:1985 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1077  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.84.6 Age dependency ratio (% of working-age pop.) (wdi agedr)

Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents—people younger than 15 or older than 64—to the working-age population—those ages 15-64. Data are shown as the proportion of dependents per 100 working-age population.



#### 4.84.7 Alternative and nuclear energy (% of total energy use) (wdi\_ane)

Clean energy is noncarbohydrate energy that does not produce carbon dioxide when generated. It includes hydropower and nuclear, geothermal, and solar power, among others.



#### 4.84.8 Arable land (% of land area) (wdi araland)

Arable land includes land defined by the FAO as land under temporary crops (double-cropped areas are counted once), temporary meadows for mowing or for pasture, land under market or kitchen gardens, and land temporarily fallow. Land abandoned as a result of shifting cultivation is excluded.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1718  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.84.9 Land area (sq. km) (wdi area)

Land area is a country's total area, excluding area under inland water bodies, national claims to continental shelf, and exclusive economic zones. In most cases the definition of inland water bodies includes major rivers and lakes.



#### 4.84.10 Arms exports (SIPRI trend indicator values) (wdi armexp)

Exports - Arms transfers cover the supply of military weapons through sales, aid, gifts, and those made through manufacturing licenses. Data cover major conventional weapons such as aircraft, armored vehicles, artillery, radar systems, missiles, and ships designed for military use. Excluded are transfers of other military equipment such as small arms and light weapons, trucks, small artillery, ammunition, support equipment, technology transfers, and other services.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 32



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1239  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 34

#### Arms imports (SIPRI trend indicator values) (wdi armimp) 4.84.11

Imports - Arms transfers cover the supply of military weapons through sales, aid, gifts, and those made through manufacturing licenses. Data cover major conventional weapons such as aircraft, armored vehicles, artillery, radar systems, missiles, and ships designed for military use. Excluded are transfers of other military equipment such as small arms and light weapons, trucks, small artillery, ammunition, support equipment, technology transfers, and other services.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 **N**: 36 **n**: 1759  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 49

#### 4.84.12Birth rate, crude (per 1,000 people) (wdi birth)

Crude birth rate indicates the number of live births occurring during the year, per 1,000 population estimated at midyear. Subtracting the crude death rate from the crude birth rate provides the rate of natural increase, which is equal to the rate of population change in the absence of migration.



#### 4.84.13Completeness of birth registration (%) (wdi birthreg)

Completeness of birth registration is the percentage of children under age 5 whose births were registered at the time of the survey. The numerator of completeness of birth registration includes children whose birth certificate was seen by the interviewer or whose mother or caretaker says the birth has been registered.



in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A

#### 4.84.14 Births attended by skilled health staff (% of total) (wdi birthskill)

Births attended by skilled health staff are the percentage of deliveries attended by personnel trained to give the necessary supervision, care, and advice to women during pregnancy, labor, and the postpartum period; to conduct deliveries on their own; and to care for newborns.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1986 Max. Year: 2016 N: 34 n: 558  $\overline{N}$ : 18  $\overline{T}$ : 16

#### 4.84.15 Fixed broadband subscriptions (per 100 people) (wdi broadb)

Fixed broadband subscriptions refers to fixed subscriptions to high-speed access to the public Internet (a TCP/IP connection), at downstream speeds equal to, or greater than, 256 kbit/s. This includes cable modem, DSL, fiber-to-the-home/building, other fixed (wired)-broadband subscriptions, satellite broadband and terrestrial fixed wireless broadband. This total is measured irrespective of the method of payment. It excludes subscriptions that have access to data communications (including the Internet) via mobile-cellular networks. It should include fixed WiMAX and any other fixed wireless technologies. It includes both residential subscriptions and subscriptions for organizations.



#### 4.84.16 New business density (new registrations per 1,000 people ages 15-64) (wdi\_busden)

New businesses registered are the number of new limited liability corporations registered in the calendar year.





Min. Year:2006 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 426  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 12

#### 4.84.17 Current health expenditure (% of GDP) (wdi chexppgdp)

Current health expenditure (% of GDP). Level of current health expenditure expressed as a percentage of GDP. Estimates of current health expenditures include healthcare goods and services consumed during each year. This indicator does not include capital health expenditures such as buildings, machinery, IT and stocks of vaccines for emergency or outbreaks.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 684  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.84.18 CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) (wdi co2)

Carbon dioxide emissions are those stemming from the burning of fossil fuels and the manufacture of cement. They include carbon dioxide produced during consumption of solid, liquid, and gas fuels and gas flaring.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 1820  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.84.19 Death rate, crude (per 1,000 people) (wdi death)

Crude death rate indicates the number of deaths occurring during the year, per 1,000 population estimated at midyear. Subtracting the crude death rate from the crude birth rate provides the rate of natural increase, which is equal to the rate of population change in the absence of migration.



# 4.84.20 Completeness of death registration with cause-of-death information (%) (wdi\_-deathreg)

Completeness of death registration is the estimated percentage of deaths that are registered with their cause of death information in the vital registration system of a country.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.84.21 Domestic general government health expenditure (% of GDP) (wdi dgovhexp)

Domestic general government health expenditure (% of GDP). Public expenditure on health from domestic sources as a share of the economy as measured by GDP.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 684  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.84.22 Domestic private health expenditure (% of current health expenditure) (wdi\_dprivhexp)

Domestic private health expenditure (% of current health expenditure). Share of current health expenditures funded from domestic private sources. Domestic private sources include funds from households, corporations and non-profit organizations. Such expenditures can be either prepaid to voluntary health insurance or paid directly to healthcare providers.



#### 4.84.23 School enrollment, primary, private (% of total primary) (wdi eduprp)

Percentage of enrollment in primary education in private institutions (%)



### 4.84.24 School enrollment, secondary, private (% of total secondary) (wdi\_eduprs) Percentage of enrollment in secondary education in private institutions (%)



#### 4.84.25 External health expenditure (% of current health expenditure) (wdi ehexpp)

External health expenditure (% of current health expenditure). Share of current health expenditures funded from external sources. External sources compose of direct foreign transfers and foreign transfers distributed by government encompassing all financial inflows into the national health system from outside the country. External sources either flow through the government scheme or are channeled through non-governmental organizations or other schemes.





Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 684  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.84.26 Renewable electricity output (% of total electricity output) (wdi elerenew)

Renewable electricity is the share of electricity generated by renewable power plants in total electricity generated by all types of plants.



4.84.27 Electricity production from coal sources (% of total) (wdi elprodcoal)

Sources of electricity refer to the inputs used to generate electricity. Coal refers to all coal and brown coal, both primary (including hard coal and lignite-brown coal) and derived fuels (including patent fuel, coke oven coke, gas coke, coke oven gas, and blast furnace gas). Peat is also included in this category.



#### 4.84.28 Electricity production from natural gas sources (% of total) (wdi elprodgas)

Sources of electricity refer to the inputs used to generate electricity. Gas refers to natural gas but excludes natural gas liquids.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1740  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 48

### 4.84.29 Electricity production from hydroelectric sources (% of total) (wdi elprodhyd)

Sources of electricity refer to the inputs used to generate electricity. Hydropower refers to electricity produced by hydroelectric power plants.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1740  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.84.30 Electricity production from nuclear sources (% of total) (wdi elprodnuc)

Sources of electricity refer to the inputs used to generate electricity. Nuclear power refers to electricity produced by nuclear power plants.



#### 4.84.31 Electricity production from oil sources (% of total) (wdi elprodoil)

Sources of electricity refer to the inputs used to generate electricity. Oil refers to crude oil and petroleum products.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1740  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.84.32 Employers, total (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi emp)

Employers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners, hold the type of jobs defined as a "self-employment jobs" i.e. jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced, and, in this capacity, have engaged, on a continuous basis, one or more persons to work for them as employee(s). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.33 Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empagr)

Employment in agriculture as a percentage of all employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The agriculture sector consists of activities in agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing, in accordance with division 1 (ISIC 2) or categories A-B (ISIC 3) or category A (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.34 Employment in agriculture, female (% female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empagrf)

Female employment in agriculture as a percentage of all female employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The agriculture sector consists of activities in agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing, in accordance with division 1 (ISIC 2) or categories A-B (ISIC 3) or category A (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.35 Employment in agriculture, male (% male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empagrm)

Male employment in agriculture as a percentage of all male employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The agriculture sector consists of activities in agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing, in accordance with division 1 (ISIC 2) or categories A-B (ISIC 3) or category A (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.36 Employers, female (% of female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empf)

Employers refers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners, hold the type of jobs defined as a "self-employment jobs" i.e. jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced, and, in this capacity, have engaged, on a continuous basis, one or more persons to work for them as employee(s). Modeled ILO estimate.



4.84.37 Employment in industry (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empind)

Employment in industry as a percentage of all employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The industry sector consists of mining and quarrying, manufacturing, construction, and public utilities (electricity, gas, and water), in accordance with divisions 2-5 (ISIC 2) or categories C-F (ISIC 3) or categories B-F (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.38 Employment in industry, female (% female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empindf)

Female employment in industry as a percentage of all female employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The industry sector consists of mining and quarrying, manufacturing, construction, and public utilities (electricity, gas, and water), in accordance with divisions 2-5 (ISIC 2) or categories C-F (ISIC 3) or categories B-F (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



## 4.84.39 Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_-empindm)

Male employment in industry as a percentage of all male employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The industry sector consists of mining and quarrying, manufacturing, construction, and public utilities (electricity, gas, and water), in accordance with divisions 2-5 (ISIC 2) or categories C-F (ISIC 3) or categories B-F (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



Employers, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empm) 4.84.40

Employers refers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners, hold the type of jobs defined as a "self-employment jobs" i.e. jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced, and, in this capacity, have engaged, on a continuous basis, one or more persons to work for them as employee(s). Modeled ILO estimate.





 $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.84.41Employment to population ratio, 15+, female (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi empprfilo)

Employment to population ratio, 15+, female (%) (ILO estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15 and older are generally considered the working-age population.



N: 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.84.42Employment to population ratio, 15+, female (%) (national est.) (wdi empprfne)

Employment to population ratio, 15+, female (%) (National estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15 and older are generally considered the working-age population.



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1317  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 37

#### 4.84.43 Employment to population ratio, 15+, total (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empprilo)

Employment to population ratio, 15+, total (%) (ILO estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15 and older are generally considered the working-age population.



#### 4.84.44 Employment to population ratio, 15+, male (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empprmilo)

Employment to population ratio, 15+, male (%) (ILO estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15 and older are generally considered the working-age population.



#### 4.84.45 Employment to population ratio, 15+, male (%) (national est.) (wdi\_empprmne)

Employment to population ratio, 15+, male (%) (National estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15 and older are generally considered the working-age population.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1317  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 37

#### 4.84.46 Employment to population ratio, 15+, total (%) (national est.) (wdi\_empprne)

Employment to population ratio, 15+, total (%) (National estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15 and older are generally considered the working-age population.



## 4.84.47 Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, female % (modeled ILO) (wdi\_-emppryfilo)

Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, female (%) (ILO estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15-24 are generally considered the youth population.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

## 4.84.48 Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, female % (national est.) (wdi\_-emppryfne)

Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, female (%) (National estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15-24 are generally considered the youth population.



N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1194  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 33

## 4.84.49 Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, total % (modeled ILO) (wdi\_-emppryilo)

Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, total (%) (ILO estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15-24 are generally considered the youth population.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.84.50 Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, male % (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empprymilo)

Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, male (%) (ILO estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15-24 are generally considered the youth population.



### 4.84.51 Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, male % (national est.) (wdi\_-empprymne)

Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, male (%) (National estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15-24 are generally considered the youth population.



## 4.84.52 Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, total % (national est.) (wdi\_-emppryne)

Employment to population ratio, ages 15-24, total (%) (National estimation). Employment to population ratio is the proportion of a country's population that is employed. Ages 15-24 are generally considered the youth population.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1194  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 33

#### 4.84.53 Employment in services (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empser)

Total employment in services as percentage of total employment. Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The services sector consists of wholesale and retail trade and restaurants and hotels; transport, storage, and communications; financing, insurance, real estate, and business services; and community, social, and personal services, in accordance with divisions 6-9 (ISIC 2) or categories G-Q (ISIC 3) or categories G-U (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.54 Employment in services, female (% of female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi empserf)

Female employment in services (% of female employment). Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The services sector consists of wholesale and retail trade and restaurants and hotels; transport, storage, and communications; financing, insurance, real estate, and business services; and community, social, and personal services, in accordance with divisions 6-9 (ISIC 2) or categories G-Q (ISIC 3) or categories G-U (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



#### 4.84.55 Employment in services, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_empserm)

Male employment in services (% of male employment). Employment is defined as persons of working age who were engaged in any activity to produce goods or provide services for pay or profit, whether at work during the reference period or not at work due to temporary absence from a job, or to working-time arrangement. The services sector consists of wholesale and retail trade and restaurants and hotels; transport, storage, and communications; financing, insurance, real estate, and business services; and community, social, and personal services, in accordance with divisions 6-9 (ISIC 2) or categories G-Q (ISIC 3) or categories G-U (ISIC 4). Modeled ILO estimate.



4.84.56 Energy imports, net (% of energy use) (wdi eneimp)

Net energy imports are estimated as energy use less production, both measured in oil equivalents. A negative value indicates that the country is a net exporter. Energy use refers to use of primary energy before transformation to other end-use fuels, which is equal to indigenous production plus imports and stock changes, minus exports and fuels supplied to ships and aircraft engaged in international transport.



#### 4.84.57 Renewable energy consumption (% of total final energy consumption) (wdi\_enerenew)

Renewable energy consumption is the share of renewables energy in total final energy consumption.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 922  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.84.58 Energy use (kg of oil equivalent per capita) (wdi eneuse)

Energy use refers to use of primary energy before transformation to other end-use fuels, which is equal to indigenous production plus imports and stock changes, minus exports and fuels supplied to ships and aircraft engaged in international transport.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1738  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 48

## 4.84.59 Ease of doing business index (1=most business-friendly regulations) (wdi\_eodb)

Ease of doing business ranks economies from 1 to 189, with first place being the best. A high ranking (a low numerical rank) means that the regulatory environment is conducive to business operation. The index averages the country's percentile rankings on 10 topics covered in the World Bank's Doing Business. The ranking on each topic is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators.



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.84.60 Government expenditure on education, total (% of GDP) (wdi expedu)

General government expenditure on education (current, capital, and transfers) is expressed as a percentage of GDP. It includes expenditure funded by transfers from international sources to government. General government usually refers to local, regional and central governments.

Note: The value for Tuvalu in 1997 has been recoded to missing due to an extreme and very unlikely value.



#### 4.84.61 Government expenditure on education, total (% of government expenditure) (wdi expeduge)

Total general (local, regional and central) government expenditure on education (current, capital, and transfers), expressed as a percentage of total general government expenditure on all sectors (including health, education, social services, etc.). It includes expenditure funded by transfers from international sources to government. Public education expenditure includes spending by local/municipal, regional and national governments (excluding household contributions) on educational institutions (both public and private), education administration, and subsidies for private entities (students/households and other privates entities). In some instances data on total public expenditure on education refers only to the ministry of education and can exclude other ministries that spend a part of their budget on education incurred by all government agencies/departments by the total government expenditure and multiplying by 100. For more information, consult the UNESCO Institute of Statistics website: http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 31



Min. Year:1980 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 813  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.84.62 Expenditure on primary education (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdi expedup)

Expenditure on Primary education, expressed as a percentage of total general government expenditure on education. Divide government expenditure on a given level of education (ex. primary, secondary) by total government expenditure on education (all levels combined), and multiply by 100. A high percentage of government expenditure on education spent on a given level denotes a high priority given to that level compared to others. When interpreting this indicator, one should take into account enrollment at that level, and the relative costs per student between different levels of education. For more information, consult the UNESCO Institute of Statistics website: http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/



#### 4.84.63 Expenditure on secondary education (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdi\_expedus)

Expenditure on Secondary education, expressed as a percentage of total general government expenditure on education. Divide government expenditure on a given level of education (ex. primary, secondary) by total government expenditure on education (all levels combined), and multiply by 100. A high percentage of government expenditure on education spent on a given level denotes a high priority given to that level compared to others. When interpreting this indicator, one should take into account enrollment at that level, and the relative costs per student between different levels of education. For more information, consult the UNESCO Institute of Statistics website: http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/



#### 4.84.64 Expenditure on tertiary education (% of government expenditure on edu.) (wdi expedut)

Expenditure on Tertiary education, expressed as a percentage of total general government expenditure on education. Divide government expenditure on a given level of education (ex. primary, secondary) by total government expenditure on education (all levels combined), and multiply by 100. A high percentage of government expenditure on education spent on a given level denotes a high priority given to that level compared to others. When interpreting this indicator, one should take into account enrollment at that level, and the relative costs per student between different levels of education. For more information, consult the UNESCO Institute of Statistics website: http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/



#### 4.84.65 Military expenditure (% of GDP) (wdi expmil)

Military expenditure (% of GDP). Military expenditures data from SIPRI are derived from the NATO definition, which includes all current and capital expenditures on the armed forces, including peacekeeping forces; defense ministries and other government agencies engaged in defense projects; paramilitary forces, if these are judged to be trained and equipped for military operations; and military space activities. Such expenditures include military and civil personnel, including retirement

pensions of military personnel and social services for personnel; operation and maintenance; procurement; military research and development; and military aid (in the military expenditures of the donor country). Excluded are civil defense and current expenditures for previous military activities, such as for veterans' benefits, demobilization, conversion, and destruction of weapons. This definition cannot be applied for all countries, however, since that would require much more detailed information than is available about what is included in military budgets and off-budget military expenditure items. (For example, military budgets might or might not cover civil defense, reserves and auxiliary forces, police and paramilitary forces, dual-purpose forces such as military and civilian police, military grants in kind, pensions for military personnel, and social security contributions paid by one part of government to another).



#### 4.84.66 Military expenditure (% of general government expenditure) (wdi expmilge)

Military expenditure (% of central government expenditure). Military expenditures data from SIPRI are derived from the NATO definition, which includes all current and capital expenditures on the armed forces, including peacekeeping forces; defense ministries and other government agencies engaged in defense projects; paramilitary forces, if these are judged to be trained and equipped for military operations; and military space activities. Such expenditures include military and civil personnel, including retirement pensions of military personnel and social services for personnel; operation and maintenance; procurement; military research and development; and military aid (in the military expenditures of the donor country). Excluded are civil defense and current expenditures for previous military activities, such as for veterans' benefits, demobilization, conversion, and destruction of weapons. This definition cannot be applied for all countries, however, since that would require much more detailed information than is available about what is included in military budgets and off-budget military expenditure items. (For example, military budgets might or might not cover civil defense, reserves and auxiliary forces, police and paramilitary forces, dual-purpose forces such as military and civilian police, military grants in kind, pensions for military personnel, and social security contributions paid by one part of government to another.)



#### 4.84.67 Exports of goods and services (% of GDP) (wdi export)

Exports of goods and services represent the value of all goods and other market services provided to the rest of the world. They include the value of merchandise, freight, insurance, transport, travel, royalties, license fees, and other services, such as communication, construction, financial, information, business, personal, and government services. They exclude compensation of employees and investment income (formerly called factor services) and transfer payments.



## 4.84.68 Government expenditure per student, primary (% of GDP per capita) (wdi\_-expstup)

Government expenditure per student is the average general government expenditure (current, capital, and transfers) per student in the primary level of education, expressed as a percentage of GDP per capita.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 488  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 14

## 4.84.69 Government expenditure per student, secondary (% of GDP per capita) (wdi\_-expstus)

Government expenditure per student is the average general government expenditure (current, capital, and transfers) per student in the secondary level of education, expressed as a percentage of GDP per capita.





Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 487  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 14

## 4.84.70 Government expenditure per student, tertiary (% of GDP per capita) (wdi\_-expstut)

Government expenditure per student is the average general government expenditure (current, capital, and transfers) per student in the given tertiary of education, expressed as a percentage of GDP per capita.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1995 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 504  $\overline{N}$ : 22  $\overline{T}$ : 14

#### 4.84.71 Foreign direct investment, net inflows (% of GDP) (wdi fdiin)

Foreign direct investment are the net inflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10 percent or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short-term capital as shown in the balance of payments. This series shows net inflows (new investment inflows less disinvestment) in the reporting economy from foreign investors, and is divided by GDP.



4.84.72 Foreign direct investment, net outflows (% of GDP) (wdi fdiout)

Foreign direct investment are the net outflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10 percent or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short-term capital as shown in the balance of payments. This series shows net outflows of investment from the reporting economy to the rest of the world and is divided by GDP.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1490  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 41

#### 4.84.73 Fertility rate, total (births per woman) (wdi fertility)

Total fertility rate represents the number of children that would be born to a woman if she were to live to the end of her childbearing years and bear children in accordance with age-specific fertility rates of the specified year.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1895  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

#### 4.84.74 Forest area (% of land area) (wdi forest)

Forest area is land under natural or planted stands of trees of at least 5 meters in situ, whether productive or not, and excludes tree stands in agricultural production systems (for example, in fruit plantations and agroforestry systems) and trees in urban parks and gardens.



#### 4.84.75 Fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total) (wdi fossil)

Fossil fuel comprises coal, oil, petroleum, and natural gas products.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2015 N: 36 n: 1710  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.84.76 Agriculture, forestry, and fishing, value added (% of GDP) (wdi gdpagr)

Agriculture corresponds to ISIC divisions 1-5 and includes forestry, hunting, and fishing, as well as cultivation of crops and livestock production. Value added is the net output of a sector after adding up all outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or depletion and degradation of natural resources. The origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), revision 3 or 4.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1240  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 34

#### 4.84.77 GDP per capita (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi gdpcapcon2010)

GDP per capita is gross domestic product divided by midyear population. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in constant 2010 U.S. dollars.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1835  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.84.78 GDP per capita (current US dollar) (wdi gdpcapcur)

GDP per capita is gross domestic product divided by midyear population. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in current U.S. dollars.



#### 4.84.79 GDP per capita growth (annual %) (wdi gdpcapgr)

Annual percentage growth rate of GDP per capita based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 2010 U.S. dollars. GDP per capita is gross domestic product divided by midyear population. GDP at purchaser's prices is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.



#### 4.84.80 GDP per capita, PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi\_gdpcappppcon2017)

GDP per capita based on purchasing power parity (PPP). PPP GDP is gross domestic product converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GDP as the U.S. dollar has in the United States. GDP at purchaser's prices is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in constant 2017 international dollars.



#### 4.84.81 GDP per capita, PPP (current international dollar) (wdi gdpcappppcur)

GDP per capita based on purchasing power parity (PPP). PPP GDP is gross domestic product converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has

the same purchasing power over GDP as the U.S. dollar has in the United States. GDP at purchaser's prices is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in current international dollars based on the 2011 ICP round.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1053  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 29

#### 4.84.82 GDP growth (annual %) (wdi gdpgr)

Annual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 2010 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.



#### 4.84.83 Industry (including construction), value added (% of GDP) (wdi gdpind)

Industry corresponds to ISIC divisions 10-45 and includes manufacturing (ISIC divisions 15-37). It comprises value added in mining, manufacturing (also reported as a separate subgroup), construction, electricity, water, and gas. Value added is the net output of a sector after adding up all outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or depletion and degradation of natural resources. The origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), revision 3. Note: For VAB countries, gross value added at factor cost is used as the denominator.



4.84.84 GDP, PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi gdppppcon2017)

PPP GDP is gross domestic product converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GDP as the U.S. dollar has in the United States. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in constant 2017 international dollars.


# 4.84.85 GDP, PPP (current international dollar) (wdi gdppppcur)

PPP GDP is gross domestic product converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GDP as the U.S. dollar has in the United States. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in current international dollars. For most economies PPP figures are extrapolated from the 2011 International Comparison Program (ICP) benchmark estimates or imputed using a statistical model based on the 2011 ICP. For 47 high- and upper middle-income economies conversion factors are provided by Eurostat and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).



### 4.84.86 School enrollment, primary (% gross) (wdi gerp)

Total enrollment in primary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the population of official primary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.



# 4.84.87 School enrollment, primary, female (% gross) (wdi gerpf)

Total female enrollment in primary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total female population of official primary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.



#### 4.84.88 School enrollment, primary, male (% gross) (wdi gerpm)

Total male enrollment in primary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total male population of official primary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1439  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 41

## 4.84.89 School enrollment, preprimary (% gross) (wdi gerpp)

Total enrollment in pre-primary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total population of official pre-primary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1320  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 38

# 4.84.90 School enrollment, preprimary, female (% gross) (wdi gerppf)

Total female enrollment in pre-primary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total female population of official pre-primary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.



4.84.91 School enrollment, preprimary, male (% gross) (wdi gerppm)

Total male enrollment in pre-primary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total male population of official pre-primary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion

of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1161  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 33

# 4.84.92 School enrollment, secondary (% gross) (wdi gers)

Total enrollment in secondary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the population of official secondary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1424  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 41

# 4.84.93 School enrollment, secondary, female (% gross) (wdi gersf)

Total female enrollment in secondary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the female population of official secondary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.



**Nim. Year**: 2014 **Niax. Year**: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1372  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 39

#### 4.84.94 School enrollment, secondary, male (% gross) (wdi gersm)

Total male enrollment in secondary education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the male population of official secondary education age. GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged students because of early or late school entrance and grade repetition.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1372  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 39

# 4.84.95 School enrollment, tertiary (% gross) (wdi gert)

Total enrollment in tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8), regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total population of the five-year age group following on from secondary school leaving.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1395  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 40

## 4.84.96 School enrollment, tertiary, female (% gross) (wdi gertf)

Total female enrollment in tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8), regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total female population of the five-year age group following on from secondary school leaving.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 1319  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 38

## 4.84.97 School enrollment, tertiary, male (% gross) (wdi gertm)

Total male enrollment in tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8), regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the total male population of the five-year age group following on from secondary school leaving.



# 4.84.98 Gini index (World Bank estimate) (wdi gini)

Gini index measures the extent to which the distribution of income (or, in some cases, consumption expenditure) among individuals or households within an economy deviates from a perfectly equal distribution. A Lorenz curve plots the cumulative percentages of total income received against the cumulative number of recipients, starting with the poorest individual or household. The Gini index measures the area between the Lorenz curve and a hypothetical line of absolute equality, expressed as a percentage of the maximum area under the line. Thus a Gini index of 0 represents perfect equality, while an index of 100 implies perfect inequality.



#### 4.84.99 GNI, Atlas method (current US dollar) (wdi gniatlcur)

GNI (formerly GNP) is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in current U.S. dollars. GNI, calculated in national currency, is usually converted to U.S. dollars at official exchange rates for comparisons across economies, although an alternative rate is used when the official exchange rate is judged to diverge by an exceptionally large margin from the rate actually applied in international transactions. To smooth fluctuations in prices and exchange rates, a special Atlas method of conversion is used by the World Bank. This applies a conversion factor that averages the exchange rate for a given year and the two preceding years, adjusted for differences in rates of inflation between the country, and through 2000, the G-5 countries (France, Germany, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States). From 2001, these countries include the Euro area, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States.



#### 4.84.100 GNI per capita, Atlas method (current US dollar) (wdi gnicapatlcur)

GNI per capita (formerly GNP per capita) is the gross national income, converted to U.S. dollars using the World Bank Atlas method, divided by the midyear population. GNI is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. GNI, calculated in national currency, is usually converted to U.S. dollars at official exchange rates for comparisons across economies, although an alternative rate is used when the official exchange rate is judged to diverge by an exceptionally large margin from the rate actually applied in international transactions. To smooth fluctuations in prices and exchange rates, a special Atlas method of conversion is used by the World Bank. This applies a conversion factor that averages the exchange rate for a given year and the two preceding years, adjusted for differences in rates of inflation between the country, and through 2000, the G-5 countries (France, Germany, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States). From 2001, these countries include the Euro area, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1962 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1699  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 47

#### 4.84.101 GNI per capita (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi gnicapcon2010)

GNI per capita is gross national income divided by midyear population. GNI (formerly GNP) is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in constant 2010 U.S. dollars.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1387  $\overline{N}$ : 23  $\overline{T}$ : 39

#### 4.84.102 GNI per capita growth (annual %) (wdi gnicapgr)

Annual percentage growth rate of GNI per capita based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 2010 U.S. dollars. GNI per capita is gross national income divided by midyear population. GNI (formerly GNP) is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year: 1961 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 1392  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 40

# 4.84.103 GNI per capita, PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi\_gnicappppcon2017)

GNI per capita based on purchasing power parity (PPP). PPP GNI is gross national income (GNI) converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GNI as a U.S. dollar has in the United States. GNI is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in constant 2017 international dollars.



#### 4.84.104 GNI per capita, PPP (current international dollar) (wdi gnicappppcur)

GNI per capita based on purchasing power parity (PPP). PPP GNI is gross national income (GNI) converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GNI as a U.S. dollar has in the United States. GNI is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the

valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in current international dollars based on the 2011 ICP round.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1038  $\overline{N}$ : 35  $\overline{T}$ : 29

# 4.84.105 GNI (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi gnicon2010)

GNI (formerly GNP) is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in constant 2010 U.S. dollars.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1387  $\overline{N}$ : 23  $\overline{T}$ : 39

# 4.84.106 GNI (current US dollar) (wdi gnicur)

GNI (formerly GNP) is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in current U.S. dollars.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1771  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 49

# 4.84.107 GNI growth (annual %) (wdi gnigr)

GNI (formerly GNP) is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 1392  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 40

#### 4.84.108 GNI, PPP (constant 2017 international dollar) (wdi gnipppcon2017)

PPP GNI (formerly PPP GNP) is gross national income (GNI) converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GNI as a U.S. dollar has in the United States. Gross national income is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in constant 2017 international dollars.



# 4.84.109 GNI, PPP (current international dollar) (wdi gnipppcur)

PPP GNI (formerly PPP GNP) is gross national income (GNI) converted to international dollars using purchasing power parity rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GNI as a U.S. dollar has in the United States. Gross national income is the sum of value added by all resident producers plus any product taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts of primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in current international dollars. For most economies PPP figures are extrapolated from the 2011 International Comparison Program (ICP) benchmark estimates or imputed using a statistical model based on the 2011 ICP. For 47 high- and upper middle-income economies conversion factors are provided by Eurostat and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).



#### 4.84.110 Intentional homicides (per 100,000 people) (wdi homicides)

Intentional homicides are estimates of unlawful homicides purposely inflicted as a result of domestic disputes, interpersonal violence, violent conflicts over land resources, intergang violence over turf or control, and predatory violence and killing by armed groups. Intentional homicide does not include all intentional killing; the difference is usually in the organization of the killing. Individuals or small groups usually commit homicide, whereas killing in armed conflict is usually committed by fairly cohesive groups of up to several hundred members and is thus usually excluded.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 983  $\overline{N}$ : 34  $\overline{T}$ : 27

#### 4.84.111 Intentional homicides, female (per 100,000 female) (wdi homicidesf)

Intentional homicides, female (per 100,000 female). Intentional homicides, female are estimates of unlawful female homicides purposely inflicted as a result of domestic disputes, interpersonal violence, violent conflicts over land resources, intergang violence over turf or control, and predatory violence and killing by armed groups. Intentional homicide does not include all intentional killing; the difference is usually in the organization of the killing. Individuals or small groups usually commit homicide, whereas killing in armed conflict is usually committed by fairly cohesive groups of up to several hundred members and is thus usually excluded.



## 4.84.112 Intentional homicides, male (per 100,000 male) (wdi homicidesm)

Intentional homicides, male (per 100,000 male). Intentional homicides, male are estimates of unlawful male homicides purposely inflicted as a result of domestic disputes, interpersonal violence, violent conflicts over land resources, intergang violence over turf or control, and predatory violence and killing by armed groups. Intentional homicide does not include all intentional killing; the difference is usually in the organization of the killing. Individuals or small groups usually commit homicide, whereas killing in armed conflict is usually committed by fairly cohesive groups of up to several hundred members and is thus usually excluded.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 789  $\overline{N}$ : 27  $\overline{T}$ : 22

# 4.84.113 Internally displaced persons, new displacement-disasters (number) (wdi\_id-pdis)

Internally displaced persons, new displacement associated with disasters (number of people). Internally displaced persons are defined according to the 1998 Guiding Principles (http://www.internaldisplacement.org/publications/1998/ocha-guiding-principles-on-internal-displacement) as people or groups of people who have been forced or obliged to flee or to leave their homes or places of habitual residence, in particular as a result of armed conflict, or to avoid the effects of armed conflict, situations of generalized violence, violations of human rights, or natural or human-made disasters and who have not crossed an international border. "New Displacement" refers to the number of new cases or incidents of displacement recorded, rather than the number of people displaced. This is done because people may have been displaced more than once.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2019 N: 32

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### International migrant stock (% of population) (wdi imig) 4.84.114

International migrant stock is the number of people born in a country other than that in which they live. It also includes refugees. The data used to estimate the international migrant stock at a particular time are obtained mainly from population censuses. The estimates are derived from the data on foreign-born population-people who have residence in one country but were born in another country. When data on the foreign-born population are not available, data on foreign populationthat is, people who are citizens of a country other than the country in which they reside-are used as estimates. After the breakup of the Soviet Union in 1991 people living in one of the newly independent countries who were born in another were classified as international migrants. Estimates of migrant stock in the newly independent states from 1990 on are based on the 1989 census of the Soviet Union. For countries with information on the international migrant stock for at least two points in time, interpolation or extrapolation was used to estimate the international migrant stock on July 1 of the reference years. For countries with only one observation, estimates for the reference years were derived using rates of change in the migrant stock in the years preceding or following the single observation available. A model was used to estimate migrants for countries that had no data.



# N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A $\overline{N}$ : N/A $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### Imports of goods and services (% of GDP) (wdi import) 4.84.115

Imports of goods and services represent the value of all goods and other market services received from the rest of the world. They include the value of merchandise, freight, insurance, transport, travel, royalties, license fees, and other services, such as communication, construction, financial, information, business, personal, and government services. They exclude compensation of employees and investment income (formerly called factor services) and transfer payments.



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 1682  $\overline{N}$ : 28  $\overline{T}$ : 47

#### 4.84.116 Income share held by highest 10% (wdi incsh10h)

Income share held by highest 10%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles.



N: 33



Min. Year: 1967 Max. Year: 2018  $\mathbf{N}:$  35  $\mathbf{n}:$  561  $\overline{N}:$  11  $\overline{T}:$  16

### 4.84.117 Income share held by lowest 10% (wdi incsh10l)

Income share held by lowest 10%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles.





Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 561  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 16

#### 4.84.118 Income share held by second 20% (wdi incsh202)

Income share held by second 20%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles. Percentage shares by quintile may not sum to 100 because of rounding.





Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 561  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 16

#### 4.84.119 Income share held by third 20% (wdi incsh203)

Income share held by third 20%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles. Percentage shares by quintile may not sum to 100 because of rounding.





Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 561  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 16

# 4.84.120 Income share held by fourth 20% (wdi incsh204)

Income share held by fourth 20%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles. Percentage shares by quintile may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33



Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 561  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 16

## 4.84.121 Income share held by highest 20% (wdi incsh20h)

Income share held by highest 20%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles. Percentage shares by quintile may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



N: 33



Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 561  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 16

# 4.84.122 Income share held by lowest 20% (wdi incsh20l)

Income share held by lowest 20%. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles. Percentage shares by quintile may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



#### 4.84.123 Inflation, consumer prices (annual %) (wdi inflation)

Inflation as measured by the consumer price index reflects the annual percentage change in the cost to the average consumer of acquiring a basket of goods and services that may be fixed or changed at specified intervals, such as yearly. The Laspeyres formula is generally used.



#### 4.84.124 Interest payments (% of expense) (wdi interexp)

Interest payments as percentage of expense include interest payments on government debt-including long-term bonds, long-term loans, and other debt instruments-to domestic and foreign residents.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 890  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 25

#### 4.84.125 Individuals using the Internet (% of population) (wdi internet)

Internet users are individuals who have used the Internet (from any location) in the last 3 months. The Internet can be used via a computer, mobile phone, personal digital assistant, games machine, digital TV etc.



N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1066  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

# 4.84.126 Interest payments (% of revenue) (wdi\_interrev)

Interest payments as percentage of revenue include interest payments on government debt-including long-term bonds, long-term loans, and other debt instruments-to domestic and foreign residents.



#### 4.84.127 Labor force with advanced education % of total working-age pop. (wdi\_lfpedua)

The percentage of the working age population with an advanced level of education who are in the labor force. Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



## 4.84.128 Labor force with advanced education % of female working-age pop. (wdi\_lfpeduaf)

The percentage of the working age female population with an advanced level of education who are in the labor force. Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



## 4.84.129 Labor force with advanced education % of male working-age pop. (wdi\_lfpeduam)

The percentage of the working age male population with an advanced level of education who are in the labor force. Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



N: 34



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 782  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.84.130 Labor force with basic education % of total working-age pop. basic edu. (wdi lfpedub)

The percentage of the working age population with a basic level of education who are in the labor force. Basic education comprises primary education or lower secondary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33



Min. Year: 1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 34 n: 774  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 23

# 4.84.131 Labor force with basic education % of female working-age pop. basic edu. (wdi lfpedubf)

The percentage of the working age female population with a basic level of education who are in the labor force. Basic education comprises primary education or lower secondary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 34 n: 774  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 23

## 4.84.132 Labor force with basic education % of male working-age pop. w. basic edu. (wdi lfpedubm)

The percentage of the working age male population with a basic level of education who are in the labor force. Basic education comprises primary education or lower secondary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



#### 4.84.133 Labor force with intermediate education % of total working-age pop. (wdi\_lfpedui)

The percentage of the working age population with an intermediate level of education who are in the labor force. Intermediate education comprises upper secondary or post-secondary non tertiary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



#### 4.84.134 Labor force with intermediate education % of female working-age pop. (wdi\_lfpeduif)

The percentage of the working age female population with an intermediate level of education who are in the labor force. Intermediate education comprises upper secondary or post-secondary non tertiary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).





**Min. Year**:1990 **Max. Year**: 2019 **N**: 36 **n**: 782  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22

### 4.84.135 Labor force with intermediate education % of male working-age pop. (wdi\_lfpeduim)

The percentage of the working age male population with an intermediate level of education who are in the labor force. Intermediate education comprises upper secondary or post-secondary non tertiary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



4.84.136 Labor force, female (% of total labor force) (wdi lfpf)

Female labor force as a percentage of the total show the extent to which women are active in the labor force. Labor force comprises people ages 15 and older who meet the International Labour Organization's definition of the economically active population.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1102  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 31

# 4.84.137 Labor force participation rate (% female ages 15+) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_lfpfilo15)

Labor force participation rate (% of female ages 15+) (modeled ILO est.). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15 and older that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.





Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1102  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 31

#### 

Labor force participation rate (% of female ages 15+) (national est.). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15 and older that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1434  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 40

# 4.84.139 Labor force participation rate (% of total ages 15+) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_lfpilo15)

Labor force participation rate (% of total ages 15+) (modeled ILO est.). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15 and older that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



#### 4.84.140 Labor force participation rate(% of male ages 15+) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_lfpmilo15)

Labor force participation rate (% of male ages 15+) (modeled ILO est.). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15 and older that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



# 4.84.141 Labor force participation rate (% of male ages 15+) (national est.) (wdi\_lfpmne15)

Labor force participation rate (% of male ages 15+) (national est.). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15 and older that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1434  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 40

# 4.84.142 Labor force participation rate (% of total ages 15+) (national est.) (wdi\_lfpne15)

Labor force participation rate (% of total ages 15+) (national est.). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15 and older that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



# 4.84.143 Labor force participation rate, total (% of total pop. ages 15-64) (ILO) (wdi\_lfpr)

Labor force participation rate, total (% of total population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15-64 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1102  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 31

# 4.84.144 Labor force participation rate, female (% of female pop. ages 15-64) (ILO) (wdi\_lfprf)

Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15-64 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



**N**: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1102  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 31

### 4.84.145 Labor force participation rate, male (% of male pop. ages 15-64) (ILO) (wdi\_lfprm)

Labor force participation rate, male (% of male population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate). Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the population ages 15-64 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1102  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 31

### 4.84.146 Labor force participation rate 15-24, female (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi lfpyfilo)

Labor force participation rate 15-24, female (%) (modeled ILO estimate). Labor force participation rate for ages 15-24 is the proportion of the population ages 15-24 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



#### 4.84.147 Labor force participation rate 15-24, female (%) (national est.) (wdi lfpyfne)

Labor force participation rate 15-24, female (%) (national estimate). Labor force participation rate for ages 15-24 is the proportion of the population ages 15-24 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



4.84.148 Labor force participation rate 15-24, total (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi lfpyilo)

Labor force participation rate 15-24, total (%) (modeled ILO estimate). Labor force participation rate for ages 15-24 is the proportion of the population ages 15-24 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



#### 4.84.149 Labor force participation rate 15-24, male (%) (modeled ILO) (wdi lfpymilo)

Labor force participation rate 15-24, male (%) (modeled ILO estimate). Labor force participation rate for ages 15-24 is the proportion of the population ages 15-24 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1102  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 31

## 4.84.150 Labor force participation rate 15-24, male (%) (national est.) (wdi lfpymne)

Labor force participation rate 15-24, male (%) (national estimate). Labor force participation rate for ages 15-24 is the proportion of the population ages 15-24 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1390  $\overline{N}$ : 23  $\overline{T}$ : 39

# 4.84.151 Labor force participation rate 15-24, total (%) (national est.) (wdi lfpyne)

Labor force participation rate 15-24, total (%) (national estimate). Labor force participation rate for ages 15-24 is the proportion of the population ages 15-24 that is economically active: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period.



# 4.84.152 Life expectancy at birth, total (years) (wdi lifexp)

Life expectancy at birth indicates the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns of mortality at the time of its birth were to stay the same throughout its life.



N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1893  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

# 4.84.153 Life expectancy at birth, female (years) (wdi\_lifexpf)

Life expectancy at birth for females indicates the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns of mortality at the time of its birth were to stay the same throughout its life.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1893  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

#### Life expectancy at birth, male (years) (wdi lifexpm) 4.84.154

Life expectancy at birth for males indicates the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns of mortality at the time of its birth were to stay the same throughout its life.



#### 4.84.155Lifetime risk of maternal death (%) (wdi lrmd)

Life time risk of maternal death is the probability that a 15-year-old female will die eventually from a maternal cause assuming that current levels of fertility and mortality (including maternal mortality) do not change in the future, taking into account competing causes of death.





Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2017  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 648  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 18

#### 4.84.156Net migration (wdi migration)

Net migration is the net total of migrants during the period, that is, the total number of immigrants less the annual number of emigrants, including both citizens and noncitizens. Data are five-year estimates.



#### 4.84.157Mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 people) (wdi mobile)

Mobile cellular telephone subscriptions are subscriptions to a public mobile telephone service that provide access to the PSTN using cellular technology. The indicator includes (and is split into) the number of postpaid subscriptions, and the number of active prepaid accounts (i.e. that have been used during the last three months). The indicator applies to all mobile cellular subscriptions that offer voice communications. It excludes subscriptions via data cards or USB modems, subscriptions to public mobile data services, private trunked mobile radio, telepoint, radio paging and telemetry services.



4.84.158 Mortality rate, adult, female (per 1,000 female adults) (wdi mortf)

Adult mortality rate is the probability of dying between the ages of 15 and 60 - that is, the probability of a 15-year-old dying before reaching age 60, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of the specified year between those ages.



N: 35



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1831  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 51

# 4.84.159 Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births) (wdi mortinf)

Infant mortality rate is the number of infants dying before reaching one year of age, per 1,000 live births in a given year.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

# 4.84.160 Mortality rate, infant, female (per 1,000 live births) (wdi\_mortinff)

Infant mortality rate, female is the number of female infants dying before reaching one year of age, per 1,000 female live births in a given year.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

## 4.84.161 Mortality rate, infant, male (per 1,000 live births) (wdi\_mortinfm)

Infant mortality rate, male is the number of male infants dying before reaching one year of age, per 1,000 male live births in a given year.



4.84.162 Mortality rate, adult, male (per 1,000 male adults) (wdi mortm)

Adult mortality rate is the probability of dying between the ages of 15 and 60–that is, the probability of a 15-year-old dying before reaching age 60, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of the specified year between those ages.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1831  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 51

# 4.84.163 Mortality rate, neonatal (per 1,000 live births) (wdi mortnn)

Neonatal mortality rate is the number of neonates dying before reaching 28 days of age, per 1,000 live births in a given year.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1840  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 51

# 4.84.164 Mortality rate, under-5 (per 1,000 live births) (wdi\_mortu5)

Under-five mortality rate is the probability per 1,000 that a newborn baby will die before reaching age five, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of the specified year.





Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

# 4.84.165 Mortality rate, under-5, female (per 1,000 live births) (wdi\_mortu5f)

Under-five mortality rate, female is the probability per 1,000 that a newborn female baby will die before reaching age five, if subject to female age-specific mortality rates of the specified year.



4.84.166 Mortality rate, under-5, male (per 1,000 live births) (wdi mortu5m)

Under-five mortality rate, male is the probability per 1,000 that a newborn male baby will die before reaching age five, if subject to male age-specific mortality rates of the specified year.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1919  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

# 4.84.167 School enrollment, primary (% net) (wdi nerp)

Net enrollment rate is the ratio of children of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age. Primary education provides children with basic reading, writing, and mathematics skills along with an elementary understanding of such subjects as history, geography, natural science, social science, art, and music.





Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 1030  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 29

# 4.84.168 School enrollment, primary, female (% net) (wdi nerpf)

Net enrollment rate is the ratio of girls of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age. Primary education provides children with basic reading, writing, and mathematics skills along with an elementary understanding of such subjects as history, geography, natural science, social science, art, and music. Females.





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 

Min. Year:1971 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 656  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.84.169 School enrollment, primary, male (% net) (wdi nerpm)

Net enrollment rate is the ratio of boys of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age. Primary education provides children with basic reading, writing, and mathematics skills along with an elementary understanding of such subjects as history, geography, natural science, social science, art, and music. Male.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1971 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 656  $\overline{N}$ : 14  $\overline{T}$ : 19

# 4.84.170 Adjusted net enrollment rate, primary (% of primary school children) (wdi\_nerpr)

Adjusted net enrollment is the number of pupils of the school-age group for primary education, enrolled either in primary or secondary education, expressed as a percentage of the total population in that age group.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35



Min. Year:1970 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 967  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 28

# 4.84.171 Adjusted net enrollment rate, primary female (% of primary school children) (wdi nerprf)

Adjusted net enrollment is the number of female pupils of the school-age group for primary education, enrolled either in primary or secondary education, expressed as a percentage of the total population in that age group. Female.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1971 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 631  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

# 4.84.172 Adjusted net enrollment rate, primary male (% of primary school children) (wdi\_nerprm)

Adjusted net enrollment is the number of male pupils of the school-age group for primary education, enrolled either in primary or secondary education, expressed as a percentage of the total population in that age group. Male.



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1971 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 631  $\overline{N}$ : 13  $\overline{T}$ : 19

#### 4.84.173 School enrollment, secondary (% net) (wdi ners)

Net enrollment rate is the ratio of children of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age. Secondary education completes the provision of basic education that began at the primary level, and aims at laying the foundations for lifelong learning and human development, by offering more subject- or skill-oriented instruction using more specialized teachers.



#### 4.84.174 School enrollment, secondary, female (% net) (wdi nersf)

Net enrollment rate is the ratio of girls of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age. Secondary education completes the provision of basic education that began at the primary level, and aims at laying the foundations for lifelong learning and human development, by offering more subject- or skill-oriented instruction using more specialized teachers. Female.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 34



Min. Year:1971 Max. Year: 2017 N: 35 n: 800  $\overline{N}$ : 17  $\overline{T}$ : 23

# 4.84.175 School enrollment, secondary, male (% net) (wdi nersm)

Net enrollment rate is the ratio of boys of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age. Secondary education completes the provision of basic education that began at the primary level, and aims at laying the foundations for lifelong learning and human development, by offering more subject- or skill-oriented instruction using more specialized teachers. Male.



#### 4.84.176 Oil rents (% of GDP) (wdi oilrent)

Oil rents are the difference between the value of crude oil production at world prices and total costs of production.



4.84.177 Out-of-pocket expenditure (% of current health expenditure) (wdi ophexp)

Out-of-pocket expenditure (% of current health expenditure). Share of out-of-pocket payments of total current health expenditures. Out-of-pocket payments are spending on health directly out-of-pocket by households.



**n. Year**:2015 Max. Year: 20 N: 36



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 684  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 19

# 4.84.178 Population, total (wdi pop)

Total population is based on the defacto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship. The values shown are midyear estimates.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1933  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 54

# 4.84.179 Population ages 0-14 (% of total population) (wdi\_pop14)

Total population between the ages 0 to 14 as a percentage of the total population. Population is based on the de facto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship.



# 4.84.180 Population ages 15-64 (% of total population) (wdi pop1564)

Total population between the ages 15 to 64 as a percentage of the total population. Population is based on the defacto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship.



4.84.181 Population ages 65 and above (% of total population) (wdi pop65)

Population ages 65 and above as a percentage of the total population. Population is based on the de facto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship.



### 4.84.182 Population density (people per sq. km of land area) (wdi popden)

Population density is midyear population divided by land area in square kilometers. Population is based on the defacto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship—except for refugees not permanently settled in the country of asylum, who are generally considered part of the population of their country of origin. Land area is a country's total area, excluding area under inland water bodies, national claims to continental shelf, and exclusive economic zones. In most cases the definition of inland water bodies includes major rivers and lakes.



N: 36



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1791  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 50

### 4.84.183 Population, female (% of total population) (wdi popf)

Female population is the percentage of the population that is female. Population is based on the de facto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship.



# 4.84.184 Population growth (annual %) (wdi\_popgr)

Annual population growth rate for year t is the exponential rate of growth of midyear population from year t-1 to t, expressed as a percentage. Population is based on the de facto definition of population,

which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship.



# 4.84.185 Rural population (% of total population) (wdi poprul)

Rural population refers to people living in rural areas as defined by national statistical offices. It is calculated as the difference between total population and urban population.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1933  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 54

# 4.84.186 Rural population growth (annual %) (wdi poprulgr)

Rural population growth. Rural population refers to people living in rural areas as defined by national statistical offices. It is calculated as the difference between total population and urban population.





Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1905  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 53

## 4.84.187 Urban population (% of total population) (wdi popurb)

Urban population refers to people living in urban areas as defined by national statistical offices. The data are collected and smoothed by United Nations Population Division.



#### 4.84.188 Urban population growth (annual %) (wdi popurbagr)

Urban population growth. Urban population refers to people living in urban areas as defined by national statistical offices. It is calculated using World Bank population estimates and urban ratios from the United Nations World Urbanization Prospects.



### 4.84.189 Poverty gap at USD 1.90 a day (2011 PPP) (%) (wdi povgap190)

Poverty gap at 1.90 dollars a day (2011 PPP) is the mean shortfall in income or consumption from the poverty line 1.90 dollars a day (counting the nonpoor as having zero shortfall), expressed as a percentage of the poverty line. This measure reflects the depth of poverty as well as its incidence. As a result of revisions in PPP exchange rates, poverty rates for individual countries cannot be compared with poverty rates reported in earlier editions. Note: five countries – Bangladesh, Cabo Verde, Cambodia, Jordan, and Lao PDR – use the 2005 PPP conversion factors and corresponding 1.25 dollars a day and 2 dollars a day poverty lines. This is due to the large deviations in the rate of change in PPP factors relative to the rate of change in domestic consumer price indexes. See Box 1.1 in the Global Monitoring Report 2015/2016 (http://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/globalmonitoring-report) for a detailed explanation.



4.84.190 Poverty gap at USD 3.20 a day (2011 PPP) (%) (wdi povgap320)

Poverty gap at 3.20 dollars a day (2011 PPP) is the mean shortfall in income or consumption from the poverty line 3.20 dollars a day (counting the nonpoor as having zero shortfall), expressed as a percentage of the poverty line. This measure reflects the depth of poverty as well as its incidence (% of population).





Min. Year:1967 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35 n: 561  $\overline{N}$ : 11  $\overline{T}$ : 16

### 4.84.191 Electric power consumption (kWh per capita) (wdi powcon)

Electric power consumption measures the production of power plants and combined heat and power plants less transmission, distribution, and transformation losses and own use by heat and power plants.



4.84.192 Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) (wdi precip)

Average precipitation is the long-term average in depth (over space and time) of annual precipitation in the country. Precipitation is defined as any kind of water that falls from clouds as a liquid or a solid.





Min. Year:1962 Max. Year: 2014 N: 36 n: 387  $\overline{N}$ : 7 $\overline{T}$ : 11

## 4.84.193 Part time employment, total (% of total employment) (wdi pte)

Part time employment, total (% of total employment). Part time employment refers to regular employment in which working time is substantially less than normal. Definitions of part time employment differ by country.





Min. Year:1976 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 945  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 26

### 4.84.194 Part time employment, female (% of total female employment) (wdi ptef)

Part time employment, female (% of total female employment). Part time employment refers to regular employment in which working time is substantially less than normal. Definitions of part time employment differ by country.



### 4.84.195 Part time employment, male (% of total male employment) (wdi ptem)

Part time employment, male (% of total male employment). Part time employment refers to regular employment in which working time is substantially less than normal. Definitions of part time employment differ by country.



4.84.196 Refugee population by country or territory of asylum (wdi refasy)

Refugees are people who are recognized as refugees under the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees or its 1967 Protocol, the 1969 Organization of African Unity Convention Governing the Specific Aspects of Refugee Problems in Africa, people recognized as refugees in accordance with the UNHCR statute, people granted refugee-like humanitarian status, and people provided temporary protection. Asylum seekers-people who have applied for asylum or refugee status and who have not yet received a decision or who are registered as asylum seekers-are excluded. Palestinian refugees are people (and their descendants) whose residence was Palestine between June 1946 and May 1948 and who lost their homes and means of livelihood as a result of the 1948 Arab-Israeli conflict. Country of asylum is the country where an asylum claim was filed and granted.



4.84.197 Refugee population by country or territory of origin (wdi refori)

Refugees are people who are recognized as refugees under the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees or its 1967 Protocol, the 1969 Organization of African Unity Convention Governing the Specific Aspects of Refugee Problems in Africa, people recognized as refugees in accordance with the UNHCR statute, people granted refugee-like humanitarian status, and people provided temporary protection. Asylum seekers-people who have applied for asylum or refugee status and who have not yet received a decision or who are registered as asylum seekers-are excluded. Palestinian refugees are people (and their descendants) whose residence was Palestine between June 1946 and May 1948 and who lost their homes and means of livelihood as a result of the 1948 Arab-Israeli conflict. Country of origin generally refers to the nationality or country of citizenship of a claimant.



# 4.84.198 Self-employed, total (% of total employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi semp)

Self-employed workers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners or in cooperative, hold the type of jobs defined as a "self-employment jobs". i.e. jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced. Self-employed workers include four sub-categories of employers, own-account workers, members of producers' cooperatives, and contributing family workers. Modeled ILO estimate.



4.84.199 Self-employed, female (% of female employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi sempf)

Self-employed female workers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners or in cooperative, hold the type of jobs defined as a "self-employment jobs". i.e. jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced. Self-employed workers include four sub-categories of employers, own-account workers, members of producers' cooperatives, and contributing family workers. Modeled ILO estimate.



# 4.84.200 Self-employed, male (% of male employment) (modeled ILO) (wdi sempm)

Self-employed male workers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners or in cooperative, hold the type of jobs defined as a "self-employment jobs". i.e. jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced. Self-employed workers include four sub-categories of employers, own-account workers, members of producers' cooperatives, and contributing family workers. Modeled ILO estimate.



**N:** 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

### 4.84.201 Smoking prevalence, females (% of adults) (wdi smokf)

Prevalence of smoking, female is the percentage of women ages 15 and over who smoke any form of tobacco, including cigarettes, cigars, pipes or any other smoked tobacco products. Data include daily and non-daily or occasional smoking.



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.84.202 Smoking prevalence, males (% of adults) (wdi smokm)

Prevalence of smoking, male is the percentage of men ages 15 and over who smoke any form of tobacco, including cigarettes, cigars, pipes or any other smoked tobacco products. Data include daily and non-daily or occasional smoking.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### 4.84.203 Services, value added (constant 2010 US dollar) (wdi sva2010)

Services, value added (constant 2010 US dollar). Services correspond to ISIC divisions 50-99. They include value added in wholesale and retail trade (including hotels and restaurants), transport, and government, financial, professional, and personal services such as education, health care, and real estate services. Also included are imputed bank service charges, import duties, and any statistical discrepancies noted by national compilers as well as discrepancies arising from rescaling. Value added is the net output of a sector after adding up all outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or depletion and degradation of natural resources. The industrial origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), revision 3 or 4. Data are in constant 2010 U.S. dollars.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1225  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 34

#### 4.84.204 Services, value added (annual % growth) (wdi svapg)

Services, value added (annual % growth). Annual growth rate for value added in services based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 2010 U.S. dollars. Services correspond to ISIC divisions 50-99. They include value added in wholesale and retail trade (including hotels and restaurants), transport, and government, financial, professional, and personal services such as education, health care, and real estate services. Also included are imputed bank service charges, import duties, and any statistical discrepancies noted by national compilers as well as discrepancies arising from rescaling. Value added is the net output of a sector after adding up all outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or depletion and degradation of natural resources. The industrial origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), revision 3 or 4.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1961 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1198  $\overline{N}$ : 20  $\overline{T}$ : 33

#### 4.84.205 Services, value added (% of GDP) (wdi svapgdp)

Services, value added (% of GDP). Services correspond to ISIC divisions 50-99 and they include value added in wholesale and retail trade (including hotels and restaurants), transport, and government, financial, professional, and personal services such as education, health care, and real estate services. Also included are imputed bank service charges, import duties, and any statistical discrepancies noted by national compilers as well as discrepancies arising from rescaling. Value added is the net output of a sector after adding up all outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or depletion and degradation of natural resources. The industrial origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), revision 3 or 4.



#### 4.84.206 Tax revenue (% of GDP) (wdi taxrev)

Tax revenue refers to compulsory transfers to the central government for public purposes. Certain compulsory transfers such as fines, penalties, and most social security contributions are excluded. Refunds and corrections of erroneously collected tax revenue are treated as negative revenue.

Note: The value for San Marino for 1995 was extremely high (44326) and has been recoded to missing.





Min. Year:1972 Max. Year: 2019 N: 35 n: 919  $\overline{N}$ : 19  $\overline{T}$ : 26

#### 4.84.207 Fixed telephone subscriptions (per 100 people) (wdi tele)

Fixed telephone subscriptions refers to the sum of active number of analogue fixed telephone lines, voice-over-IP (VoIP) subscriptions, fixed wireless local loop (WLL) subscriptions, ISDN voice-channel equivalents and fixed public payphones.



# 4.84.208 Trade (% of GDP) (wdi trade)

Trade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.



#### Trade in services (% of GDP) (wdi tradeserv) 4.84.209

Trade in services is the sum of service exports and imports divided by the value of GDP, all in current U.S. dollars.



#### 4.84.210Unemployment with advanced education (% of total labor force) (wdi unempedua)

The percentage of the labor force with an advanced level of education who are unemployed. Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).







Min. Year: 1990 Max. Year: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 787  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.84.211Unemployment with advanced education (% of female labor force) (wdi unempeduaf)

The percentage of the labor force with an advanced level of education who are unemployed. Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011). Female.



Min. Year: 2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 34



Min. Year: 1990 Max. Year: 2019  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 780  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22
#### 4.84.212 Unemployment with advanced education (% of male labor force) (wdi\_unempeduam)

The percentage of the labor force with an advanced level of education who are unemployed. Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011). Male.



#### 4.84.213 Unemployment with basic education (% of total labor force) (wdi\_unempedub)

The percentage of the labor force with a basic level of education who are unemployed. Basic education comprises primary education or lower secondary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33



#### 4.84.214 Unemployment with basic education (% of female labor force) (wdi\_unempedubf)

The percentage of the labor force with a basic level of education who are unemployed. Basic education comprises primary education or lower secondary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011). Female.





Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 33



#### 4.84.215 Unemployment with basic education (% of male labor force) (wdi\_unempedubm)

The percentage of the labor force with a basic level of education who are unemployed. Basic education comprises primary education or lower secondary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011). Male.



#### 4.84.216 Unemployment with intermediate education (% of total labor force) (wdi\_unempedui)

The percentage of the labor force with an intermediate level of education who are unemployed. Intermediate education comprises upper secondary or post-secondary non tertiary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011).



ear:2014 Max. Year: 2 N: 34



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 780  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.84.217 Unemployment with intermediate education (% of female labor force) (wdi\_unempeduif)

The percentage of the labor force with an intermediate level of education who are unemployed. Intermediate education comprises upper secondary or post-secondary non tertiary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011). Female.



N: 34



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 778  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.84.218 Unemployment with intermediate education (% of male labor force) (wdi\_unempeduim)

The percentage of the labor force with an intermediate level of education who are unemployed. Intermediate education comprises upper secondary or post-secondary non tertiary education according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED 2011). Male.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 34



Min. Year:1990 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 776  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 22

#### 4.84.219 Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_unempfilo)

Unemployment refers to the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Female.



N: 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.84.220 Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) (national est.) (wdi\_unempfne)

Unemployment refers to the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country. Female.



#### 4.84.221 Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) (modeled ILO) (wdi unempilo)

Unemployment refers to the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Total.



#### 4.84.222 Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) (modeled ILO) (wdi\_unempmilo)

Unemployment refers to the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Male.





Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

#### 4.84.223 Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) (national est.) (wdi unempmne)

Unemployment refers to the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country. Male.





Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1439  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 40

#### 4.84.224 Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) (national est.) (wdi unempne)

Unemployment refers to the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country. Total.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1454  $\overline{N}$ : 24  $\overline{T}$ : 40

## 4.84.225 Unemployment, youth female (% of female labor force 15-24) (modeled ILO) (wdi unempyfilo)

Youth unemployment refers to the share of the labor force ages 15-24 without work but available for and seeking employment.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

## 4.84.226 Unemployment, youth female (% of female labor force 15-24) (nation est.) (wdi unempyfne)

Youth unemployment refers to the share of the labor force ages 15-24 without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year:1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1268  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 35

## 4.84.227 Unemployment, youth total (% of total labor force 15-24) (modeled ILO) (wdi unempyilo)

Youth unemployment refers to the share of the labor force ages 15-24 without work but available for and seeking employment.



N: 36



Min. Year:1991 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1073  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 30

## 4.84.228 Unemployment, youth male (% of male labor force 15-24) (modeled ILO) (wdi unempymilo)

Youth unemployment refers to the share of the labor force ages 15-24 without work but available for and seeking employment.



## 4.84.229 Unemployment, youth male (% of male labor force 15-24) (national est.) (wdi unempymne)

Youth unemployment refers to the share of the labor force ages 15-24 without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1268  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 35

## 4.84.230 Unemployment, youth total (% of total labor force 15-24) (national est.) (wdi\_unempyne)

Youth unemployment refers to the share of the labor force ages 15-24 without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 1268  $\overline{N}$ : 21  $\overline{T}$ : 35

#### Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments (%) (wdi wip) 4.84.231

Women in parliaments are the percentage of parliamentary seats in a single or lower chamber held by women.



N: 36



Min. Year:1997 Max. Year: 2020  $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 855  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 24

#### 4.85World Economic Forum

http://reports.weforum.org/global-competitiveness-report-2019/downloads/ (World Economic Forum, 2019) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-22)

#### **Global Competitiveness Report 2019**

The Global Competitiveness Index 4.0 assesses the competitiveness landscape of 140 economies, measuring national competitiveness - defined as the set of institutions, policies and factors that determine the level of productivity. The Report presents information and data that were compiled and/or collected by the World Economic Forum organized into 12 pillars: Institutions, Infrastructure, ICT adoption, Macroeconomic Stability, Health, Skills, Product Market, Labor Market, Financial System, Market Size, Business Dynamism, and Innovation Capabilities.

#### Active labour market policies. 1-7 (best) (wef alp) 4.85.1

Active labour policies. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent do Labour market policies help unemployed people to reskill and find new employment (including skills matching, retraining, etc.)? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}$ : N/A  $\overline{T}$ : N/A

#### 4.85.2Strength of auditing and reporting standards. 1-7 (best) (wef audit)

Strength of auditing and reporting standards. 1-7 (best). In your country, how strong are financial auditing and reporting standards? [1 = extremely weak; 7 = extremely strong] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.3 Burden of government regulation. 1-7 (best) (wef bgr)

Burden of government regulation. 1-7 (best). In your country, how burdensome is it for companies to comply with public administration's requirements (e.g., permits, regulations, reporting)? [1 = extremely burdensome; 7 = not burdensome at all] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.4 Credit gap. percentage points (wef cg)

Credit gap. percentage points. Difference between the most recent domestic credit to private sector, as a percentage of GDP, and its 20-year trend. Original sources: The World Bank Group; World Economic Forum



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.5 Innovation capability. 0-100 (best) (wef ci)

Innovation capability. 0-100 (best). Original sources: World Economic Forum, Global Competitive-ness Report 2018





 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.6 Incidence of corruption. 0-100 (best) (wef cor)

Incidence of corruption. 0-100 (best). The Corruption Perceptions Index aggregates data from a number of different sources that provide perceptions of business people and country experts of the

level on corruption in the public sector. The scale ranges from 0 [highly corrupt] to 100 [very clean]. Original sources: Transparency International



Ain. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

#### 4.85.7 Debt dynamics. 0-100 (best) (wef ddyn)

Debt dynamics. 0-100 (best). Index measuring the change in public debt, weighted by a country's credit rating and debt level in relation to its GDP. Original sources: World Economic Forum; calculations based on data from International Monetary Fund and rating agencies



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.8 Digital skills among active population. 1-7 (best) (wef dsap)

Digital skills among active population. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent does the active population possess sufficient digital skills (e.g., computer skills, basic coding, digital reading)? [1 = not all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



lin. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.9 Efficiency of air transport services. 1-7 (best) (wef eair)

Efficiency of air transport services. 1-7 (best). In your country, how efficient (i.e., frequency, punctuality, speed, price) are air transport services? [1 = extremely inefficient-among the worst in the world; 7 = extremely efficient-among the best in the world] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.10 Ease of finding skilled employees. 1-7 (best) (wef efs)

Ease of finding skilled employees. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent can companies find people with the skills required to fill their vacancies? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.11 Electricity. 0-100 (best) (wef elec)

Electricity. 0-100 (best). This indicator is calculated by the World Economic Forum by aggregating two indicators that measure the electrification rate and electric power transmission and distribution losses. For more information, write to gcp@weforum.org.



#### 4.85.12 Percentage of population with access to electricity % pop. (wef elr)

Electricity access entails a household having initial access to sufficient electricity to power a basic bundle of energy services-at a minimum, several lightbulbs, task lighting (such as a flashlight), phone.

Sources: International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2018 (https://www.iea.org/weo2018/); The World Bank Group, Sustainable Energy for All database (https://datacatalog.worldbank.org/dataset/sustainableenergy-all, accessed 21 March 2019); national sources.



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.13 E-Participation Index . 0-1 (best) (wef epi)

E-Participation Index . 0-1 (best). This indicator assesses the use of online services to facilitate the provision of information by governments to citizens ("e-information sharing"), interaction with stake-holders ("e-consultation"), and engagement in decision-making processes. Original sources: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.14 Efficiency of seaport services. 1-7 (best) (wef eport)

Efficiency of seaport services. 1-7 (best). In your country, how efficient (i.e., frequency, punctuality, speed, price) are seaport services (ferries, boats) (for landlocked countries: assess access to seaport services) [1 = extremely inefficient-among the worst in the world; 7 = extremely efficient-among the best in the world] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.15 Efficiency of train services. 1-7 (best) (wef erail)

Efficiency of train services. 1-7 (best). In your country, how efficient (i.e., frequency, punctuality, speed, price) are train transport services? [1 = extremely inefficient-among the worst in the world; 7 = extremely efficient-among the best in the world] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 35

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.16 Fixed-broadband Internet subscriptions/100 pop. (wef fis)

Fixed-broadband Internet subscriptions. Fixed-broadband Internet subscriptions per 100 population Original sources: International Telecommunications Union (ITU)



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.17 Global Competitiveness Index 4.0. 0-100 (best) (wef gci)

Global Competitiveness Index 4.0. 0-100 (best). The Global Competitiveness Index 4.0 assesses the microeconomic and macroeconomic foundations of national competitiveness, which is defined as the

set of institutions, policies, and factors that determine the level of productivity of a country. Original sources: World Economic Forum, Global Competitiveness Report 2018



Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.18 Gross domestic product (billions, PPP) (wef gdpp2)

Gross domestic product (GDP) PPP dollar valued at purchasing power parity in billions of international dollars (constant 2011 prices). Original sources: International Monetary Fund (IMF)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.19 Growth of innovative companies. 1-7 (best) (wef gic)

Growth of innovative companies. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent do new companies with innovative ideas grow rapidly? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.20 Ease of hiring foreign labour. 1-7 (best) (wef hfl)

Ease of hiring foreign labour. 1-7 (best). To what extent does labour regulation in your country limit the ability to hire foreign labour? (1 = very much limits hiring foreign labour, 7 = does not limit hiring foreign labour at all) Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.21 Hiring and firing practices. 1-7 (best) (wef\_hfp)

Hiring and firing practices. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent do regulations allow flexible hiring and firing of workers? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.22 Homicide rate. /100,000 pop. (wef hom)

Homicide rate. /100,000 pop.. Number of homicide cases per 100,000 population Original sources: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC)





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.23 Inflation. Annual % change (wef infl)

Inflation. Annual % change. Annual percent change in consumer price index (year average) Original sources: International Monetary Fund (IMF)



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.24 Intellectual property protection. 1-7 (best) (wef ipr)

Intellectual property protection. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent is intellectual property protected? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.25 Internet users. % pop. (wef iu)

Internet users. % pop.. Percentage of individuals using the Internet Original sources: International Telecommunications Union (ITU)



N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.26 Judicial independence. 1-7 (best) (wef\_ji)

Judicial independence. 1-7 (best). In your country, how independent is the judicial system from influences of the government, individuals, or companies? [1 = not independent at all; 7 = entirely independent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.27 Cooperation in labour-employer relations. 1-7 (best) (wef\_ler)

Cooperation in Labour-employer relations. 1-7 (best). In your country, how do you characterize Labour-employer relations? [1 = generally confrontational; 7 = generally cooperative] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.28 School life expectancy. Years (wef lse)

School life expectancy. Years. Total number of years of schooling (primary through tertiary) that a child can expect to receive, assuming that the probability of his or her being enrolled in school at any particular future age is equal to the current enrollment ratio at that age. Original sources: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.29 Extent of market dominance. 1-7 (best) (wef md)

Extent of market dominance. 1-7 (best). In your country, how do you characterize corporate activity? [1 = dominated by a few business groups; 7 = spread among many firms] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.30 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions/100 pop. (wef mobile)

Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions. Number of mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions per 100 population Original sources: International Telecommunications Union (ITU)





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.31 Organized crime. 1-7 (best) (wef oc)

Organized crime. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent does organized crime (mafia-oriented racketeering, extortion) impose costs on businesses? [1 = to a great extent-imposes huge costs; 7 = not at all-imposes no costs] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.32 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers. 1-7 (best) (wef pntb)

Prevalence of non-tariff barriers. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent do non-tariff barriers (e.g., health and product standards, technical and labeling requirements, etc.) limit the ability of imported goods to compete in the domestic market? [1 = strongly limit; 7 = do not limit at all] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.33 Pay and productivity. 1-7 (best) (wef pp)

Pay and productivity. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent is pay related to employee productivity? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year: 2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.34 Property rights. 1-7 (best) (wef pr)

Property rights. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent are property rights, including financial assets, protected? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.35 Transport infrastructure. 0-100 (best) (wef qoi)

2.A Transport infrastructure. 0-100 (best). This indicator is calculated by the World Economic Forum by aggregating eight indicators that measure roads, railroads, air transport and water transport infrastructure. For more information, write to gcp@weforum.org. Original sources: World Economic Forum, Global Competitiveness Report 2018



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.36 Quality of road infrastructure. 1-7 (best) (wef qroad)

Quality of roads. 1-7 (best). In your country, how is the quality (extensiveness and condition) of road infrastructure [1 = extremely poor-among the worst in the world; 7 = extremly good-among the best in the world] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.37 Quality of vocational training. 1-7 (best) (wef qvt)

Quality of vocational training. 1-7 (best). In your country, how do you assess the quality of vocational training? [1 = extremely poor-among the worst in the world; 7 = excellent-among the best in the world] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



**11n. Year**:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.38 Reliability of police services. 1-7 (best) (wef rps)

Reliability of police services. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent can police services be relied upon to enforce law and order? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.39 Skillset of secondary-education graduates. 1-7 (best) (wef shg)

Skillset of secondary-education graduates. 1-7 (best). Response to the survey question "In your country, to what extent do graduating students possess the skills needed by businesses at the following levels: a. Secondary education" [1 = Not at all; 7 = To a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



**N:** 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.40 Financing of SMEs. 1-7 (best) (wef smec)

Financing of SMEs. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent can small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) access finance they need for their business operations through the financial sector?

[1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.41 Scientific publications. H Index (wef sp)

Scientific publications. H Index. Score on an index measuring the number of publications and their citations, expressed at the country level. Original sources: SCImago



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.42 Skillset of university graduates. 1-7 (best) (wef sug)

Skillset of university graduates. 1-7 (best). Response to the survey question "In your country, to what extent do graduating students possess the skills needed by businesses at the following levels: b. University-level (1 = Not at all; 7 = To a great extent)" Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.43 Terrorism incidence. 1 (very high) - 100 (no incidence) (wef ti)

Terrorism incidence. 1 (very high) - 100 (no incidence). This custom-built index is the weighted average of the number of terrorism-related casualties (injuries and fatalities) and the number of terrorist attacks, discounted by time. Each component is normalized separately and then averaged. Values range from 1 [highest incidence] to 100 [no incidence]. Original sources: National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism (START)



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.44 University-industry collaboration in R&D (1-7) (wef uic)

University-industry collaboration in R&D. 1-7 (best). In your country, to what extent do business and universities collaborate on research and development (R&D)? [1 = do not colLabourate at all; 7 = colLabourate extensively] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.45 Venture capital availability. 1-7 (best) (wef vca)

Venture capital availability. 1-7 (best). In your country, how easy is it for start-up entrepreneurs with innovative but risky projects to obtain equity funding? [1 = extremely difficult; 7 = extremely easy] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.46 Flexibility of wage determination. 1-7 (best) (wef\_wbp)

Flexibility of wage determination. 1-7 (best). In your country, how are wages generally set? [1 = by a centralized bargaining process; 7 = by each individual company] Original sources: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.47 Water. 0-100 (best) (wef wi)

Water infrastructure. 0-100 (best). Original sources: World Economic Forum, Global Competitiveness Report 2018



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.48 Ratio of wage and salaried female workers to male workers (wef wlf)

Ratio of wage and salaried female workers to male workers. Ratio. The ratio of the percentage of women aged 15-64 participating in the Labour force as wage and salaried workers to the percentage of men aged 15-64 participating in the labour force as wage and salaried workers. Original sources: International Labour Organization (ILO); World Economic Forum



# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.85.49 Workers' rights. 1-100 (best) (wef wr)

Workers' rights. 1-100 (best). This index is adapted from the ITUC Global Rights Index, which measures the level of protection of internationally recognized core Labour standards including civil rights, the right to bargain collectively, the right to strike, the right to associate freely, and access to due process rights. It does not take into account any element of firing regulations. The scale ranges from 1 [no protection] to 100 [high protection]. Original sources: International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC); World Economic Forum



Min. Year:2018 Max. Year: 2018 N: 33

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.86 Christian Welzel

http://www.cambridge.org/welzel (Welzel, 2013) (Data downloaded: 2015-04-14)

#### Data from Freedom Rising by Christian Welzel

The World Values Survey measures of secular values and emancipative values are theoretically explained and empirically tested for their cross-cultural reliability and validity in Freedom Rising, pp. 57-105. The backward estimates of emancipative values for decades before available survey data are explained in Freedom Rising, pp. 157-161.

#### 4.86.1 Citizen Rights (wel citrig)

Meaning: Conditional index that measures the prevalence of citizen rights as the presence of respect of political participation rights on the condition of the presence of respect of personal autonomy rights, using multiplication to combine the two [CitRig = PAR \* PPR].

Source: Welzel's (2013: 254-263) "citizen rights index", available annually for most countries in the world from 1981 to 2010.

Scaling: Index scores range from 0 for the complete absence of citizen rights in law and practice to 1 for their full presence in law and practice, with proper fractions for intermediate positions.

Links: Data sources, rescaling procedures and replication data are meticulously documented in the

Online Appendix to Welzel's (2013) Freedom Rising at www.cambridge.com/welzel (p. 72). Test statistics documenting this index's superior validity in comparison to alternative democracy measures are reported in Welzel (2013: 267-271).

Note: the missing code (-99) has been recoded to missing (.).



### ear: N/A N: 35 n: 957 $\overline{N}$ : 32 $\overline{T}$ : 27

#### 4.86.2 Control of Corruption (wel coc)

Meaning: Factor scale from the World Bank's "global governance indicators" measuring the degree of corruption control in a country.

Source: Alexander and Welzel (2011); Alexander, Inglehart and Welzel (2012). Categorization is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1996 to 2006.

Scaling: The factor scores are standardized into a range from minimum 0 (for the lowest ever observed corruption control) to maximum 1.0 (for the highest ever observed corruption control), with fractions for intermediate positions. Note: In the original data there exists two different observations for Dominica, the value has been recoded to missing for this country.





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\,\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.86.3 Democratic Rights (wel\_dr)

Meaning: 14-point index measuring the prevalence of democratic rights based on Freedom House's "civil liberties" and "political rights" ratings.

Source: Alexander and Welzel (2011); Alexander, Inglehart and Welzel (2012). Categorization is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1996 to 2006.

Scaling: The two Freedom House scales are inverted, averaged and standardized into a range from minimum 0 (no democratic rights) to 100 (maximum democratic rights), with percentages of the maximum rights for intermediate positions. Note: In the original data there exists two different observations for Dominica, the value has been recoded to missing for this country.



#### 4.86.4 Effective Democracy Index (wel edi)

Meaning: Conditional multi-point index measuring the extent of effective democracy, understood as the presence of democratic rights on the condition that honest governance puts them into real practice [EDI = DemRig \* HonGov].

Source: Alexander and Welzel (2011); Alexander, Inglehart and Welzel (2012). Categorization is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1996 to 2006.

Scaling: Scores are weighted percentages ranging from a theoretical minimum of 0 for the least effective or absent democracy to 100 for the most effective democracy. Note: In the original data there exists two different observations for Dominica, the value has been recoded to missing for this country.





N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



#### 4.86.5 Personal Autonomy Rights (wel par)

Meaning: The indicator measures to what extent a country enacts personal autonomy rights by law and respects them in practice.

Source: Welzel's (2013: 254-263) "personal autonomy rights index" based on Freedom House's "civil liberties" as well as Cingranelli/Richards' "integrity rights". Freedom House civil liberties are inverted and then standardized into a range from minimum 0 to maximum 1.0. CIRI integrity rights are also standardized into a range from minimum 0 to maximum 1.0. Then the average of the two is taken to measure personal autonomy rights. Measures exist on an annual basis from 1981 to 2010 for most countries in the world.

Scaling: Index scores range from 0 for the completely absent or disrespected personal autonomy rights to 1.0 for their full presence and respect, with proper fractions for intermediate positions.

Links: Data sources, rescaling procedures and replication data are meticulously documented in the Online Appendix to Welzel's (2013) Freedom Rising at www.cambridge.com/welzel (p. 72). Test statistics documenting this index's superior validity in comparison to alternative democracy measures are reported in Welzel (2013: 267-271).

Note: the missing code (-99) has been recoded to missing (.).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2010 N: 35 n: 983  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 28

#### 4.86.6 Political Participation Rights (wel ppr)

Meaning: The indicator measures to what extent a country enacts political participation rights by law and respects them in practice.

Source: Welzel's (2013: 254-263) "political participation rights index" based on Freedom House's "political rights" as well as Cingranelli/Richards' "empowerment rights". Freedom House political rights are inverted and then standardized into a range from minimum 0 to maximum 1.0. CIRI empowerment rights are also standardized into a range from minimum 0 to maximum 1.0. Then the average of the two is taken to measure political participation rights. Measures exist on an annual basis from 1981 to 2010 for most countries in the world.

Scaling: Index scores range from 0 for completely absent or disrespected political participation rights to 1.0 for their full presence and respect, with proper fractions for intermediate positions.

Links: Data sources, rescaling procedures and replication data are meticulously documented in the Online Appendix to Welzel's (2013) Freedom Rising at www.cambridge.com/welzel (p. 72). Test statistics documenting this index's superior validity in comparison to alternative democracy measures

are reported in Welzel (2013: 267-271). Note: the missing code (-99) has been recoded to missing (.).

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2010 N: 35 n: 957  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 27

#### 4.86.7 Regime Type (wel regtype)

Meaning: Regime types measure the 4-fold combination of personal autonomy rights and political participation rights, resulting in four combinations.

Source: Welzel, Freedom Rising (2013: 257-258). Typology is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1981 to 2010.

Scaling: 1 "Pure Autocracy": both personal autonomy rights and political participation rights below the scale midpoint (0.50); 2 "Inclusive Autocracy": personal autonomy rights below the scale midpoint, political participation rights above the scale midpoint; 3 "Liberal Autocracy": personal autonomy rights above the scale midpoint, political participation rights below; 4 "Minimal Democracy": both personal autonomy rights and political participation rights above the scale midpoint.

Links: Data sources, rescaling procedures and replication data are meticulously documented in the Online Appendix to Welzel's (2013) Freedom Rising at www.cambridge.com/welzel (p. 72). Test statistics documenting this index's superior validity in comparison to alternative democracy measures are reported in Welzel (2013: 267-271).



 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 

#### 4.86.8 Rule of Law Index (wel rli)

(Rule of Law + Control of Corruption) / 2

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1981 Max. Year: 2010 N: 35 n: 957  $\overline{N}$ : 32  $\overline{T}$ : 27



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2012 N: 35 n: 490  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 14

#### 4.86.9 Rule of Law (wel\_rol)

Meaning: Factor scale from the World Bank's "global governance indicators" measuring the degree of law enforcement in a country.

Source: Alexander and Welzel (2011); Alexander, Inglehart and Welzel (2012). Categorization is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1996 to 2006.

Scaling: The factor scores are standardized into a range from minimum 0 (for the lowest ever observed rule of law score) to maximum 1.0 (for the highest ever observed rule of law score), with fractions for

intermediate positions. Note: In the original data there exists two different observations for Dominica, the value has been recoded to missing for this country.



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A



Min. Year:1996 Max. Year: 2012 N: 35 n: 490  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 14

#### 4.86.10 Scalezone on Citizen Rights (wel scalezone)

Meaning: Categorical scale zones on the citizen rights index, distinguishing four categories from more completely to less completely autocratic, and then from less completely to more completely democratic.

Source: Welzel, Freedom Rising (2013: 255-256). Categorization is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1981 to 2010.

Scaling: 1 "Complete Autocracy": citizen rights score less equal 0.25; 2 "Incomplete Autocracy": citizen rights score above 0.25 and less equal 0.50; 3 "Incomplete Democracy": citizen rights score above 0.50 and less equal 0.75; 4 "Complete Democracy": citizen rights score above 0.75.

Links: Data sources, rescaling procedures and replication data are meticulously documented in the Online Appendix to Welzel's (2013) Freedom Rising at www.cambridge.com/welzel (p. 72). Test statistics documenting this index's superior validity in comparison to alternative democracy measures are reported in Welzel (2013: 267-271).





 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



#### 4.86.11 Political System Type (wel sys)

Meaning: 4-fold system typology derived from cross-tabulating democratic rights and honest governance.

Source: Alexander and Welzel (2011); Alexander, Inglehart and Welzel (2012). Categorization is available in annual measures for most countries of the world from 1996 to 2006.

1. "Unbound Autocracy": both democratic rights and honest governance below their scale midpoints

2. "Bounded Autocracy": democratic rights below, honest governance above the scale midpoint

3. "Ineffective Democracy": democratic rights above, honest governance below the scale midpoint

4. "Effective Democracy": both democratic rights and honest governance above the scale midpoint.

Note: In the original data there exists two different observations for Dominica, the value has been recoded to missing for this country.

## Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N/A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N/A}$ 



#### 4.87 Nyrup and Bramwell

https://www.nuffield.ox.ac.uk/our-research/research-centres/nuffield-politics-research -centre/whogov/download-dataset/ (Nyrup & Bramwell, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-12-03)

#### The WhoGov Dataset

The WhoGov dataset provides bibliographic information, such as gender and party affiliation, on cabinet members in July of every year in the period 1966-2016 in all countries with a population of more than 400,000 citizens. The dataset is highly flexible and can be used to calculate countless variables of interest, including the number of female ministers, ministerial experience, cabinet turnover and cabinet size at the country-year level. The data is based on cabinet compositions in July for all years apart from 1966, where data was only available for September and 1970, where they are using January instead of July. The original source also has disaggregated information at the individual cabinet member level (with more than 50,000 entries) that may be of interest for our users and it is available at https://www.nuffield.ox.ac.uk/our-research/research-centres/nuffield-politics-research-centre/whogov/download-dataset/.

#### 4.87.1 Number of years the leader in office continuously (wgov leadexp)

The number of years the person has been leader of the country in a row, continuous. Thus, it starts over if the leader is removed. The count starts at 1, when the leader first appear as leader in the dataset. Therefore, the measure is imprecise for leaders, who came to power before 1966.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1711  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.87.2 Number of cabinet ministers (wgov min)

Number of cabinet ministers. This number only include cabinet ministers.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1711  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.87.3 Average age of cabinet members (wgov\_minage)

Average age of cabinet ministers.



#### 4.87.4 Number of women among cabinet ministers (wgov minfem)

The number of women among cabinet ministers.





Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1711  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.87.5 Number of cabinet ministers with a military title (wgov minmil)

The number of cabinet ministers with a military title. It should be noted that the authors have not done any extra checks on this variable, and solely have relied on the information provided in the "Chief of State And Cabinet Members Of Foreign Governments" directory. The information is based on national customs. Thus, in some countries military titles are consistently used, while this not the case in other countries, and the authors therefore encourage researchers to be cautious when using this variable.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1711  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 48

#### 4.87.6 Average tenure of cabinet members (wgov minten)

The average tenure of cabinet ministers.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1709  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 47

#### 4.87.7 Adjusted retention rate of cabinet members (wgov mret)

The share of cabinet ministers, who where in the list of cabinet ministers for the previous year. This measure is adjusted for an expansion of number of cabinet members, so the number of cabinet ministers stays constant and the retention rate is therefore not influenced by an expansion of the cabinet.



## 4.87.8 Total number of government positions (inc. unoccupied and multiple positions hel (wgov tot)

Number of entries for the country in the dataset. This number includes unoccupied positions and multiple positions held by the same persons.



#### 4.87.9 Average age for all entries for the country in the dataset (wgov totage)

Average age for people, based on all entries for the country in the dataset. This includes unoccupied positions and multiple positions held by the same persons.



Min. Year:2015 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1711  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 48

## 4.87.10 Number of women in the total number of entries for the country in the dataset (wgov\_totfem)

The number of women in the total number of entries for the country in the dataset. This includes unoccupied positions and multiple positions held by the same persons.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1963 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1711  $\overline{N}$ : 30  $\overline{T}$ : 48

## 4.87.11 Number of people with a military title, based on all entries for the country in (wgov totmil)

The number of people with a military title, based on all entries for the country in the dataset. It should be noted that the authors have not done any extra checks on this variable, and solely have relied on the information provided in the "Chief of State And Cabinet Members Of Foreign Governments" directory. The information is based on national customs. Thus, in some countries military titles are consistently used, while this not the case in other countries, and the authors therefore encourage researchers to be cautious when using this variable.



#### 4.87.12 Average tenure for all entries for the country in the dataset (wgov totten)

The average tenure for people, based on all entries for the country in the dataset. This includes unoccupied positions and multiple positions held by the same persons.



#### 4.87.13 Adjusted retention rate for all entries for the country in the dataset (wgov\_tret)

The share of people in total number of entries for the country, who were also listed in the previous year. This measure is adjusted for an expansion of the size of total number of entries, so the number of entries for the country stays constant and the retention rate is therefore not influenced by an expansion of the total number of entries.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1964 Max. Year: 2020 N: 36 n: 1677  $\overline{N}$ : 29  $\overline{T}$ : 47

#### 4.88 World Health Organization

https://www.who.int/data/gho/ (World Health Organization, 2020) (Data downloaded: 2020-11-11)

#### Global Health Observatory data repository

The GHO data repository is WHO's gateway to health-related statistics for its 194 Member States. It provides access to over 1000 indicators on priority health topics including mortality and burden of diseases, the Millennium Development Goals (child nutrition, child health, maternal and reproductive health, immunization, HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria, neglected diseases, water and sanitation), non communicable diseases and risk factors, epidemic-prone diseases, health systems, environmental health, violence and injuries, equity among others.

#### 4.88.1 Alcohol consumption per capita (who\_alcohol10)

Alcohol consumption per capita, all types of alcohol.



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 1960 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36 n: 1852  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 51

#### 4.88.2 Population using at least basic drinking water services (%), Total (who\_dwtot)

Population using at least basic drinking water services (%), Total



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2017 N: 34 n: 600  $\overline{N}$ : 33  $\overline{T}$ : 18

#### 4.88.3 Healthy Life Expectancy, Female (who halef)

Healthy life expectancy (HALE) at birth (years), Female



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

4.88.4 Healthy Life Expectancy, Male (who halem)

Healthy life expectancy (HALE) at birth (years), Male



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.5 Healthy Life Expectancy, Total (who\_halet)

Healthy life expectancy (HALE) at birth (years), Total



## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.6 Homicide Rate, Female (who\_homf)

Homicide Rate, Estimates of rates of homicides per 100 000 population, Female



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.7 Homicide Rate, Male (who homm)

Homicide Rate, Estimates of rates of homicides per 100 000 population, Male



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.8 Homicide Rate, Total (who homt)

Homicide Rate, Estimates of rates of homicides per 100 000 population, Total



### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.9 Infant mortality rate, Female (who\_infmortf)

Infant mortality rate - Female (probability of dying between birth and age 1 per 1000 live births)

#### 424



4.88.10 Infant mortality rate, Male (who infmortm)

Infant mortality rate - Male (probability of dying between birth and age 1 per 1000 live births)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year:1950 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 2168  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 60

#### 4.88.11 Infant mortality rate, Total (who infmortt)

Infant mortality rate - Total (probability of dying between birth and age 1 per 1000 live births)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



#### 4.88.12 Life Expectancy, Female (who lef)

Life Expectancy at birth in years, Female Note: The data for Rwanda for the years 2000-2015 has been dropped due to having several values for the same observations





 $\mathbf{N}$ : 36  $\mathbf{n}$ : 612  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 17

#### 4.88.13 Life Expectancy, Male (who lem)

Life Expectancy at birth in years, Male Note: The data for Rwanda for the years 2000-2015 has been dropped due to having several values for the same observations



#### 4.88.14 Life Expectancy, Total (who let)

Life Expectancy at birth in years, Total

Note: The data for Rwanda for the years 2000-2015 has been dropped due to having several values for the same observations.



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 612  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 17

4.88.15 Maternal Mortality Rate (per 100 000 live births) (who\_matmort) Maternal Mortality Rate (per 100 000 live births)



Min. Year:2017 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36



Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 648  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 18



Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Female





Min. Year: 2000 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 612  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 17

4.88.17 Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Male (who\_mrm) Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Male



4.88.18 Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Total (who mrt)

Adult Mortality Rate (per 1000 population), Total



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36 n: 612  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 17

4.88.19 Estimated road traffic death rate (100,000 population) (who\_roadtrd)

Estimated road traffic death rate (per  $100\ 000$  population)



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36



 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

**4.88.20** Total population using basic sanitation services (%) (who\_sanittot) Total population using basic sanitation services (%)





Min. Year:2000 Max. Year: 2017 N: 36 n: 642  $\overline{N}$ : 36  $\overline{T}$ : 18

4.88.21 Suicide Rate (per 100 000 population), Female (who\_suif) Age-standardized suicide rates (per 100 000 population), Female



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36

Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.22 Suicide Rate (per 100 000 population), Male (who\_suim)

Age-standardized suicide rates (per 100 000 population), Male



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36

### Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}:$  N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.88.23 Suicide Rate (per 100 000 population), Total (who suit)

Age-standardized suicide rates (per 100 000 population), Total



Min. Year:2016 Max. Year: 2016 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

 $\mathbf{N}\colon \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{N}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$   $\overline{T}\colon$   $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$ 

### 4.89 World Happiness Report

https://worldhappiness.report/ (Helliwell et al., 2020) (Data downloaded: 2021-01-22)

#### World Happiness Index

The World Happiness Report is a landmark survey of the state of global happiness that ranks 156 countries by how happy their citizens perceive themselves to be.

#### 4.89.1 National-level average scores for subjective well-being (whr hap)

National-level average scores for subjective well-being, as measured by answers to the Cantril ladder question asking people to evaluate the quality of their current lives on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 represents the worst possible life for them, and 10 the best.



Min. Year:2014 Max. Year: 2018 N: 36



Min. Year:2005 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36 n: 459  $\overline{N}$ : 31  $\overline{T}$ : 13

#### 4.90 Geddes, Wright and Frantz

http://sites.psu.edu/dictators/ (Geddes et al., 2014) (Data downloaded: 2019-09-04)

#### Autocratic Regime Data: All Political Regimes

Data to identify and analyze autocracy-to-autocracy transitions. Version 1.2. When the leader of an autocratic regime loses power, one of three things happens. The incumbent leadership group is replaced by democratically elected leaders. Someone from the incumbent leadership group replaces him, and the regime persists. Or the incumbent leadership group loses control to a different group that replaces it with a new autocracy. Much scholarship exists on the first kind of transition, but little on transitions from one autocracy to another, though they make up about half of all regime changes.

#### 4.90.1 Non-Autocracy (wr\_nonautocracy)

Variable on what substituted the autocracy. Classes are:

- 1. Democracy
- 2. Foreign-Occupied
- 3. Not-Independent
- 4. Provisional
- 5. Warlord
- 6. Warlord/Foreign-occupied

# Variable not included in Cross-Section Data

 $\mathbf{N}:\,\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Min. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$  Max. Year:  $\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{A}$ 



Min. Year:1946 Max. Year: 2010 N: 36 n: 1706  $\overline{N}$ : 26  $\overline{T}$ : 47

#### 4.91 Sundström and Stockemer

https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/conceptualizing -measuring-and-explaining-youths-relative-absence-in-legislatures/A50A3BC3EEB6983FABBCEF0CBEC69E7 (Sundström & Stockemer, 2020)

(Data downloaded: 2021-01-04)

#### Youth Representation Index

The Youth Representation Index assesses the magnitude of youths' under-representation across countries using the last year of election available for 91 countries. Rather than calculating youths' representation by the percentage of Members of Parliament 35 or 40 years old and younger or legislatures' median age, the authors argue that scholars should assess youths' parliamentary presence relative to their proportion of the voting-age population.

#### 4.91.1 Year of election (yri\_yoe)

Year of election



N: 36

# Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.91.2 Youth Representation Index (35 years or younger) (yri yri35)

The YRI 35 is a measure in which the percentage of youth in parliament - MPs ages 18 to 35 years - is divided by the proportion of youth (ages 18 to 35) within the eligible voting-age population and then multiplying by 100. A higher score on this measure indicates a larger correspondence between youth in the population and their presence in parliaments. A score of 100 suggest that the share of young MPs is on par with the share of young people in voting-age youth in the population. A score of zero indicates that not a single individual Member of Parliament (MP) is considered to be young.



N: 36



N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

#### 4.91.3 Youth Representation Index (40 years or younger) (yri\_yri40)

The YRI 40 is a measure in which the percentage of youth in parliament - MPs ages 18 to 40 years - is divided by the proportion of youth (ages 18 to 40) within the eligible voting-age population and then multiplying by 100. A higher score on this measure indicates a larger correspondence between youth in the population and their presence in parliaments. A score of 100 suggest that the share of young MPs is on par with the share of young people in voting-age youth in the population. A score of zero indicates that not a single individual Member of Parliament (MP) is considered to be young.



Min. Year:2019 Max. Year: 2019 N: 36

## Variable not included in Time-Series Data

N: N/A Min. Year: N/A Max. Year: N/A  $\overline{N}:$  N/A  $\overline{T}:$  N/A

### 5 Bibliography

### References

- AidData. (2017). Aiddatacore\_researchrelease\_level1\_v3.1 research releases dataset. Retrieved from http://aiddata.org/research-datasets (Accessed on 2019-06-19)
- Alesina, A., Devleeschauwer, A., Easterly, W., Kurlat, S., & Wacziarg, R. (2003). Fractionalization. Journal of Economic Growth, 8(2), 155-194. (O. Galor (ed.) (2011), Inequality and Economic Development: The Modern Perspective, Edward Elgar, UK.)
- Alvaredo, F., Atkinson, A. B., Piketty, T., & Saez, E. (2020a). World inequality database. WID.world. Retrieved from http://wid.world/data
- Alvaredo, F., Atkinson, A. B., Piketty, T., & Saez, E. (2020b). World inequality report 2020. Harvard University Press. Retrieved from http://wid.world/
- Armingeon, K., Wenger, V., Wiedemeier, F., Isler, C., Knöpfel, L., Weisstanner, D., & Engler, S. (2020). Comparative political data set 1960-2018. Zurich: Institute of Political Science, University of Zurich.
- Barro, R. J., & Lee, J. W. (2013). A new data set of educational attainment in the world, 1950–2010. Journal of Development Economics, 104, 184–198.
- Bernhard, M., Nordstrom, T., & Reenock, C. (2001). Economic performance, institutional intermediation, and democratic breakdown. *Journal of Politics*, 63(3), 775-803.
- Boix, C., Miller, M. K., & Rosato, S. (2018). Boix-miller-rosato dichotomous coding of democracy, 1800-2015. Harvard Dataverse, V3. (MUNF:6:dt+jCMIItovQfCIW0PIpOg== [fileUNF])
- Bolt, J., & van Zanden, J. L. (2020). Maddison project database, version 2020. Retrieved from https://www.rug.nl/ggdc/historicaldevelopment/maddison/research (Maddison style estimates of the evolution of the world economy: A new 2020 update)
- Borcan, O., Olsson, O., & Putterman, L. (2018). State history and economic development: Evidence from six millennia. *Journal of Economic Growth* 23(1): 1-40. Retrieved from https://sites.google.com/site/econolaols/extended-state-history-index
- Bormann, N.-C., & Golder, M. (2013). Democratic electoral systems around the world, 1946–2011. *Electoral Studies*, 32, 360–369.
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network CIESIN Columbia University. (2019). Natural resource protection and child health indicators, 2019 release. NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC), Palisades, NY. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.7927/ r6mv-sv82 (Accessed on: 13-11-2020)
- Cheibub, J. A., Gandhi, J., & Vreeland, J. R. (2010). Democracy and dictatorship revisited. Public Choice, 143(1-2), 67–101.
- Coppedge, M., Alvarez, A., & Maldonado, C. (2008). Two persistent dimensions of democracy: Contestation and inclusiveness. *The Journal of Politics*, 70(3), 632–647.
- Coppedge, M., Gerring, J., Knutsen, C. H., Lindberg, S. I., Teorell, J., Altman, D., ... Ziblatt, D. (2020). V-dem [country-year/country-date] dataset v10. Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Project. doi: 10.23696/vdemds20
- Dahlstrom, C., Teorell, J., Dahlberg, S., Hartmann, F., Lindberg, A., & Nistotskaya, M. (2015). The QoG Expert Survey Dataset.
- Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2020). United nations e-government survey. United Nations. Retrieved from https://publicadministration.un.org/egovkb/en-us/Reports/UN-E-Government-Survey-2020
- Dreher, A. (2006). Does globalization affect growth? evidence from a new index of globalization. *Applied Economics*, 38(10), 1091–1110.
- Elgin, C., & Oztunali, O. (2012). Shadow economies around the world: model based estimates. Bogazici University Department of Economics Working Papers, 5.
- Elkins, Z., Ginsburg, T., & Melton, J. (2020). Characteristics of national constitutions, version 3.0. Retrieved from http://www.comparativeconstitutionsproject.org
- European Commission. (2021). Eurostat. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/ database
- Fearon, J. D. (2003). Ethnic and cultural diversity by country. Journal of Economic Growth, 8(2), 195-222.
- Feenstra, R. C., Inklaar, R., & Timmer, M. P. (2015). The next generation of the penn world table. The American Economic Review, 105(10), 3150-3182. Retrieved from www.ggdc.net/pwt
- Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor]. (2019). Measures of democracy 1810-2018 [codebook]. (Version 8.0)
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. (2016). Fishery commodities global production and trade. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-commodities -production/query/en
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. (2020). Global forest resources assessments. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/forest-resources-assessment/en/
- Forman-Rabinovici, A., & Sommer, U. (2018). Reproductive health policymakers: Comparing the influences of international and domestic institutions on abortion policy. *Public Administration*, 96(1), 185-199.
- Fox, J. (2011). Building composite measures of religion and state. Interdisciplinary Journal of Research on Religion, 7, 1–39.
- Fox, J. (2015). Political secularism, religion, and the state: A time series analysis of worldwide data. Cambridge University Press.
- Fox, J. (2017). Religion and state dataset: Round 3. Retrieved from http://www.religionandstate .org/
- Fox, J., Finke, R., & Mataic, D. R. (2018). New data and measures on societal discrimination and religious minorities. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research on Religion*, 2(14).
- Garriga, A. C. (2016). Central bank independence in the world: A new dataset. International Interactions, 42(5), 849-868. Retrieved from https://sites.google.com/site/carogarriga/ cbi-data-1?authuser=0 doi: 10.1080/03050629.2016.1188813
- Geddes, B., Wright, J., & Frantz, E. (2014). Autocratic breakdown and regime transitions: A new data set. Perspectives on Politics, 12(2), 313–331.
- Gerring, J., Thacker, S. C., & Moreno, C. (2005). Centripetal democratic governance: A theory and global inquiry. The American Political Science Review, 99(4), 567-581. Retrieved from http:// www.jstor.org/stable/30038965
- Gibney, M., Cornett, L., & Haschke, P. (2018). *The societal violence scale*. Retrieved from http://www .politicalterrorscale.org/Data/Documentation-SVS.html (Data retrieved from the Political Terror Scale website)
- Gibney, M., Cornett, L., Wood, R., Haschke, P., Arnon, D., Pisano, A., & Barrett, G. (2020). The political terror scale 1976-2019. Retrieved from http://www.politicalterrorscale.org (Data retrieved from the Political Terror Scale website)
- Gleditsch, K., & Ward, M. D. (1999). Interstate system membership: A revised list of the independent states since 1816. International Interactions, 25, 393–413.

- Gleditsch, K. S. (2002). Expanded trade and GDP data(version 6.0). Journal of Conflict Resolution, 46(5), 712-724.
- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. (2020). Global burden of disease study 2019 (gbd 2019) results. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Retrieved from http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool
- Global Footprint Network. (2018). National footprint accounts data set (1961-2014), 2018 edition. Retrieved from http://www.footprintnetwork.org (c 2017 Global Footprint Network. National Footprint Accounts, 2017 Edition, www.footprintnetwork.org.)
- Guillen, M., & Capron, L. (2016). State capacity, minority shareholder protections, and stock market development. Administrative Science Quarterly, 61(1), 125–160.
- Gwartney, J., Lawson, R., Hall, J., Murphy, R., Berggren, N., McMahon, F., & Nilsson, T. (2020). Economic Freedom Dataset 2020, published in Economic Freedom of the World: 2020. Fraser Institute. Retrieved from https://www.fraserinstitute.org/economic-freedom/dataset
- Gygli, S., Haelg, F., Potrafke, N., & Sturm, J.-E. (2019). The KOF Globalisation Index Revisited (Vol. 14) (No. 3). doi: 10.1007/s11558-àÅŃ019-09344-2
- Hadenius, A., & Teorell, J. (2007). Pathways from authoritarianism. *Journal of Democracy*, 18(1), 143–157.
- Haken, N., Messner, J., Hendry, K., Taft, P., Lawrence, K., Anderson, T., ... Whitehead, A. (2020). Failed states index 2006-2020. The Fund for Peace (FFP). Retrieved from http://fundforpeace .org/fsi/
- Halpern, B., Longo, C., Hardy, D., McLeod, K., Samhouri, J., & Steven Katona, e. a. (2012, August). An index to assess the health and benefits of the global ocean. *Nature*, 488, 615–620. doi: 10.1038/ nature11397
- Halpern, B., Longo, C., Hardy, D., McLeod, K., Samhouri, J., & Steven Katona, e. a. (2018). Ocean health index. Retrieved from https://github.com/OHI-Science/ohi-global/releases (ohi-global version: Global scenarios data for Ocean Health Index)
- Harbom, L., Mellander, E., & Wallensteen, P. (2008). Dyadic dimensions of armed conflict. Journal of peace research, 45 (5), 697–710.
- Helliwell, J., Layard, R., Sachs, J., & Neve, J. D. (2020). World happiness report 2020. New York: Sustainable Development Solutions Network.
- Henisz, W. J. (2017). The Political Constraint Index (POLCON) Dataset 2017 release. The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. Retrieved from https://mgmt.wharton.upenn.edu/profile/ 1327
- Hyde, S. D., & Marinov, N. (2012). Which elections can be lost? Political Analysis, 20(2), 191-201.
- ICTD/UNU-WIDER. (2020). Government revenue dataset. Retrieved from https://www.wider .unu.edu/project/government-revenue-dataset
- Institute for Economics and Peace. (2020a, June). Global peace index 2020: Measuring peace in a complex world. Retrieved from http://www.visionofhumanity.org/#/page/indexes/terrorism -index (Accessed 04-01-2021)
- Institute for Economics and Peace. (2020b, November). Global terrorism index 2020: Measuring the impact of terrorism. Retrieved from http://www.visionofhumanity.org/#/page/indexes/ terrorism-index (Accessed 07-01-2021)
- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). (2015). Global educational attainment 1970-2015. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME).
- Inter-Parliamentary Union. (2020, November). Parline database: Monthly ranking of women in national parliaments. Retrieved from https://data.ipu.org/women-ranking

- International Monetary Fund. (2019). Government finance statistics yearbook (gfsy) 2019. Retrieved from https://data.imf.org/?sk=a0867067-d23c-4ebc-ad23-d3b015045405
- International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. (2020). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-3. Retrieved from http://www.iucnredlist.org
- Johnson, J. W., & Wallack, J. S. (2012). Electoral systems and the personal vote. Harvard Dataverse, V1. Retrieved from http://hdl.handle.net/1902.1/17901 doi: 1902.1/17901
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2010). The worldwide governance indicators: a summary of methodology, data and analytical issues. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, 5430.
- Kunčič, A. (2014). Institutional quality dataset. Journal of Institutional Economics, 10(01), 135–161. doi: 10.1017/S1744137413000192
- Leeds, B., Ashley, J., Ritter, S. M., McLaughlin, M., & Long, A. G. (2002). Alliance treaty obligations and provisions, 1815–1944. *International Interactions*, 28, 237–260.
- LIS Cross-National Data Center in Luxembourg. (2020). Luxembourg income study database and the luxembourg wealth study database. Retrieved from https://www.lisdatacenter.org/download -key-figures/
- Maoz, Z., & Henderson, E. A. (2013). The world religion dataset, 1945-2010: Logic, estimates, and trends. *International Interactions*, 39(3).
- Marshall, M. G., & Elzinga-Marshall, G. (2017). Global report 2017: Conflict, governance, and state fragility. Center for Systemic Peace.
- Marshall, M. G., & Gurr, T. R. (2020). Polity v project, political regime characteristics and transitions, 1800-2018. Center for Systemic Peace.
- Miller, T., Kim, A. B., & Roberts, J. M. (2020). 2020 index of economic freedom. The Heritage Foundation. Retrieved from http://www.heritage.org/index/
- Mungiu-Pippidi, A., Dadasov, R., Martinez-Kukutschka, R., Alvarado-Pachon, N., Dykes, V., Kossow, N., & Khaghaghordyan, A. (2019). *Index of public integrity*. European Research Centre for Anti-Corruption and State-Building (ERCAS). Retrieved from http://www.integrity-index.org
- Mutschler, Max. M and Marius Bales. (2020). *Global Militarization Index 2020*. Bonn International Center for Conversion BICC. Retrieved from https://gmi.bicc.de/index.php?page=gmi-new
- Norris, P. (2009, January). *Democracy Timeseries Data Release 3.0.* Retrieved from http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/pnorris/Data/Data.htm
- Norris, P., & Groemping, M. (2019). *Perceptions of Electoral Integrity*, (*PEI-7.0*). Harvard Dataverse. (V2, UNF:6:2wnukYraCZzg+gojPE/Ijg== [fileUNF]) doi: 10.7910/DVN/EWYTZ7
- Nunn, N., & Puga, D. (2012). Ruggedness: The blessing of bad geography in Africa. Review of Economics and Statistics, 94 (1), 20-36.
- Nyrup, J., & Bramwell, S. (2020). Who governs? a new global dataset on members of cabinets. American Political Science Review, 114 (4), 1366–1374.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2020). Country statistical profiles: Key tables from OECD. Retrieved from https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/economics/country -statistical-profiles-key-tables-from-oecd\_20752288 doi: 10.1787/20752288
- Ouattara, B., & Standaert, S. (2020). Property rights revisited. European Journal of Political Economy, 64, 101895. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0176268020300434 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2020.101895
- Pemstein, D., Marquardt, K. L., Tzelgov, E., ting Wang, Y., Medzihorsky, J., Krusell, J., ... von Römer, J. (2019). The V-Dem measurement model: Latent variable analysis for cross-national and cross-temporal expert-coded data. University of Gothenburg, Varieties of Democracy Institute: Working Paper No. 21, 4th edition.

- Pemstein, D., Meserve, S. A., & Melton, J. (2010). Democratic compromise: A latent variable analysis of ten measures of regime type. *Political Analysis*, mpq020.
- Pettersson, T. (2020). UCDP Dyadic Dataset Codebook v 20.1. Retrieved from https://ucdp.uu.se/ downloads/
- Pettersson, T., Högbladh, S., & Öberg, M. (2020). Organized violence, 1989–2019 and peace agreements. Journal of Peace Research, 57(4).
- PRS Group, et al. (2021). International country risk guide. Political Risk Services.
- Reporters Without Borders. (2020). Press freedom index. Version 2020. Retrieved from https://rsf.org/en/ranking
- Roeder, P. G. (2001, February 16). Ethnolinguistic fractionalization (ELF) indices, 1961 and 1985. Retrieved from http://pages.ucsd.edu/~proeder/elf.htm
- Ross, M., & Mahdavi, P. (2015). Oil and gas data, 1932-2014. Harvard Dataverse. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/ZTPW0Y doi: 10.7910/DVN/ZTPW0Y
- Schiller, C., Hellmann, T., & Paulini, P. (2020). Sustainable governance indicators 2020. Bertelsmann Stiftung. Retrieved from https://www.sgi-network.org/2020/Downloads
- Standaert, S. (2015). Divining the level of corruption: A bayesian state-space approach. Journal of Comparative Economics, 43(3), 782-803. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S0147596714000584 doi: 10.1016/j.jce.2014.05.007
- Sundström, A., & Stockemer, D. (2020). Conceptualizing, measuring, and explaining youths' relative absence in legislatures. PS: Political Science and Politics, 1–7. doi: 10.1017/S1049096520000906
- Teorell, J., & Wahman, M. (2018). Institutional stepping stones for democracy: How and why multipartyism enhances democratic change. *Democratization*, 25(1), 78–97.
- The International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. (2020a). *Electoral system design* database. Retrieved from https://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/electoral-system-design
- The International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. (2020b). Voter turnout database. Retrieved from https://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/voter-turnout
- The Social Progress Imperative. (2020). Social progress index. Social Progress Imperative Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.socialprogress.org
- The World Bank Group. (2020). *Doing business data 2020*. Retrieved from http://www .doingbusiness.org/en/data (Accessed on 24-09-2020)
- Tierney, M. J., Nielson, D. L., Hawkins, D. G., Roberts, J. T., Findley, M. G., Powers, R. M., ... Hicks, R. L. (2011). More dollars than sense: Refining our knowledge of development finance using aiddata. World Development, 39(11), 1891–1906.
- Transparency International. (2021). Corruption perception index 2020. Retrieved from http://www.transparency.org/cpi (Licensed under CC-BY-ND 4.0)
- UNESCO. (2020). Unesco institute for statistics. Retrieved from http://data.uis.unesco.org/
- United Nations Development Program. (2020a). *Gender inequality index.* Retrieved from http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/gender-inequality-index-gii
- United Nations Development Program. (2020b). Human development report 2020. Retrieved from http://hdr.undp.org/en/2020-report
- Vanhanen, T. (2019, May). Measures of democracy 1810-2018 [dataset]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive distributor. Retrieved from http://urn.fi/urn.nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1289 (Version 8.0)
- Wahman, M., Teorell, J., & Hadenius, A. (2013). Authoritarian regime types revisited: Updated data in comparative perspective. *Contemporary Politics*, 19(1), 19–34.

- Welzel, C. (2013). Freedom rising: Human empowerment and the quest for emancipation (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Wendling, Z., Emerson, J., de Sherbinin, A., Esty, D., & M.A. Levy, e. a. (2020). 2020 environmental performance index. *New Haven, CT: Yale Center for Environmental Law and Policy*. Retrieved from https://epi.envirocenter.yale.edu/
- Wig, T., Hegre, H., & Regan, P. M. (2015). Updated data on institutions and elections 1960–2012: presenting the iaep dataset version 2.0. Research & Politics, 2(2). doi: 10.1177/2053168015579120
- Williams, A. (2015, August). A global index of information transparency and accountability. *Journal* of Comparative Economics, 43(3), 804–824. doi: 10.1016/j.jce.2014.10.004
- World Bank. (2020). World development indicators. The World Bank Washington DC.
- World Economic Forum. (2019). The global competetiveness report 2019. World Economic Forum. Retrieved from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\_TheGlobalCompetitivenessReport2019.pdf (Commercial use of data produced by the World Economic Forum is forbidden)
- World Economic Forum. (2020). The global gender gap report 2020. World Economic Forum. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/gender-gap-2020-report-100-years-pay -equality (All Rights Reserved)
- World Health Organization. (2020). *Global health observatory data repository*. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/gho/en/ (Accessed on 2020-11-11)

## 6 Appendix

Country name	ccode	ccodealp	Data from	Data to	Comment
Australia	36	AUS	1946	2020	Statute of Westminster Adopfon Act 1942
Austria	40	AUT	1955	2020	The State Treaty signed in Vienna 1955
Belgium	56	BEL	1946	2020	Independence from the Netherlands recognized 1839
Canada	124	CAN	1946	2020	Statute of Westminster 1931
Chile	152	CHL	1946	2020	Independence from Spain recognized 1844
Czech Republic	203	CZE	1993	2020	Dissolution of Czechoslovakia 1993
Denmark	208	DNK	1946	2020	Consolidaton 8th century
Estonia	233	EST	1992	2020	Independence restored 1991
Finland	246	FIN	1946	2020	Independence from Soviet Russia recognized 1918
France (1963-)	250	FRA	1963	2020	Algeria Independence from France 1962
Germany	276	DEU	1991	2020	Reunification 1990
Greece	300	GRC	1946	2020	Independence from the Ottoman Empire recognized 1830
Hungary	348	HUN	1946	2020	Secession from Austria-Hungary 1918
Iceland	352	ISL	1946	2020	Kingdom of Iceland 1918
Ireland	372	IRL	1946	2020	The Anglo-Irish Treaty 1921
Israel	376	ISR	1948	2020	Independence from Mandatory Palestine 1948
Italy	380	ITA	1946	2020	Unification 1861
Japan	392	JPN	1946	2020	National Foundation Day 660 BC
Korea, South	410	KOR	1948	2020	Division of Korea 1948
Luxembourg	442	LUX	1946	2020	End of Personal Union 1890
Mexico	484	MEX	1946	2020	Independence from Spain recognized 1821
Netherlands	528	NLD	1946	2020	Independence from the Spanish Empire 1815
New Zealand	554	NZL	1948	2020	Statute of Westminster Adoption Act 1947
Norway	578	NOR	1946	2020	Dissolution of union with Sweden 1905
Poland	616	POL	1946	2020	Reconstitution of Poland 1918
Portugal	620	PRT	1946	2020	Independence from Kingdom of Leon recognzed 1143
Slovakia	703	SVK	1993	2020	Independence from Czechoslovakia 1993
Slovenia	705	SVN	1991	2020	Independence from Yugoslavia 1991
Spain	724	ESP	1946	2020	Nation State 1812
Sweden	752	SWE	1946	2020	Consolidation Middle Ages
Switzerland	756	CHE	1946	2020	Peace of Westphalia 1648
Turkey	792	TUR	1946	2020	Secession from the Ottoman Empire 1923
United Kingdom	826	GBR	1946	2020	Acts of Union 1707
United States	840	USA	1946	2020	Independence from the Kingdom of Great Britain recog- nized 1783